# Garland Independent School District Walnut Glen Academy For Excellence 2023-2024 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies

**Board Approval Date:** October 24, 2023 **Public Presentation Date:** October 25, 2023

## **Mission Statement**

The mission of Walnut Glen Academy for Excellence is to provide an exceptional education for our diverse academically gifted, artistically and musically talented, and regular education students by teaming with parents, teachers, and community members to present innovative learning opportunities for all.

We strive to help students become strong academic and creative thinkers so they can better face future challenges and become successful, responsible members of a world society. We aim to establish an atmosphere in which students possessing a wide range of abilities understand that everyone has the potential to become a leader.

# Vision

The vision of Walnut Glen Academy is to maintain high academic standards, thus equipping students with the necessary tools to become life-long learners, responsible citizens, and productive community members.

# **Table of Contents**

Goals	4
Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and	
graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.	۷
Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification	14

# Goals

**Goal 1:** Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.

**Performance Objective 1:** Percent of students in grade 3-5 demonstrating early literacy as measured by meets grade level performance on STAAR Reading, will increase to 72 (for all 4 points) from 44 (target) for Domain 3.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews				
Strategy 1: Teachers will utilize small group instruction daily to target specific learning gaps and targeted SEs.		Formative		Summative		
	Nov	Feb	Apr	June		
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews					S
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Teachers will utilize iReady to target enrichment activities to promote G/T and magnet student growth.	Nov	Formative Feb	Apr	Summative June		
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize mClass to progress monitor students and provide small group and individualized		Formative		Summative		
instruction.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased reading scores and skills  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Thomason and Brown  Title I:	Nov	Feb	Apr	June		
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: Easels for classrooms - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$1,000						

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews  Formative  Nov Feb Apr			
Strategy 4: Teachers will create interactive vocabulary walls and displays for students to interact with their learning in		Formative		Summative
whole group format.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased literacy  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: Die cut/curriculum producing program aligned to the TEKS - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$5,000				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Only 2 of our 6 grade levels have over half of their students scoring above the 80% in Reading MAP. **Root Cause**: Adapting to and learning to use/apply the new reading curriculum. There were not as many differentiated supports within the reading curriculum to serve all of the subgroups (specifically EBs and GT students) on our campus.

**Performance Objective 2:** Percent of ELL students demonstrating English language acquisition, as measured by earning yearly progress indicator on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS), will remain at 45 for Domain 3.

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS spring administration testing data file (only students with progress measure; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students will practice reading, speaking, listening and writing in class and in online programs as demonstrated	n online programs as demonstrated Formative			Summative	
through specific activities on lesson plans.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased ratings on TELPAS	1107	100	1101	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: administrators					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: The campus will provide a print-rich environment, including labels in English and Spanish, word walls, and		Formative		Summative	
pictures to support emerging bilingual students.	Nov	Feb	A		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased proficiency on TELPAS	NOV	reb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration					
Title I:					
2.4					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
20.01 The Quanty instructional flatorials and rissessments, 20.01 s. Entouro instruction					
No Progress 100% Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinuo	1		
No Progress Continue/Modify	Discor	itiliue			

### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Only 2 of our 6 grade levels have over half of their students scoring above the 80% in Reading MAP. **Root Cause**: Adapting to and learning to use/apply the new reading curriculum. There were not as many differentiated supports within the reading curriculum to serve all of the subgroups (specifically EBs and GT students) on our campus.

**Performance Objective 3:** Percent of students in grade 5 demonstrating scientific understanding as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Science, will increase from 82% to 85% for 2023 to 80% by 2025.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students will be provided hands on investigations to create concrete to abstract connections to the TEKS.		native Summativ	Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased science STAAR Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators	Nov Feb		June	
Title I: 2.4 - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 2: 5th grade students will access online resources with STAAR formatted questions to increase science		Formative		Summative
proficiency.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased proficiency on STAAR  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators  Title I: 2.4 - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	<u> </u> ntinue		

**Performance Objective 4:** Percent of students demonstrating mathematical proficiency as measured by Meets Grade Level performance by the end of grade 3-5 on STAAR Math will increase from 39 (target was 38) to 69 for Domain 3 (full 4 points).

