Garland Independent School District Walnut Glen Academy For Excellence 2024-2025 Campus Improvement Plan

# **Mission Statement**

The mission of Walnut Glen Academy for Excellence is to provide an exceptional education for our diverse academically gifted, artistically and musically talented, and regular education students by teaming with parents, teachers, and community members to present innovative learning opportunities for all.

We strive to help students become strong academic and creative thinkers so they can better face future challenges and become successful, responsible members of a world society. We aim to establish an atmosphere in which students possessing a wide range of abilities understand that everyone has the potential to become a leader.

## Vision

The vision of Walnut Glen Academy is to maintain high academic standards, thus equipping students with the necessary tools to become life-long learners, responsible citizens, and productive community members.

## **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	9
Priority Problem Statements	10
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	11
Goals	13
Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness and	
graduation rates, and decreasing student management incidences.	13
Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification for various programs and organizations that enrich student experiences and learning.	21
Campus Funding Summary	24
Policies, Procedures, and Requirements	25

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

## **Demographics**

## **Demographics Summary**

- The following are our student demographics:
  - Female 52%
  - Male 48%
  - Hispanic 42%
  - Asian 20%
  - White 19%
  - African American 15%
  - Multi-racial 4%

### The student programs we have are:

- Dyslexia 6%
- Gifted and Talented 28%
- Special Education 8%
- Emerging Bilinguals 43%
- Bilingual 19%

Walnut Glen is a Title 1 campus with 58% of students being economically disadvantaged.

• The following are our staff demographics:

56% white, 31% Hispanic, 14% African American, 0% Asian, 0% Mixed Race

## **Demographics Strengths**

- We have been successful in bridging gaps between our magnet and non-magnet students, as well as all our ethnic groups. Each grade level participates each nine weeks in a multicultural activity and these activities culminate with end of the year multicultural celebration program that involves our parents, students, and community.
- WGA is above district in all sub-groups for attendance.
- WGA family engagement survey indicates that 93% of our students receive information in a language that they understand.
- Office referrals and out of school suspensions for African American students have decreased over the last four years.

## Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Our Bi-lingual program in 2nd grade was closed due to low enrollment. Root Cause: Recruitment numbers are decreasing.

## **Student Learning**

#### **Student Learning Summary**

- 5th STAAR Results:
  - Reading 95% passed
  - Science 95% passed
  - Math 99% Passed
- 4th STAAR Preliminary Results
  - Reading 86% Passed
  - Math 81% Passed
- 3rd STAAR Results
  - Reading 95% Passed
  - Math 95%Passed

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

WGA 5th grade science STAAR score at 95% Likely Passed was the highest score in the district. District average= 39%

WGA 5th grade math STAAR score at 99% Likely Passed was the highest score in the district. District average= 63%

WGA 4th grade reading STAAR score at 86% Likely Passed was the 2nd highest score in the district. District average= 59%

WGA 4th grade math STAAR score at 81% Likely Passed was the 3rd highest score in the district. District average= 57%

WGA 3rd grade math STAAR score at 95% Likely Passed was the highest score in the district. District average= 57%

WGA 3rd grade reading STAAR score at 95% Likely Passed was the 2nd highest score in the district. District average= 53%

#### % of students scoring above the 80% on Math MAP

- Kindergarten 81%
- First 56%
- Second 52%
- Third 66%
- Fourth 56%
- Fifth 58%

## % of students scoring above the 80% on Reading MAP

- Kindergarten 55%
- First 38%

- Second 49%
- Third 63%
- Fourth 39%
- Fifth 43%

### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Only 2 of our 6 grade levels have over half of their students scoring above the 80% in Reading MAP **Root Cause:** Adapting to and learning to use/apply the new reading curriculum. There were not as many differentiated supports within the reading curriculum to serve all of the subgroups (specifically EBs and GT students) on our campus.

## **School Processes & Programs**

## School Processes & Programs Summary

Our processes and programs include:

Ongoing monitoring and staff development on how to implement daily small group instruction in both reading and math in the Eureka and Amplify curriculum

Continuing to expand and extend our Leader in Me program for SEL implementation as well as achieving Lighthouse status.

Expanding Amira in our bilingual classrooms in K-5th grades.

Weekly Professional Learning Community (PLCs) to disaggregate data, internalize lessons, and hold student data meetings.

