Garland Independent School District Coyle Technology Center for Math & Science 2023-2024 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies

Table of Contents

Goals	3
Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and	
graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.	3

Goals

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.

Performance Objective 1: The percentage of students in grade 6 demonstrating Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Math and STAAR Reading, will increase to 60% by 2025 in all grade levels. (SY 22-23 interim goal = STAAR Math - 62%; STAAR Reading 57%)

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

MAP (BOY, MOY, EOY)

Analysis of student Lexile level and utilization of Lexile Readers.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: All core classrooms will fully implement Sheltered-Instruction Strategies including visual representations of		Formative		Summative
Guided Language Acquisition Design strategies and Content-based Language Instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the academic performance of students who are identified as needing intervention by making the content more comprehensible and understandable. Improvement will be evaluated through MAP, STAAR, CBA, and student work samples.	N/A			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Instructional Coach				
Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing				
schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Funding Sources: Supplies for goups - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Math and RLA W.I.N. CLCs will identify students who are at risk for not passing STAAR tests based on		Formative		Summative
previous years' results, CBA's, and MAP to provide focused intervention for small group tutorials for at risk-students for HB1416.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR Interim scores will show growth from Fall to Spring administrations This will drive STAAR projections into the Meets category	20%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coach				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Funding Sources: HB1416 Tutoring - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$2,000, Progress Learning Reading/Math Program - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$13,000				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize extended day targeted tutorials, implementing a variety of instructional strategies and		Formative		Summative
resources to support students by providing additional targeted enrichment for Tier I, II, and III students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Additional extended-day student support will result in more students performing on or above grade level.	N/A			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Teachers				
Instructional Coachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1, 3, 6				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews								
Strategy 4: Teachers will actively engage in professional development activities, workshops, conferences, and purposeful		Formative	Summative						
planning sessions to obtain growth and development related to lesson internalization, lesson planning, and facilitation of high-quality Tier 1 instruction for all student groups.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher growth and devlopment. Student academic growth to meet or exceed campus goals for STAAR Math and STAAR ELA.	15%								
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin CLC Leads Instructional Coaches									
Department Heads									
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6									
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 3									
Troblem Statements Statem Learning 1, 5									
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	riews	•					
Strategy 5: Teachers will implement aggressive progress monitoring sheets to aid in identifying learning gaps and provide		Formative		Summative					
data for immediate interventions to all students during Tier 1 instruction Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the academic performance of students who are identified as needing	Nov	Nov	Nov	Nov	Nov	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
intervention. Improvement will be evaluated through MAP, STAAR, CBA, and student work samples.	15%								
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coach Teachers									
Title I:									
2.4, 2.6									
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 3									
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	<u> </u>	1						
	V	4:							

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Black students represent 26.4% of the student population, but accumulated 44.4% (ISS), 53.3% (OOS), and 42.9% (DAEP). **Root Cause**: Lack of campus mentoring and teacher training on restorative practices.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: All grade levels did not meet their MAP Growth Projections on the reading tests.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Black students are underperforming the campus average by 10.3% on STAAR Reading.

Problem Statement 6: SPED students are underperforming the campus average by 42.6% on STAAR Reading.

Performance Objective 2: Percent of ELL students demonstrating English language acquisition, as measured by earning yearly progress indicators on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS), will increase to 76% by 2025. (SY 22-23 interim goal = 55.0%)

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS spring administration testing data file (only students with progress measure; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: All core classrooms will fully implement Sheltered-Instruction Strategies including visual representations of		Formative		Summative	
Guided Language Acquisition Design strategies and Content-based Language Instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Significantly increase growth outcomes for Emergent Bilinguals by making the content more comprehensible and understandable.	N/A				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin LPAC					
Teachers					
Instructional Coaches					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•	
Strategy 2: The Instructional Coach and LPAC will work with teachers to plan lessons and analyze data to focus on		Formative		Summative	
targeted instructional strategies directed toward ELL students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve instructional efficacy of teachers to increase students' scores					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	10%				
Instructional Coach	10%				
Teachers					
LPAC					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: All RLA classrooms will provide technology accommodations for students preparing for TELPAS assessments.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased EL Proficiency on TELPAS at 36% or higher for 2023.	Nov	Nov Feb Apr		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Testing Team Title I: 2.4, 2.6	15%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue		•

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: ELL students are underperforming the campus average by 5.7% on STAAR Reading.

