Garland Independent School District Golden Meadows Elementary School 2023-2024 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies



Mission Statement

Golden Meadows Hawks embrace diversity and empower each other to be successful and productive citizens!

Vision

Every student will be prepared with life-long skills to be productive, self-disciplined citizens who contribute positively to our society.

Table of Contents

Goals 4

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness and graduation rates, and decreasing student management incidences.

Goals

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness and graduation rates, and decreasing student management incidences.

Performance Objective 1: Percent of students demonstrating literacy proficiency as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Reading Language Arts assessments (grades 3-5) will increase from 34% in 2023 to 50% in 2024 (2026 goal = 90%).

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will implement small group teacher-led instruction across grade levels and utilize this targeted		Formative		Summative
instruction to target and support individual needs during ELAR/SLAR instructional block, intervention, and tutorials to focus on the performance of all students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will meet proficiency standards on reading assessments,	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Increase reading performance and reach MAP Reading projected growth.	50%	100%	100%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Administrators Support Teachers/Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 5				
Funding Sources: Substitutes for PD and planning for small group 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$6,000, Supplies for small group instruction 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$7,205				
Funding Sources: Substitutes for PD and planning for small group 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$6,000, Supplies				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: K-5 grade teachers will plan and deliver classroom instruction to address academic vocabulary in context		Formative		Summative
through visuals, content walls, hands-on experiences, and applications that include all subject areas while focusing on all student groups with diverse needs, particularly at-risk students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will meet proficiency standards on reading assessments, Increase reading performance and reach MAP Reading projected growth.	40%	60%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Support Teachers/Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 5				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Teachers will incorporate AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination) WICOR (Writing, Inquiry,		Formative	Summa	Summative
Collaboration, Organization, Reading) strategies (ex. annotating the text, turn and talk, Costa's Levels, sentence frames, marking the text, etc.) to increase the percentage meets the performance of all students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will meet proficiency standards on reading assessments, Increase reading performance and reach MAP Reading projected growth. The number of students that reach meets performance will increase.	45%	85%	90%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AVID Site Team Leaders Administration				
Support Teachers/Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6 - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 5				
Funding Sources: Primary notebooks and writing paper to support writing in the lower grades 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$2,500				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: 3rd-5th grade teachers will provide extended day and/or Saturday school during the second part of the semester	Formative			Summative
to provide timely assistance to all students, including at-risk students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease achievement gaps for all at-risk populations. Decrease the percentage of T2 and T3 students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		70%		
Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6 Purklam Statements: Student Learning A. 5				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 5				
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Teachers will use high-quality instructional materials consistently across classrooms, including resources		Formative	Summ	Summative
intentionally designed to meet the needs of students with disabilities and EBs, along with other student groups with diverse	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
needs. High-quality instructional materials include researched-based curriculum strategies, STAAR practice workbooks, and higher-level thinking skills/extension materials, including software.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will meet proficiency standards on reading assessments, increase meets	40%	100%	100%	
reading, math, and science performance, and reach MAP Reading, Math, and Science projected growth.				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: Achieve 3000 - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$14,903, Instructional Materials - 199 - PIC 24 State Comp Ed Funds - \$6,060				
- 1 IC 24 State Comp Ed Pullus - \$0,000				

Apr	Summative
Apr	
	June
100%	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: 45% of all 3rd-5th Grade students are at likely passed at all subjects on STAAR Reading & Math. This places Golden Meadows at the 7th place from the bottom. **Root Cause**: Lack of effective small group instruction and planning. Lack of content knowledge expertise.

Problem Statement 5: Kinder (33%), 4th grade (39%), and 5th grade (36%) were the grade levels with the lowest MAP reading growth for the 22-23 school year.

Root Cause: Lack of effective small group instruction and classroom management.

Performance Objective 2: Percent of students demonstrating English language acquisition as measured by Yearly Progress Indicator on Texas English Language Proficiency assessment System (TELPAS) assessments (grades K-12) will increase from 47% in 2023 to 55% in 2024 (2026 goal = 76%).

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS spring administration testing file (only students with yearly progress measure; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will implement sheltered instruction strategies in their classrooms (The 7 Steps to a Language-Rich		Formative		Summative
Interactive Classroom).	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show one-year growth according to the TELPAS assessment.			-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators	50%	70%		
Support Teachers/Coaches	50%	70%		
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 5				
Funding Sources: Headphones with microphone for students to practice listening and speaking skills 199 - PIC 25				
Bil/ESL State Allotment Funds - \$6,279, Teachers will create a print rich environment that incorporate sheltered				
instruction strategies 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$7,949				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		- I

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: 45% of all 3rd-5th Grade students are at likely passed at all subjects on STAAR Reading & Math. This places Golden Meadows at the 7th place from the bottom. **Root Cause**: Lack of effective small group instruction and planning. Lack of content knowledge expertise.