Campus committee meetings

## Student leadership team

Opportunities for clubs and organizations, including robotics, gardening, and UIL

### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

Recognized by STMath for the highest average usage for the year

954,785 puzzles completed for the year for STMath

Individual students recognized for STMath contests and awards.

Myon school winner for Winter Challenge and Spring Reading Challenge

848,571 words read on MyOn for the year

100% of teachers are utilizing small group instruction in their classrooms according to TTESS documentation.

We continue to be a leader in our district when it comes to student attendance averages at 96.9%

## Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Magnet students not meeting end of year growth expectations for MAP reading and math Root Cause: New curriculum does not support these students as well as not having a formal magnet curriculum provided by the district

## Perceptions

## **Perceptions Summary**

Communication with our stakeholders was conducted in person.

Skyward and Canvas platforms continued to be relied on this school year in order to communicate effectively with parents.

The Leader in Me family survey indicated that family and community engagement was at 79%.

PTA enrollment has increased by 100% from the prior year.

Parents have opportunities to be engaged on campus including Literacy Night, performances, student led conferences, and Perot Science night.

Transition activities are offered for kindergarten and 5th grade students.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

Problem Statement 1: Only 2 of our 6 grade levels have over half of their students scoring above the 80% in Reading MAP

Root Cause 1: Adapting to and learning to use/apply the new reading curriculum. There were not as many differentiated supports within the reading curriculum to serve all of the subgroups (specifically EBs and GT students) on our campus.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Magnet students not meeting end of year growth expectations for MAP reading and mathRoot Cause 2: New curriculum does not support these students as well as not having a formal magnet curriculum provided by the districtProblem Statement 2 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: Our Bi-lingual program in 2nd grade was closed due to low enrollment.Root Cause 3: Recruitment numbers are decreasing.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

### Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Federal Report Card and accountability data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Observation Survey results
- Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) reading assessment data for Grades PK-2
- · Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

## **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- T-TESS data

### **Parent/Community Data**

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

### Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Study of best practices
- Action research results

## Goals

**Goal 1:** Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness and graduation rates, and decreasing student management incidences.

**Performance Objective 1:** Percent of students in grade 3-5 demonstrating early literacy as measured by mastering reading grade level performance on STAAR Reading, will increase to 70% from 61% for Domain 3.

## **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Teachers will utilize small group instruction daily to target specific learning gaps and targeted SEs.		<b>Formative</b> S			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All teachers					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Teachers will utilize iReady and ALEXS to target enrichment activities to promote G/T and magnet student	Formative			Summative	
growth.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize mClass to progress monitor students and provide small group and individualized	Formative			Summative	
instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	

	Formative		
	Summative		
Nov	Feb	Apr	June
			1
X Discon	tinue		
		Nov Feb	

**Performance Objective 2:** Percent of ELL students demonstrating English language acquisition, as measured by earning yearly progress indicator on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS), will increase by 10 for Domain 3.

## **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS spring administration testing data file (only students with progress measure; accountability subset

Strategy 1 Details		Revi	iews		
Strategy 1: Students will practice reading, speaking, listening and writing in class and in online programs as demonstrated		Formative Sumr			
<ul> <li>shrough specific activities on lesson plans.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased ratings on TELPAS</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: administrators</li> <li>Title I:</li> <li>2.4, 2.6</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Build a foundation of reading and math</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> </ul>	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: The campus will provide a print-rich environment, including labels in English and Spanish, word walls, and	Formative			Summative	
<ul> <li>pictures to support emerging bilingual students.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased proficiency on TELPAS</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration</li> <li>Title I:</li> <li>2.4</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Build a foundation of reading and math</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> </ul>	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
No Progress ON Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

**Performance Objective 3:** Percent of students in grade 5 demonstrating scientific understanding as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Science, will increase from 82% to 85% for 2023 to 80% by 2025.

## **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Nov	Formative		Summative			
Nov		Formative Su				
	Feb	Apr	June			
Reviews						
increase science Formative	Summative					
Nov	Feb	Apr	June			
-	Nov	Formative	Formative			

**Performance Objective 4:** Percent of students demonstrating mathematical proficiency as measured by Meets Grade Level performance by the end of grade 3-5 on STAAR Math will increase from 39 (target was 38) to 69 for Domain 3 (full 4 points).