Performance Objective 3: Percent of student management incidents resulting in exclusionary consequences [i.e., In School Suspension (ISS), Out of School Suspension (OSS), and Reassignment Rooms] will be 20% or lower by 2025. (SY 22-23) interim goal = 30%)

Evaluation Data Sources: Review360 Incident Summary Report - total # of exclusionary consequences out of total # of consequences

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: The campus will implement campuswide PBIS strategies and supports including rewards, incentives, and		Formative		Summative
classroom posters to display positive behavior strategies for all students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reward positive behaviors in an effort to decrease negative student behaviors and incidents. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Dean of Students MTSS Team Title I: 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1, 2 - Perceptions 1	Nov 40%	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: The campus will implement Restorative Practices and provide muti-levels of support for students' SEL,	Formative			Summative
personal growth, and development.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduce and/or maintain minimal major breaches that negatively impact the climate and culture of the campus. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Dean of Students MTSS Team Problem Statements: Demographics 1	30%			

Strategy 3 Details				
Strategy 3: The campus will implement a set of norms and expectations to help our students develop a deep sense of trust in		Formative		Summative
themselves and our mission and develop empathy for others that equips and empowers them to face the rigorous academic challenges of our school programming.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: We understand there are a set of key norms and expectations that, if deeply internalized, will set a foundation for students to choose the right path for themselves, and lead them to a future they envision.	30%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Dean of Students MTSS Team				
Title I:				
2.6				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Black students represent 26.4% of the student population, but accumulated 44.4% (ISS), 53.3% (OOS), and 42.9% (DAEP). **Root Cause**: Lack of campus mentoring and teacher training on restorative practices.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Due to COVID-19 pandemic and the restrictions placed on campuses and our extra-curricular activities, we are now faced with the challenge of rebuilding our programs and traditions.

Problem Statement 2: The amount of students involved in extra-curricular activities is limited to courses scheduled during the school day. **Root Cause**: Collection of data from all student groups by staff sponsors.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: District website does not market the updated programs offered at Coyle Tech for the 2023-2024. **Root Cause**: Transitioning to a new school type without lasting feedback. Scores being.

Performance Objective 4: Increase and sustain family and community engagement within the school community to promote a well-rounded campus environment.

Evaluation Data Sources: District Parent and Community Engagement survey results/report

Panorama Surveys

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: The campus will develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a written PFE policy that describes how the			Summative	
school will inform parents of the school's participation in the Title I, Part A program, and strategies that the school will use to build the capacity of parents to support campus academic goals. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents,	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve parent and community engagement with the campus community.	30%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration				
Campus Improvement Team				
Title I:				
4.1, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 3, 6				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 2 Details		140	10 11 3	
Strategy 2: The campus will develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe		Formative	iews	Summative
	Nov		Apr	Summative June
Strategy 2: The campus will develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our		Formative		
Strategy 2: The campus will develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve parent and community engagement with the campus community. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration	Nov 25%	Formative		
Strategy 2: The campus will develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve parent and community engagement with the campus community.		Formative		
Strategy 2: The campus will develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve parent and community engagement with the campus community. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration		Formative		
Strategy 2: The campus will develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve parent and community engagement with the campus community. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Campus Improvement Team Title I: 4.1, 4.2		Formative		
Strategy 2: The campus will develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve parent and community engagement with the campus community. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Campus Improvement Team Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities:		Formative		
Strategy 2: The campus will develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve parent and community engagement with the campus community. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Campus Improvement Team Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools		Formative		
Strategy 2: The campus will develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve parent and community engagement with the campus community. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Campus Improvement Team Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities:		Formative		