Problem Statement 5: Kinder (33%), 4th grade (39%), and 5th grade (36%) were the grade levels with the lowest MAP reading growth for the 22-23 school year. **Root Cause**: Lack of effective small group instruction and classroom management.

Performance Objective 3: Percent of students demonstrating scientific proficiency as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Science assessments (grade 5) will increase from 18% in 2023 to 30% in 2024 (2026 goal = 80%).

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: K-5 grade teachers will plan and deliver classroom instruction to address academic vocabulary in context		Formative		Summative
through visuals, hands-on activities, content walls, hands-on experiences, a print-rich environment, anchor charts, and applications that include all subject areas while focusing on all student groups with diverse needs, particularly at-risk	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
students and SPED students.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase meets performance on STAAR Science and increase the percentage of growth proficiency on STAAR MAP.	50%	60%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Support Teachers/Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: Education Galaxy Software - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$2,522, - 199 - PIC 23 SPED State Allotment Funds - \$1,311				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews				
Strategy 2: Teachers will use researched-based curriculum strategies, STAAR practice workbooks, and higher-level	Formative			Summativ			
thinking skills/extension materials, including software. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase meets performance on STAAR Science and increase the percentage of growth proficiency on STAAR MAP. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Support Teachers/Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov 30%	70%	Apr 15%	June			
Strategy 3 Details Strategy 3: Teachers use a corrective instruction action planning process, individually and in PLCs to analyze student work,	Reviews						Summative
identify trends in student misconceptions, determine the root cause as to why students may not have learned the concept, and create plans for instructional adjustments. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase meets performance on STAAR Science and increase the percentage of growth proficiency on MAP. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Support Teachers/Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov 50%	Feb 100%	Apr 100%	June			

10 of 24

Performance Objective 4: Percent of students demonstrating mathematical proficiency as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Mathematics assessments (grades 3-5) will increase from 39% in 2023 to 50% in 2024 (2026 goal = 90%).

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details				
Strategy 1: K-5 grade teachers will plan and deliver classroom instruction to help students make connections between the		Formative		Summative
concrete and abstract through visuals, hands-on activities, content walls, hands-on experiences, manipulatives, a print-rich environment, anchor charts, and applications of math while focusing on all student groups with diverse needs, particularly	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
at-risk students.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will meet proficiency standards on math assessments, increase math performance and reach MAP math projected growth.	40%	60%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Support Teachers/Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 4 Funding Sources: Small group instruction materials and manipulatives for math 6300 Supplies and Materials-Title				
I Funds - \$5,000				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will incorporate model drawing and AVID strategies such as 2-3 column notes to solve math		Summative		
strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will meet proficiency standards on math assessments, increase math performance and reach MAP math projected growth. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Support Teachers/Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 4	Nov 40%	Feb	Apr 100%	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Teachers will use research-based curriculum strategies, STAAR practice workbooks, and higher-level thinking skills/extension materials, including software.		Formative	Γ	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will meet proficiency standards on math assessments, increase math performance and reach MAP math projected growth. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Support Teachers/Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov 45%	Feb	Apr 100%	June

Strategy 4 Details				
Strategy 4: Teachers use a corrective instruction action planning process, individually and in PLCs to analyze student work,		Formative		Summative
identify trends in student misconceptions, determine the root cause as to why students may not have learned the concept, and create plans for instructional adjustments.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase meets performance on STAAR Math and increase the percentage of growth proficiency on MAP.		100%	100%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Support Teachers/Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		
	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: 45% of all 3rd-5th Grade students are at likely passed at all subjects on STAAR Reading & Math. This places Golden Meadows at the 7th place from the bottom. **Root Cause**: Lack of effective small group instruction and planning. Lack of content knowledge expertise.

Performance Objective 5: Percent of discretionary exclusionary consequences* will decrease from 17.5% in 2023 to 15% in 2024 (2026 goal <= 35%). *defined as Exclusionary consequences: In School Suspension (ISS), Out of School Suspension (OSS), District Alternative Education Placement (DAEP) and Reassignment Rooms

Evaluation Data Sources: Review 360 Incident Summary Report - total # of exclusionary consequences out of total # of consequences

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will participate in staff development and utilize a school-wide discipline system and Positive Behavior		Formative		Summative
Intervention Strategies (PBIS) and SEL strategies to ensure a welcoming, positive, safe, and respectful school environment. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease the number of office referrals across grade levels and create a safe school environment. Increase attendance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PBIS Team Administrators Teachers Staff Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Perceptions 1, 2	Nov 65%	Feb 85%	Apr	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue		

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Problem Statement 1: Average attendance over the year was 93.51% and our goal is 97%. **Root Cause**: Lack of effective attendance monitoring system and incentives.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: In the Panorama Teacher Survey, 61% of teachers stated they felt like they belonged. **Root Cause**: Many new staff members Lack of team bonding activities

Problem Statement 2: 53% of behavior office referrals came from the 4th grade. Root Cause: Lack of classroom management and consistency with behavior expectations.

