Garland Independent School District Sewell Elementary School

2023-2024 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies



Board Approval Date: October 24, 2023 **Public Presentation Date:** August 24, 2023

Mission Statement

Sewell Elementary School Mission Statement

Sewell Elementary School will provide a quality education for all students in a way that:

- Expects the highest possible achievement for every student
- Produces self confident and motivated students
- Provides a multi-cultural appreciation for all cultures
- Invites parents to be active partners in their child's education

So that all students will be successful lifelong learners and productive citizens.

Vision

At Sewell we will create an academic environment where students and staff work together collaboratively, become risk takers and set attainable goals for themselves.

We will also create a social/emotional environment where students feel safe to express themselves, where they are encouraged to find the power in words and where they are able to understand different perspectives.

This will allow students to become the best versions of themselves now and in the future.

Core Beliefs

Belief Statements:

At Sewell Elementary our core values are relationships, communication and trust. This is the foundation on which we build.

We believe to build relationships with all stakeholders at Sewell we should provide empathy by becoming good listeners through all forms of communication. In order to become good listeners, we will be kind, understanding, and respectful when considering all viewpoints.

We believe that effective teamwork begins and ends with communication. Effective communication is clear, consistent, focused, and relevant. Communication should be delivered and reciprocated in a respectful manner.

We believe in creating a safe and respectful space for everyone to share and feel valued.

Table of Contents

Goals	5
Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and	
graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.	5

Goals

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.

Performance Objective 1: Percent of students in grade 3 demonstrating early literacy as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Reading, will increase from 61.4% in 2022 to 90% by 2025. (SY2024 interim goal =still waiting on scores from the state.)

Strategy 1 Details		Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Teachers use the 4 PLC questions process in PLCs or during a campus provided planning days to analyze		Formative		Summative
Strategy 1: Teachers use the 4 PLC questions process in PLCs or during a campus provided planning days to analyze student work, identify trends in student misconceptions, determine the root cause as to why students may not have learned the concept, and create plans for instructional adjustments. Data includes: CBAs, MAP, Interim, STAAR, Exit Tickets, Student Work Sub Populations include: EB learners, SPED, Hispanic, Asian, Economically Disadvantaged, White Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. MAP quadrant reports after MOY & EOY showing 75% of students in quadrants #1 or 2 (high growth/high achiever &/or high growth/low achiever) 2. MAP MOY reports showing 75% of students meeting their Reading goal. 3. Lesson plans showing effective planning of mini-lessons with LO's and DOLs clearly stated and implemented. 4. Formative assessment data and unit assessment data that shows improvement from the previous assessment. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Teachers Interventionist CST ELST TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Subs for team planning days in the fall and in the spring - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$7,600	Nov 60%	Feb 75%	Apr	Summative June

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: All classroom teachers will utilize small group teacher-led & student led instruction to specifically target and		Formative		Summative
support individual student needs (including EB, SPED, GT & Asian special populations) by providing targeted skill-based instruction to better serve the needs of diverse learners.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Student assessment data showing steady gains from grading cycle to grading cycle (MAP, mClass, IL, report card grades in reading/writing). 2. Classroom walkthrough data showing effective use of small group instruction including opportunities for students to	55%	80%		
use one-to-one technology resources for lesson differentiation.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Teachers SPED team				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: All teachers use a student tracking system that includes assessment information to monitor individual academic		Formative		Summative
student progress. Assessments include: iReady Reading, MAP, M-Class, Amplify, STAAR (4-5)	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Student data tracking sheets showing steady gains from one assessment to the next.	55%	85%		
2. Student assessment data showing improvements from grading cycle to grading cycle (STAAR, MAP, IL)				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Classroom teachers				
SPED teachers				
Interventionist				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				1

Strategy 4 Details		Revi	ews	
Strategy 4: All staff are engaged in coordinated and proactive planning to identify students who have significant learning		Formative		Summative
gaps or who lack key foundational skills and provide them with timely interventions throughout the year. Staff includes: Interventionist, EB Aide, Campus Support Teacher	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement levels according to MAP, mClass, CBAs, report cards, etc.	50%	80%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Interventionist, EB aide, classroom teachers, Administration, Early Literacy Support Teacher				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Funding Sources: Data Tracking Folders - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$500, Materials for tutoring - 199 - PIC 23 SPED State Allotment Funds - \$2,090				
Strategy 5 Details		•		
Strategy 5: Provide teachers with professional development on using the 4 PLC questions to help understand how to use data to drive instructional decisions.	NT.	Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement on exit tickets, CBAs, MAP and STAAR.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, ELST, CST, Interventionist, classroom teachers	70%	80%		
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: Professional Development - 6200 Contracted Services/Registration- Title I Fun - \$7,000				
	X Discon			ļ.