## **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 1: Online math programs will be used to enhance small group instruction. ie ST Math and Aleks.	Formative Sum				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Meets to Masters on STAAR from 49% to 55%	Nov	Feb	eb Apr		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Small group instruction with manipulatives will be provided to students based on their assessment data,	Formative S			Summative	
anecdotal data, and other measures administered be teachers.	Nov	Nov Feb Apr		June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased math scores on STAAR			-		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and administrators					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

**Performance Objective 5:** Percent of student management incidents resulting in exclusionary consequences [i.e., In School Suspension (ISS), Out of School Suspension (OSS)] will decrease from 11.75% and will stay below 35% by 2025.

Evaluation Data Sources: Review360 Incident Summary Report - total # of exclusionary consequences out of total # of consequences

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus-wide implementation of the PAWS acronym to support behavior expectation for all students in all	or all students in all Formative Su			Summative	
common areas.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Consistent, improved behavior across campus					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff					
Title I:					
2.4					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: The PBIS team will provide expectations for common areas and classrooms, including posters and printables.		Summative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved behavior and decreased exclusionary discipline	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: administration					
Title I:					
2.4					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 3: Implement an Award and Student of the Week system.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase positive behavior and student morale	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: administration					
Title I:					
2.4					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Walnut Clan Acadamy Far Excellance				Compus #122	



**Performance Objective 6:** During the 24-25 school year, 100% of our WGA families will be invited to Parent Education opportunities beyond the school day. 80% of parents will rate the event as successful as measured by parent surveys.

Evaluation Data Sources: Sign in sheets, surveys

Formative Feb	e Apr	Summative June
Feb	Apr	June
Reviews		
	e Apr	Summative June
v	Formative	

Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification for various programs and organizations that enrich student experiences and learning.

Performance Objective 1: By June 2025, 100% of our Magnet students will have the opportunity for an enriched academic curriculum.

Evaluation Data Sources: High percentage of Masters performance on STAAR assessments.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Teachers will be provided with professional development opportunities that focus on differentiation to develop		Summative		
lessons for both magnet and non-magnet students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I:				
2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2: By June 2025, staff will be trained in providing differentiated instruction for non-magnet, magnet, and gifted and talented students.

Evaluation Data Sources: Differentiated lesson plans

	Rev	views	
	Formative		Summative
Nov	Feb	Apr	June
		1	
	Rev	views	
es	Formative		
Nov	Feb	Anr	June
1107	100	· · P1	June
	es Nov	es Formative	es Formative

## Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification for various programs and organizations that enrich student experiences and learning.

**Performance Objective 3:** Students will participate in clubs, activities, and leadership opportunities through the Leader in Me program, Sharpen the Saw activities, and staff sponsored opportunities.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Surveys

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 1: Sharpen the Saw opportunities will take place twice per semester to provide enrichment opportunities for all		Formative			
students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased opportunities for extracurricular activities	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers					
Title I:					
2.5 - TEA Priorities:					
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: 5th grade students will attend science camp and 4th grade students will take a field trip to enhance science and	Formative Su			Summative	
social studies knowledge.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Creating opportunities for real world connections					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	1	

# **Campus Funding Summary**

			6100 Parent Inv. Payroll T1		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
					\$0.00
		•		Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Bud	geted Fund Source Amount	\$1,000.00
				+/- Difference	\$1,000.00
			6300 Parent Involvement. Supplies T1		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	6	1	supplies for literacy and science night		\$1,405.00
-				Sub-Total	\$1,405.00
			Bud	geted Fund Source Amount	\$1,405.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$2,405.00
				Grand Total Spent	\$1,405.00
				+/- Difference	\$1,000.00

# **Policies, Procedures, and Requirements**

The following policies, procedures, and requirements are addressed in the District Improvement Plan. District addressed Policies, Procedures, and Requirements will print with the Improvement Plan:

Title	Person Responsible	<b>Review Date</b>	Addressed By	Addressed On
Coordinated Health Program	https://garlandisd.net/about/coordinated-school-health		Kimberly Caddell	6/10/2024
Dropout Prevention	Student Services		Kimberly Caddell	6/10/2024
Dyslexia Treatment Program	Dyslexia Department - https://garlandisd.net/programs-services/dyslexia		Kimberly Caddell	6/10/2024