# Garland Independent School District Rowlett Elementary School 2023-2024 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies

**Board Approval Date:** October 24, 2023 **Public Presentation Date:** September 28, 2023

# **Value Statement**

At Rowlett Elementary, we value GROWTH, RELATIONSHIPS and RESPECT FOR ALL.

## **Table of Contents**

Goals 4

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and equity in student management.

# Goals

**Goal 1:** Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and equity in student management.

**Performance Objective 1:** 60% of all students will meet or exceed their MAP growth goals from BOY to EOY in Math/Reading to ensure that our campus meets the 2024 target of 60% of students Meet standard on STAAR Reading/Math.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** MAP Growth Reports

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will group and progress monitor students based on skill deficits as identified on MAP, checkpoints,		Formative		Summative
Module Assessments, CBAs and daily instruction, to inform small group instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 60% of all students will meet or exceed their MAP growth goals from BOY to EOY in Math/Reading to ensure that our campus meets the 2024 target of 60% of students Meet standard on STAAR Reading/Math.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Instructional Team         Team Leaders         Classroom Teachers     </li> </ul>	25%	50%	-	
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 9				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Students close to moving a level on STAAR will be tracked across assessments and provided academic		Formative		Summative
interventions and study skills training.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 60% of all students will meet or exceed their MAP growth goals from BOY to EOY in Math/Reading to ensure that our campus meets the 2024 target of 60% of students Meet standard on STAAR Reading/Math.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade Level Team Leaders Campus Instruction Team Counselor Classroom Teacher IST  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 9	Nov 10%	Feb 50%	Apr	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
<b>Strategy 3:</b> ELST and IST will prioritize supporting teachers in planning small group instruction based on assessment data.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> 60% of all students will meet or exceed their MAP growth goals from BOY to EOY in Math/Reading to ensure that our campus meets the 2024 target of 60% of students Meet standard on STAAR	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Reading/Math.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration  Title I:	25%	50%		
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 9				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 4:</b> Teachers will be provided opportunities to observe their peers teaching in small groups.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Teachers will grow in their understanding of how to plan and deliver a small lesson plan based on student needs.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELST, IST, Administration	5%	50%		
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 3				
Funding Sources: Substitutes - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$3,275				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: First, Second and Fourth grade students did not meet their growth targets in MAP math, and First and Second grade students did not meet their projected growth targets in MAP Reading. **Root Cause**: The district curriculum scope and sequence did not align with MAP.

**Problem Statement 2**: While 76.71% of 5th graders passed STAAR math, only 38.36% met and 10.96% mastered. **Root Cause**: The rigor of district curriculum questions did not adequately prepare students for STAAR level questions.

**Problem Statement 3**: While 65.28% of 4th graders passed STAAR math, only 29.17% met, and 9.72% mastered. **Root Cause**: We need to prioritize enrichment opportunities for higher performers as well as intervention for Tier 2 and Tier 3 students.

**Problem Statement 4**: While 67.74% of 3rd graders passed STAAR reading, only 38.71% met, and 8.06% mastered. **Root Cause**: We need to prioritize enrichment opportunities for higher performers as well as intervention for Tier 2 and Tier 3 students.

**Problem Statement 9**: Only 38.36% of 5th grade students passed the Science STAAR test. **Root Cause**: The lack of prioritization of K-4 science instruction caused 5th grade students to come into science with conceptual gaps.

### **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 3**: Small group instruction did not increase student achievement on STAAR. **Root Cause**: Teachers are at various levels of understanding and expertise of how to plan and deliver small group instruction.

**Performance Objective 2:** 60% of SPED students will meet or exceed their MAP growth goals from BOY to EOY in Math/Reading to ensure that our campus meets the 2024 target of 25% of special education students Meet standard on STAAR Reading/Math.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** MAP Growth Reports

Strategy 1 Details		Revi	iews	
Strategy 1: We will monitor SPED students' progress and conduct collaborative planning sessions between general		Formative		
education and SPED teachers regarding lesson plans/daily targets/TEKS, etc.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> 25% of special education students Meet standard on STAAR Reading/Math.	N/A		-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: SPED Staff	14/14	50%		
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Revi	iews	
<b>Strategy 2:</b> SPED teachers will provide differentiating instruction to support academic needs of SPED students.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 25% of special education students Meet standard on STAAR Reading/Math.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: SPED Staff				
Campus Instruction Team	25%	55%		
	25%	55%		
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2				
2.2000m Statements, 20mographico 2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tınue		
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	Discon	unue		

### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: SPED students missed their math target in domain 3 (Academic Achievement) by 13 points **Root Cause**: Lack of consistent communication between SPED and GEN Ed teacher regarding SPED student progress.