Performance Objective 2: Percent of EB students demonstrating English language acquisition, as measured by earning yearly progress indicator on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS), will increase from 48.5% in 2022 to 76% by 2025. (SY22-23 interim goal = 56.1 %)

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS spring administration testing data file (only students with progress measure; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews				
Strategy 1: Instructional LPAC meetings will be held as needed each grading cycle for EB students not meeting grade level		Formative		Summative			
expectations. Strategies for EB success will be discussed along with supports/resources available. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. EB students will show increased levels of performance on mock STAAR, report card grades, MAP data, & IL data. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: LPAC Administrator Classroom teachers SPED teachers ESL Instructional aide TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov 70%	Feb 80%	Apr	June			
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			Reviews			•
Strategy 2: Teachers will receive training and coaching of high-quality ELL instruction that includes the use of the ELPS		Formative		Summative			
toolkit & Imagine Learning resources.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. ELL students will show increased levels of performance on STAAR Interim, report card grades, MAP data, IL data and MClass assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: LPAC Administrator-Assistant Principal Classroom teachers ESL instructional aide TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	N/A	45%					

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: All staff are engaged in coordinated and proactive planning to identify EB students who have significant		Formative		Summative
learning gaps or who lack key foundational skills and provide them with timely interventions throughout the year based on the disaggregation of individual student data for the purpose of improved language acquisition.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement levels according to MAP, mClass, CBAs, report cards, etc. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ESL certified staff, Interventionist, SPED staff, ESL instructional aide, and administration	60%	70%		
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Funding Sources: - 199 - PIC 25 Bil/ESL State Allotment Funds - \$2,919				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	

Performance Objective 3: Percent of students in grade 5 demonstrating scientific understanding as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Science, will increase from 42.2 % in 2022 to 80% by 2025. (SY2024 interim goal =still waiting on scores from the state.)

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Small group targeted instruction in 5th grade Science will take place during small group instructional time		Formative		Summative
embedded in the core schedule.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student achievement in Science will increase specifically for subpopulations (ED, White, Asian, GT, Hispanic & SPED)	N/A	500	-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration 5th grade teachers		50%		
Interventionist				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Students will be grouped during Science small group instructional time based on need and targeted groups such		Formative		Summative
as EBs, GT, SPED, Asian, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, & White will be monitored through formative assessments.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student achievement in Science will increase specifically for subpopulations (ED, White, Asian, GT, Hispanic & SPED)	N/A	40%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration 5th grade teachers				
Interventionist				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	I itinue		
	•			

Performance Objective 4: Percent of students demonstrating mathematical proficiency, as measured by Meets Grade Level performance by the end of grade 5 on STAAR Mathematics, will increase from 40.6% in 2022 to 90% by 2025. (SY2024 interim goal =still waiting on scores from the state.)

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Teachers use the 4 PLC questions process in PLCs or during a campus provided planning day to analyze		Formative		Summative
strategy 1: Teachers use the 4 PLC questions process in PLCs or during a campus provided planning day to analyze student work, identify trends in student misconceptions, determine the root cause as to why students may not have learned the concept, and create plans for instructional adjustments. Data includes: CBAs, MAP, Interim, STAAR, Exit Tickets, Student Work Sub Populations include: EB learners, SPED, Hispanic, Asian, Economically Disadvantaged, White Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Student assessment data showing steady gains from grading cycle to grading cycle (MAP, IL, report card grades in Mathematics). 2. Classroom walkthrough data showing effective use of small group instruction. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Classroom Teachers Interventionist SPED teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Funding Sources: subs for content area planning days - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$8,050	Nov 60%	Feb 75%	Apr	June