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: The campus will host Cougar Parent Academies to engage families and provide relevant information and		Formative		Summative
partnership opportunities such as Orientations and Onboarding, Meet the Teacher, Parent-Teacher Conferences, Coffee with the Admin, SEL workshops, Cyber-safety and elective information.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build a sustaining partnership between school and family. Support transition to middle school for 5th graders moving to 6th grade by holding Transition meetings for families in collaboration with feeder schools to provide information on graduation plans, and college and career readiness.	30%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Campus Improvement Team				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Perceptions 1				
Funding Sources: Parent Academies - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$750				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: The campus will implement Talking Points to increase two-way communication with parents. Host parent	For	Formative		Summative
workshop to use this software.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Open lines of two-way communication in multiple languages to remove engagement and parental involvement barriers. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Teachers and Staff	45%			
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Perceptions 1				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Black students represent 26.4% of the student population, but accumulated 44.4% (ISS), 53.3% (OOS), and 42.9% (DAEP). **Root Cause**: Lack of campus mentoring and teacher training on restorative practices.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Black students are underperforming the campus average by 10.3% on STAAR Reading.

Problem Statement 6: SPED students are underperforming the campus average by 42.6% on STAAR Reading.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: The amount of students involved in extra-curricular activities is limited to courses scheduled during the school day. **Root Cause**: Collection of data from all student groups by staff sponsors.

Problem Statement 3: 75% of students are not involved in an extra curricular activity from the list of programs and clubs offered at Coyle Middle School and Coyle Tech. **Root Cause**: Diverse ways to promote programs beyond announcements and posters. Lack of incentives to encourage students to be involved.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: District website does not market the updated programs offered at Coyle Tech for the 2023-2024. **Root Cause**: Transitioning to a new school type without lasting feedback. Scores being.

Performance Objective 5: Close the opportunity gap by providing our students access to high-quality programming and pathways that lead to opportunities to access entrance into our high school's P-TECHs and Career and Technical Education Center's programs of study.

Evaluation Data Sources: Magnet and P-TECH data

Systems of Great Schools data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Provide access to our STEM Pathway to all students.		Formative Sur			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student participation, especially our marginalized population, in STEM-based programming. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Counselors Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Perceptions 1	Nov 20%	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Provide access to our Advanced Academics Pathway to all students.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student participation, especially our marginalized population, in advanced academic programming. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Counselors Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - School Processes & Programs 2	Nov 30%	Feb	Apr	June	

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide access to our Leadership Pathway to all students.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student participation, especially our marginalized population, in specialized programming to increase student self-efficacy in core competencies of CASEL using the core values of character, honor, service, and leadership. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Counselors Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Nov 30%	Feb	Apr	June
- TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Provide access to MST Pathway previously offered only at magnet campuses.	Formative S			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student participation, especially our marginalized population, in advanced academic programming. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Counselors Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools Problem Statements: Perceptions 1	Nov 30%	Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Teachers will actively engage in professional development activities, workshops, conferences, and purposeful planning sessions to obtain growth and development related to lesson internalization, lesson planning, and facilitation of high-quality Tier 1 instruction for all student groups.	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher growth and development. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coaches Teachers	20%			
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1, 2, 4, 6 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 3				
No Progress Complished Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue		

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Black students represent 26.4% of the student population, but accumulated 44.4% (ISS), 53.3% (OOS), and 42.9% (DAEP). **Root Cause**: Lack of campus mentoring and teacher training on restorative practices.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: All grade levels did not meet their MAP Growth Projections on the reading tests.

Problem Statement 2: 6th and 7th Grade students did not meet their MAP Growth Projections on the science tests.

Problem Statement 4: ELL students are underperforming the campus average by 5.7% on STAAR Reading.

Problem Statement 6: SPED students are underperforming the campus average by 42.6% on STAAR Reading.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Due to COVID-19 pandemic and the restrictions placed on campuses and our extra-curricular activities, we are now faced with the challenge of rebuilding our programs and traditions.

Problem Statement 2: The amount of students involved in extra-curricular activities is limited to courses scheduled during the school day. **Root Cause**: Collection of data from all student groups by staff sponsors.

Problem Statement 3: 75% of students are not involved in an extra curricular activity from the list of programs and clubs offered at Coyle Middle School and Coyle Tech. **Root Cause**: Diverse ways to promote programs beyond announcements and posters. Lack of incentives to encourage students to be involved.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: District website does not market the updated programs offered at Coyle Tech for the 2023-2024. **Root Cause**: Transitioning to a new school type without lasting feedback. Scores being.