Performance Objective 6: FAMILY & COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT: Total percent of parents who participate in the GISD Family Engagement Survey will increase from 4.9% in spring 2022 to 10.0% by 2026. (SY2023 interim goal = 7%)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: The Family Engagement Survey will be sent via various methods of communication, including hard copies,	Formative			Summative
Skylert Messages, ClassDojo, and a Newsletter.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The number of parents completing the Family Engagement Survey will increase.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Office Staff	50%	100%	100%	
Title I:				
2.6, 4.1				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 7: FAMILY & COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT: Percent of parents as measured by GISD Family Engagement Survey responding favorably on Question: I feel welcome at this school will increase from 93% in spring 2022 to 98% by 2026. (SY2023 interim goal = 95 %)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared responsibility for learning among staff, families, and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families, and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost.	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parental involvement in the education of their child. Reduce disciplinary incidents. Increase attendance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Perceptions 2				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a Family Engagement Policy, which will outline how parents and the district, along with school staff, will share the responsibility for improved student academic achievement. Language translation of policy will be distributed and available in order to meet the needs of our families and students.		Summative		
	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parental involvement in the education of their child. Reduce disciplinary incidents. Increase attendance.	100%	100%	100%	100%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Perceptions 2				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: PK/K Transition Meetings will be conducted to optimize school readiness for incoming PreK/K students and support their families during the transition process Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parental involvement in the education of their child. Reduce disciplinary incidents. Increase attendance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrator PK and K teachers	Nov	Feb 100%	Apr	Summative June
Title I: 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Teachers and counselor will support the transition to middle school for 5th graders moving to 6th grade by holding Transition Meetings for families in collaboration with feeder schools to provide information on graduation plans, and college and career readiness	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parental involvement in the education of their child. Reduce disciplinary incidents. Increase attendance.		50%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Administrator 5th Grade Teachers				
Title I: 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Parents and students will be invited to participate in family learning events such as AVID, Literacy Nights,		Formative		
Math & Science Night, Multicultural Night/Passport Night, Parent University on Behavior, etc. to increase awareness of parent strategies to support learning and student behavior.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parental involvement in the education of their child. Reduce disciplinary incidents. Increase attendance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Support Teachers/Coaches	75%	80%		
Title I: 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Parent Materials to Support Students at Home - 6300 Parent Involvement. Supplies T1 - \$2,251				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

19 of 24

Performance Objective 7 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Average attendance over the year was 93.51% and our goal is 97%. **Root Cause**: Lack of effective attendance monitoring system and incentives.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: 53% of behavior office referrals came from the 4th grade. **Root Cause**: Lack of classroom management and consistency with behavior expectations.

Performance Objective 8: Percent of students yearly attendance rate will increase from 93.5% in 2023 to 96 % in 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance Data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: The school will have a system to track student attendance and interventions, and the data is regularly reviewed	ved Formative			Summative	
to identify trends and adapt accordingly. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student attendance and performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Data Clerk Assistant Principal Title I: 2.6, 4.1 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1	Nov 50%	Feb 70%	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: The school will have a monthly attendance incentive to motivate students to attend school.	Formative Sun			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers Counselor	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1	40%	75%			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Performance Objective 8 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Average attendance over the year was 93.51% and our goal is 97%. **Root Cause**: Lack of effective attendance monitoring system and incentives.

Performance Objective 9: By June 2024, the turnover rate at Golden Meadows Elementary will be reduced by 50%.

Evaluation Data Sources: EOY data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Complete campus and district on-boarding processes and maintain open communication with all employees	Formative			Summative
through various channels, such as face-to-face meetings, classroom observations, staff newsletters, campus events, coaching conversations, etc.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Retention	40%	75%		
Title I: 2.6				
- TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Utilize best hiring practices, campus risk factor knowledge, and quality interview/selection processes in a	Formative Summative			
timely manner to secure teachers and staff that meet all student needs.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff retention and selection of high-quality teachers Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals	20%	50%		
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide ongoing opportunities for professional development for all staff through PLCs, lesson rehearsal/	Formative Summati			Summative
planning experiences, the Elementary Teacher Enrichment Program, and a consistent walkthrough/coaching/feedback cycle.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff retention, teacher capacity and student success Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math	50%	70%		







