Garland Independent School District Austin Academy For Excellence 2022-2023 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies

Mission Statement

Austin Academy for Excellence fosters the growth of student self-worth and integrity to empower and inspire each individual to meet the challenges of the future.

Table of Contents

Goals	4
Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and	4
graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences	
Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification	14

Goals

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences

Performance Objective 1: Percent of students in grade 6 demonstrating literacy as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Reading, will increase from 84% to 90% by 2025. (22-23 interim goal =87%), grade 7 on STAAR Reading will maintain a 95% for approaches (22-23), and grade 8 on STAAR Reading will maintain a 95% for approaches

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will utilize small group teacher-led instruction to specifically target and support individual student		Formative		
needs.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students are expected to show growth on district benchmarks, MAP testing, and state assessments.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators and teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Dung a foundation of reading and main				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Teachers will plan and utilize small group teacher-led instruction in ELAR to specifically target ELL,		Formative		Summative
Economically Disadvantaged, SPED, and At-Risk students and support individual student needs both in Tier I instruction and during tutorials.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students are expected to show growth on district benchmarks, MAP testing, and state assessments.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators and teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Funding Sources: - 199 - PIC 23 SPED State Allotment Funds - \$940, - 199 - PIC 24 State Comp Ed Funds - \$6,000				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Teachers will provide students targeted language acquisition instruction to ensure ELL students demonstrate		Formative		Summative
growth in language development and academic performance. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students are expected to show growth on district benchmarks, MAP testing, and state assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators and teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 4: Teachers will plan aligned, rigorous instruction by unpacking TEKS, creating daily learning objectives, and		Formative		Summative
developing teacher and student exemplars outside of contract time.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June

assessments (teacher create Staff Responsible for Mon		ors and teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of readi Funding Sources: - 6100		.,000				
	% No Progress	100% Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discontinu	ue	

Performance Objective 2: Percent of ELL students demonstrating English language acquisition, as measured by earning yearly progress indicator on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS), will increase from 40 to 76% by 2025. (22-23 interim goal = 46%)

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS spring administration testing data file (only students with progress measure; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Staff will identify student English Proficiency levels using ELLevation and determine students' level of		Formative		Summative
language support needed based on the Proficiency Language Descriptors.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: : Students will show growth in language acquisition through benchmark testing, MAP testing, and STAAR Assessment.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE administrators and teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			1
Strategy 2: Teachers will provide Sheltered Instruction through a differentiated classroom that is focused (specifically		Formative	Summative	
emphasizing English language development), targeted (aligned to ELL students' proficiency levels), and systematic (scaffolding instruction to meet student progress) in developing English language skills.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth in language acquisition through benchmark testing, MAP testing, and STAAR Assessment				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE administrators and teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Funding Sources: - 199 - PIC 25 Bil/ESL State Allotment Funds - \$7,805				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: ELL Students will be given the opportunity to practice speaking and listening in the same format as	Formative			Summative
administered in TELPAS prior to the assessment.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student comfort with test format.			1	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELL teachers and Administrators				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue		

Performance Objective 3: Percent of students in grade 8 demonstrating scientific understanding as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Science, will increase from 76% to 80% by 2025. (22-23 interim goal = 80%)

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will plan aligned, rigorous instruction by unpacking TEKS, creating daily learning objectives, and		Formative		Summative
developing teacher and student exemplars outside of contract time. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students are expected to show growth on district benchmarks, MAP Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE Administrators and teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Funding Sources: - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$9,430	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			1
Strategy 2: Teachers will plan and utilize small group teacher-led instruction to specifically target ELL, Economically	Formative			Summative
Disadvantaged, and At-Risk students and support individual student needs both in Tier I instruction and during tutorials that are Science and Math focused.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students are expected to show growth on district benchmarks, MAP Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE Administrators and teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4: The percentage of students demonstrating mathematical proficiency, as measured by Meets Grade Level performance by the end of grade 6 on STAAR Math, will increase from 47% to 90% by 2025. (22-23 interim goal =55%), grade 7 on STAAR Math will increase from 50 to 90% (22-23 interim goal = 60%), grade 8 on STAAR Math will increase from 65 to 90% (22-23 interim goal = 75%)