**Performance Objective 3:** 70% of people who complete the Family Engagement Survey will answer ALWAYS to "This school provides materials and trainings to help parents work with their children to improve student achievement."

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Family Engagement Survey Submissions.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will regularly provide materials to parents that help them work with their children to improve		Formative		Summative
student achievement and to grow in their understanding of the curriculum.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Response to "This school provides materials and trainings to help parents work with their children to improve student achievement will increase from 60% to 70% as per the Family Engagement Survey.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Classroom Teachers</li> </ul>	25%	50%		
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: We will host curriculum nights each semester to engage parents with the work students are doing in the	parents with the work students are doing in the Formative			Summative
classroom.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Parent awareness of the curriculum will increase from 62% to 70% based on the			-	
Family Engagement Survey.	5%	50%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Team Leaders				
Title I:				
4.1, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
<b>Funding Sources:</b> - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$12,000, - 6300 Parent Involvement. Supplies T1 - \$2,015				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 3:</b> Provide PD opportunities for staff and parents on best practices to create a culturally competent and inclusive		Formative		Summative
environment for families	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent engagement on campus will increase.			<b>F</b> -	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration	5%	50%		
	370	30%		
Title I:				
2.5, 2.6, 4.2				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Support transition to middle school for 5th graders moving to 6th grade by holding Transition meetings for		Formative Summa	Summative	
families in collaboration with feeder schools to provide information on graduation plans, and college and career readiness.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I:				
4.2	10%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinua	•	•
No Flogress Accomplished Continue/Modify	Discon	unuc		

**Performance Objective 4:** EL/EB students will increase their academic achievement target in reading and in math.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Domain 3 Academic Achievement

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: EL and EB students will be tracked across assessments and provided academic interventions and study skills		Formative		Summative
training as needed.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> EL and EB students will meet their academic achievement targets in reading and in math.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade Level Team Leader	10%	50%		
Bilingual Teachers				
Administration				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Tutoring Supplies and Materials - 199 - PIC 25 Bil/ESL State Allotment Funds - \$3,319				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a written Parent and Family Engagement policy that describes		Formative		Summative
how the school will inform parents of the school's participation in the Title I, Part A program, and strategies that the school	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
will use to build the capacity of parents to support campus academic goals. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost.				
	100%	100%	100%	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> EL and EB students will meet their academic achievement targets in reading and in math.	100%	100%	100%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration			)	
Title I:				
4.1, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			_
Strategy 3: Develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared		Formative		Summative
responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the compact will be made available at no cost.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Families will have an opportunity to review the compact and provide feedback during parent-teacher conferences.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategy's Expected Result/Impact EL and EB students will meet their academic achievement targets in reading and in math.	100%	100%	100%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin				
Title I: 4.1, 4.2  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5: SPED students will meet their Domain 3 (Academic Achievement) of 25% MEETS in math.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus Report Card

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 1: Special Ed/Intervention staff will meet regularly with Gen Ed staff to discuss the progress of shared students,		Formative		Formative		Summative
resulting in documented, targeted instructional action steps to be implemented by SPED teachers and teachers of record.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> SPED students will receive more targeted tutoring that will support their growth in Domain 3.	N/A					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration SPED Staff Classroom Teachers		50%				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Materials for tutoring - 199 - PIC 23 SPED State Allotment Funds - \$1,349						
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue				

Performance Objective 6: 50% of 5th grade students will perform at the meets level on STAAR Science

**Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Science** 

Strategy 1 Details		Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Science instruction at all grade levels will be aligned to state standards, with progress monitoring of student		Formative		
performance targeted at grades 3-5. These students will score at a minimum of 45% meets on district CBA's, increasing to 50% for 5th grade on spring 2024 STAAR interim assessment.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 50% of 5th grade students will perform at the meets level on STAAR Science Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration	25%	50%		
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments Problem Statements: Student Learning 9				
Strategy 2 Details		Revi	ews	•
Strategy 2: District staff will lead Science PLCs to rehearse upcoming lessons and analyze data.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 60% of 5th grade students will perform at the meets level on STAAR Science	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Problem Statements: Student Learning 9	25%	50%	-	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		'

### **Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:**

### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 9**: Only 38.36% of 5th grade students passed the Science STAAR test. **Root Cause**: The lack of prioritization of K-4 science instruction caused 5th grade students to come into science with conceptual gaps.