Strategy 2 Details		Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: All math teachers will utilize small group teacher-led & student led instruction to specifically target and support		Formative		Summative
individual student needs (including ELL, SPED, GT & Asian special populations).	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Student assessment data showing steady gains from grading cycle to grading cycle (MAP, report card grades, unit assessments in math). 2. Classroom walkthrough data showing effective use of small group instruction including implementation of one-to-one technology devices . 	70%	80%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
ISTs Interventionist				
Teachers				
SPED team				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: All teachers use a student tracking system that includes assessment information to monitor individual academic		Formative		Summative
student progress. Assessments include: iReady Math, MAP, ST Math, STAAR (4-5)	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Student data tracking sheets showing steady gains from one assessment to the next.	55%	75%		
2. Student assessment data showing improvements from grading cycle to grading cycle				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Classroom teachers				
SPED teachers				
Interventionist Campus Support Teacher				
Campus Support Teacher				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Funding Sources: materials and resources for targeted skill practice including software and manipulatives - 199 - PIC 24 State Comp Ed Funds - \$5,580				

Strategy 4 Details				
Strategy 4: All staff are engaged in coordinated and proactive planning to identify students who have significant learning		Summative		
gaps or who lack key foundational skills and provide them with timely interventions throughout the year. Staff includes: Interventionist, EB Aide, Campus Support Teacher	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement levels according to MAP, M-Class, CBAs, report cards, etc. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math teachers, administration, Interventionist, Campus Support Teacher	55%	80%		
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5: Percent of student management incidents resulting in exclusionary consequences [i.e., In School Suspension (ISS), Out of School Suspension (OSS), and Reassignment Rooms] will decrease from 25 % in 2022 to 15% by 2025. (SY22-23 interim goal = 20 %)

Evaluation Data Sources: Review360 Incident Summary Report - total # of exclusionary consequences out of total # of consequences

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: A Positive Behavior Intervention Supports (PBIS) lead team will be developed to train, coach, and support	Formative			Summative
classroom teachers on PBIS processes and procedures for common areas including: Cafeteria Hallways Arrival Areas Dismissal Areas Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Student discipline incidents will decrease. 2. Positive behaviors will be acknowledged on a more consistent basis. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration PBIS team TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals	Nov 65%	Feb 75%	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Social & Emotional Learning (SEL) time as well as guidance lessons from the counselor will be including in		Rev Formative	iews	Summative
the master schedule to facilitate the teaching of lessons to enhance students' social and emotional skills. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Student discipline incidents for physical confrontation and other emotional reasons will decrease. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Teachers Counselor TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals Funding Sources: Calm Down Kits - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$500	Nov 70%	Feb 85%	Apr	June

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: The campus will create an inclusive and welcoming environment that engages all families in critical aspects of		Formative		Summative
academic student learning as well as social emotion student learning. Parents will have opportunities to participate in events such as Porch Party, Powerful Parent Night, Health Fair, Back to School Picnic, Fine Arts Nights, SEL events and others throughout the school year.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. Increase in family involvement.	60%	70%		
2. Increase in higher quality communication between home and school.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Teachers				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
Funding Sources: academic materials to send home with students during breaks/summer - 6300 Parent Involvement. Supplies T1 - \$2,251				
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
egy 4: Support transition for both our PreK to Kindergarten students as well as our 5th graders moving to 6th grade by		Formative		Summativ
holding Transition meetings for families in the spring of 2024.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents and families will be more equipped and prepared for both Prek to Kindergarten transistions as well as 5th grade to 6th grade transitions.	N/A	N/A		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor				
Kindergarten Teachers 5th grade teachers				
Administration				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Develop jointly with, and distribute to parents/families, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared		Formative		Summativ
responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Families	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
will have an opportunity to review the compact and provide feedback during parent-teacher conferences. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Home and school will be more aligned on our collective goals for students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teachers Administration	100%	100%	100%	
Parent Involvement Committee Counselor				

Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 6: Develop jointly with, and distribute to parents/families, a written PFE policy that describes how the school will inform parents of the school's participation in the Title I, Part A program, and strategies that the school will use to build the capacity of parents to support campus academic goals. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and		Formative		
		Feb	Apr	June
community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Home and school will be more aligned on our collective goals for students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teachers Administration Parent Involvement Committee	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discontinue			