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teachers will plan and utilize small group teacher-led instruction to specifically target ELL, Economically		Formative		Summative
Disadvantaged, SPED and At-Risk students and support individual student needs both in Tier I instruction and during after school tutorials.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students are expected to show growth on district benchmarks, MAP				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE Administrators and teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Funding Sources: - 199 - PIC 23 SPED State Allotment Funds - \$1,024, - 199 - PIC 24 State Comp Ed Funds - \$5,912				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Teachers will utilize bell ringers and mini lessons weekly to advance skills aligned to the district curriculum and TEKS in the next unit of assessment.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show continued growth in mathematics that will be assessed by classroom assessments, district benchmarks, and STAAR assessment. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE administrators and teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov	Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Teachers will disaggregate data from MAP and unit assessments during CLCs to determine appropriate	Formative			Summative
concepts to spiral information into future lessons and activities. with a focus on ELL, At-Risk, Economically Disadvantaged, and SPED students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show continued growth in mathematics that will be assessed by classroom assessments, district benchmarks, and STAAR assessment.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE administrators and teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Funding Sources: - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$0				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		1

Performance Objective 5: Percent of student management incidents resulting in exclusionary consequences [i.e., In School Suspension (ISS), Out of School Suspension (OSS), and Reassignment Rooms] will decrease from 40% in 2021-22 to 35% by 2025. (SY22-23 interim goal =37%)

Evaluation Data Sources: Review360 Incident Summary Report - total # of exclusionary consequences out of total # of consequences

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Administrative staff will communicate established system for documenting classroom incidents, hallway		Formative		Summative
incidents, and school wide behaviors (Review 360) during August staff development (revisit as needed).	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in office referrals and exclusionary consequences				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE administrators and teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will explain clearly defined school wide expectations for behavior to students during the first 3		Summative		
weeks of school and communicate plan with families through a variety of methods (syllabus, remind 101, SMORE, Google classroom, etc.)		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in office referrals and exclusionary consequences Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE administrators and teachers				
Title I: 2.5				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Campus will implement Social Emotional Learning lessons to address and prevent specific misconduct		Formative		Summative
according to behavior data.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: SEL lessons will reduce future office referrals. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors, Administrators, and teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 6: Percent of Family Engagement Surveys will increase from 8% to 70% by 2025. (22-23 parental involvement goal= 25%).

Evaluation Data Sources: District Parent Survey Data

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Administrators and Teachers will provide parents and students with AAE 6th grade orientation opportunities		Formative		Summative
for incoming students(including a new families meeting). Teachers and Administrators will host family engagements such as literacy night and parent university.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parental involvement and percent of families that complete the GISD Family Engagement Survey.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE administrators and teachers				
Title I: 4.2				
Funding Sources: - 6100 Parent Inv. Payroll T1 - \$2,229, - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$8,000				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Administrators and Teachers will communicate with parents via phone messages, e-mails (SMORE), and	Formative			Summative
ocial media accounts about GISD parent survey. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and ommunity members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase family engagement and percent of families that complete the GISD Family Engagement Survey.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE administrators and teachers				
Title I: 4.2				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Administrators and Teachers will hold a minimum of two parent involvement activities (AVID Family		Formative		Summative
Night, AAE Parent University, ELL Family Night, etc.), including working with families on instructional strategies. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase family engagement and percent of families that complete	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
the GISD Family Engagement Survey.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AAE administrators and teachers				
Title I: 4.1, 4.2				
		l	l	

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: The school will jointly develop with, and distribute to, parents and family member of participating children a written Parent and Family Engagement Policy and Parent-School Compact. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parental understanding of learning expectations and build relationships with families.	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Improvement Team - Administrators, teachers, parents, community members				
Title I: 4.1, 4.2				
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Administrators and Teachers will provide parents and students with high school program information prior to school choice for 8th grade students. School will collaborate with feeder high schools to ease transition for students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parent and student understanding of available programs in GISD.	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors and Administrators				
Title I: 4.2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification

Performance Objective 1: By the end of 2022-2023, all advanced magnet students will successfully complete advanced level coursework by passing all subjects, earning high school credits and meeting Algebra I EOC passing requirements.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students/teachers will access and utilize the necessary supplies and training to successfully facilitate advanced	Formative			Summative	
academic instruction. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in EOC scores, HS Credits, and PSAT scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Funding Sources: Teacher Materials, student materials - 199 - Magnet Funds - \$74,000	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Austin Academy will continue to seek certification for the International Baccalaureate Middle Years Programme as part of the magnet program. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase opportunities for student learning, teaching strategies, and overall performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, IB Coordinator, teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Funding Sources: IB MYP Training - 199 - Magnet Funds - \$10,000, IB MYP Membership Fees - 199 - Magnet Funds - \$10,000, IB MYP Training Outside of Contract Time - 199 - Magnet Funds - \$3,000	Formative St			Summative	
	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			