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Online math programs will be used to enhance small group instruction. ie ST Math and Aleks.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Meets to Masters on STAAR Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Small group instruction with manipulatives will be provided to students based on their assessment data,	Formative			Summative	
anecdotal data, and other measures administered be teachers.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased math scores on STAAR Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and administrators					
Title I:					
<ul> <li>2.4, 2.6</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Build a foundation of reading and math</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> </ul>					
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	1	-	

**Performance Objective 5:** Percent of student management incidents resulting in exclusionary consequences [i.e., In School Suspension (ISS), Out of School Suspension (OSS)] will decrease from 11.75% and will stay below 35% by 2025.

Evaluation Data Sources: Review360 Incident Summary Report - total # of exclusionary consequences out of total # of consequences

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Leader in Me program will be implemented campus-wide providing the 7 Habits, goal-setting, and		Formative		Summative	
accountability partners.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased learning time through positive behavior and habits Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership team  Title I: 2.4 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Campus-wide implementation of the PAWS acronym to support behavior expectation for all students in all		Formative		Summative	
common areas.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Consistent, improved behavior across campus Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff  Title I: 2.4 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: The PBIS team will provide expectations for common areas and classrooms, including posters and printables.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved behavior and decreased exclusionary discipline	Nov	Nov Feb		June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: administration			Apr	
Title I:				
2.4				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Performance Objective 6:** During the 23-24 school year, 100% of our WGA families will be invited to Parent Education opportunities beyond the school day. 80% of parents will rate the event as successful as measured by parent surveys.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Participation in Parent Education activities

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Families will attend Literacy Night, Science Perot Night, and Multicultural night to support increased		Formative		Summative	
involvement and build a community connection.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign in sheets, surveys	1101	100	1101	- Guile	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff					
Title I:					
4.2					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1					
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Pay Staff for Literacy Night - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$1,500, Pay staff for Perot Night and Multicultural Night - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$2,000, Books for Families/Parent Involvement - 6300 Parent Involvement. Supplies T1 - \$2,000					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Multiple performances (music, PE, orchestra), award ceremonies/Rallies, and events will be offered throughout		Formative	Summative	Summative	
the year to encourage parent participation and provide opportunities for parents to engage with the school community.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased family engagement			•		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators					
Title I: 4.2					
4.2 - ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Level 3. I ostave sensor curtare					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	<u> </u>		

### **Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:**

### **Perceptions**

**Problem Statement 1**: There's a district wide perception that gifted students will grow at the same rate as general ed students. **Root Cause**: There's no proper and official gifted curriculum for Garland ISD GT students.

### Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification

Performance Objective 1: By June 2024, 100% of our Magnet students will have the opportunity for an enriched academic curriculum.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** High percentage of Masters performance on STAAR assessments.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will be provided with professional development opportunities that focus on differentiation to develop		Summative		
lessons for both magnet and non-magnet students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification

Performance Objective 2: By June 2024, staff will be trained in providing differentiated instruction for non-magnet, magnet, and gifted and talented students.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Differentiated lesson plans

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 1: Staff will attend differentiation training provided by Region 10.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased differentiation	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: administration					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Teachers will participate in lesson internalization and rehearsal to develop differentiated instructional strategies		Formative	tive Sum		
and lessons.	Nov	Feb	Ann	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased achievement on STAAR and MAP	1107	reb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: administration					
Title I: 2.4					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Level 1. High Quanty instructional Materials and Assessments, Level 3. Effective instruction					
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor		1		
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	Discor	itinue			

### Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification

**Performance Objective 3:** Students will participate in clubs, activities, and leadership opportunities through the Leader in Me program, Sharpen the Saw activities, and staff sponsored opportunities.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Surveys

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews					
Strategy 1: Sharpen the Saw opportunities will take place twice per semester to provide enrichment opportunities for all		Formative			Formative		Summative
students.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased opportunities for extracurricular activities  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	Nov	Feb	Apr	June			
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction							
Strategy 2 Details							
Strategy 2: 5th grade students will attend science camp and 4th grade students will take a field trip to enhance science and		Formative		Summative			
social studies knowledge.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Creating opportunities for real world connections Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers  Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov	Feb	Apr	June			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue					