Garland Independent School District District Improvement Plan

2023-2024



Mission Statement

The Garland Independent School District exists as a Diverse Community with a Shared Vision that serves to provide an Exceptional Education to ALL of its students.

Vision

Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.

Value Statement

We believe every student can learn.

We know every student deserves our best.

We value and celebrate all cultures.

We respect all students, families, staff and communities.

We demonstrate ethical behavior.

EDUCATION TRANSFORMS LIVES.

Core Beliefs

Belief statements represent the convictions of truth held across the District. In order to realize the full potential of our Academic Acceleration Plan, every employee must manifest these shared beliefs:

- 1. GISD demonstrates that teachers are the number one determinant of students' success and will ensure teachers provide effective Tier I instruction by supporting them with relevant resources, ongoing professional development, and coaching while being held accountable for student growth and achievement.
- 2. GISD demonstrates that all students can learn and will be held to high expectations while being supported through rigorous curriculum and instruction tailored to their needs.
- 3. GISD engages families as an added value and extension to learning and committed to and focused on building authentic relationships to support student learning while being culturally responsive to the needs of our community.
- 4. GISD bridges barriers to ensure a positive impact on student achievement.

- 5. GISD commits to incorporating a growth mindset, being willing to initiate change and adapt to new innovative ideas and experiences.
- 6. GISD embraces a high sense of urgency that yields transformational results in an ever-changing environment.

GISD demonstrates an equitable and inclusive environment focused on building a culture that cultivates positive student outcomes.

Non-Negotiables

Non-negotiables represent foundational elements that must be present in order to achieve planned student outcomes. With regard to the Academic Acceleration Plan, these non-negotiables include:

- Accountability
- Clear Communication
- Data Decision-Making
- Equity
- Instruction
- Systems & Processes
- Teacher & Community Involvement
- Whole Child Focus

Table of Contents

| Core Beliefs | 2 |
|--|----|
| Non-Negotiables | 3 |
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment | 5 |
| Needs Assessment Overview | 5 |
| Priority Problem Statements | 9 |
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation | 10 |
| Goals | 12 |
| Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness and | |
| graduation rates, and decreasing student management incidences. | 12 |
| Addendums | 41 |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Needs Assessment Overview

Needs Assessment Overview Summary

LONGITUDINAL STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT TRENDS

LITERACY / READING LANGUAGE ARTS*

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Grade 3 STAAR RLA Meets Grade Level | 48.2% | 43.9% | 47.3% | n/a | 33.8% | 47.3% | 49.3% |
| Grade 4 STAAR RLA Meets Grade Level | 44.6% | 42.8% | 42.4% | n/a | 31.9% | 51.6% | 48.2% |
| Grade 5 STAAR RLA Meets Grade Level | 47.5% | 53.8% | 55.9% | n/a | 43.9% | 55.7% | 56.1% |
| Grade 6 STAAR RLA Meets Grade Level | 34.8% | 35.9% | 36.7% | n/a | 27.4% | 38.3% | 46.2% |
| Grade 7 STAAR RLA Meets Grade Level | 40.0% | 43.5% | 46.8% | n/a | 40.0% | 50.1% | 46.9% |
| Grade 8 STAAR RLA Meets Grade Level | 48.1% | 47.0% | 53.7% | n/a | 40.0% | 56.4% | 50.8% |
| English I STAAR EOC Meets Grade Level | 51.9% | 55.3% | 62.1% | n/a | 48.6% | 51.8% | 62.0% |
| English II STAAR EOC Meets Grade Level | 52.2% | 59.2% | 60.9% | n/a | 55.1% | 60.0% | 61.1% |
| TOTAL: STAAR RLA 3-8, E1 + E2 MEETS GRADE LEVEL | 46.0% | 47.8% | 50.8% | n/a | 40.6% | 51.6% | 53.1% |

^{*}calculated based on first/primary administration for students included in accountability subset; does not include STAAR Alternate assessments

MATHEMATICAL PROFICIENCY*

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Grade 3 STAAR Mathematics Meets Grade Level | 50.5% | 48.4% | 52.3% | n/a | 24.7% | 47.3% | 45.9% |
| Grade 4 STAAR Mathematics Meets Grade Level | 43.3% | 45.0% | 44.5% | n/a | 28.8% | 40.9% | 48.4% |
| Grade 5 STAAR Mathematics Meets Grade Level | 47.7% | 53.8% | 57.4% | n/a | 33.8% | 49.6% | 50.9% |
| Grade 6 STAAR Mathematics Meets Grade Level | 35.3% | 34.9% | 39.4% | n/a | 22.5% | 25.0% | 27.8% |
| Grade 7 STAAR Mathematics Meets Grade Level | 19.5% | 21.4% | 25.9% | n/a | 3.9% | 14.4% | 21.5% |
| Grade 8 STAAR Mathematics Meets Grade Level | 41.3% | 51.7% | 58.6% | n/a | 24.6% | 35.2% | 42.5% |

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Algebra I STAAR EOC Meets Grade Level | 52.8% | 64.2% | 70.4% | n/a | 30.4% | 46.7% | 57.0% |
| TOTAL: STAAR MATHEMATICS 3-8+ A1 MEETS GRADE LEVEL **Ealeulated based on first/primary administration for students included | 42.7% | 46.9% | 51.2% | n/a | 25.3% | 38.0% | 43.4% |

SCIENTIFIC UNDERSTANDING*

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Grade 5 STAAR Science Meets Grade Level | 35.5% | 36.0% | 46.4% | n/a | 20.7% | 29.0% | 26.5% |
| Grade 8 STAAR Science Meets Grade Level | 43.8% | 46.3% | 50.8% | n/a | 33.6% | 43.1% | 40.7% |
| Biology STAAR EOC Meets Grade Level | 60.7% | 66.7% | 66.3% | n/a | 50.5% | 60.2% | 60.0% |
| TOTAL: STAAR 5, 8 + BIOLOGY MEETS GRADE LEVEL | 46.9% | 49.7% | 54.5% | n/a | 35.5% | 44.9% | 43.6% |

^{*}calculated based on first/primary administration for students included in accountability subset; does not include STAAR Alternate assessments

SOCIAL SCIENCES UNDERSTANDING*

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Grade 8 STAAR Social Studies Meets Grade Level | 28.9% | 33.5% | 39.0% | n/a | 20.7% | 23.8% | 28.5% |
| US History STAAR EOC Meets Grade Level | 70.5% | 73.8% | 78.2% | n/a | 65.1% | 71.2% | 72.1% |
| TOTAL: STAAR 8 + US HISTORY MEETS GRADE LEVEL | 49.5% | 53.6% | 58.3% | n/a | 43.8% | 47.9% | 51.2% |

^{*}calculated based on first/primary administration for students included in accountability subset; does not include STAAR Alternate assessments

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| TELPAS (K-12) Yearly Progress Indicator | 56.0% | n/a | 37.5% | n/a | n/a | 38.3% | n/a |

^{*}calculated based on students included in accountability subset; does not include TELPAS Alternate assessments

POSTSECONDARY READINESS (Gr 11 SAT SCHOOL DAY EXAMS)

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PSAT-8 GL Benchmark: Evidence-Based Reading & Writing | 52% | 50% | 51% | 55% | 50% | 50% | 46% |
| PSAT-8 GL Benchmark: Mathematics | 33% | 37% | 35% | 40% | 31% | 29% | 31% |
| PSAT-9 GL Benchmark: Evidence-Based Reading & Writing | 51% | 51% | 52% | 54% | 55% | 48% | 46% |
| PSAT-9 GL Benchmark: Mathematics | 38% | 40% | 38% | 39% | 34% | 28% | 35% |
| PSAT/NMSQT-10 GL Benchmark: Evidenced-Based Reading & Writing | 52% | 55% | 57% | 50% | 60% | 50% | 43% |
| PSAT/NMSQT-10 GL Benchmark: Mathematics | 35% | 31% | 35% | 30% | 35% | 29% | 22% |
| PSAT/NMSQT-11 GL Benchmark: Evidenced-Based Reading & Writing | 50% | 52% | 54% | 49% | 56% | 47% | 44% |
| PSAT/NMSQT-11 GL Benchmark: Mathematics | 31% | 27% | 28% | 26% | 31% | 26% | 20% |
| SAT CR Benchmark: Evidence-Based Reading & Writing (Gr 11) | 50% | 50% | 52% | 48% | 46% | 44% | 46% |
| SAT CR Benchmark: Mathematics (Gr 11) | 26% | 24% | 28% | 29% | 25% | 23% | 23% |

POSTSECONDARY READINESS (AP EXAMS)

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Qualifying Exam Score (>3) | 28.1% | 34.8% | 38.6% | 43.9% | 28.6% | 31.9% | 31.9% |
| # AP Exams Administered | 10,722 | 9,641 | 9,338 | 7,886 | 7,603 | 8,892 | 8,115 |
| # Students Participating in AP Exams | 5,538 | 5,325 | 5,054 | 4,448 | 4,641 | 5,526 | 5,194 |

STUDENT MANAGEMENT

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| % of Incidents Resulting in Exclusionary Consequences | 51.9% | 47.2% | 44.1% | n/a | 40.1% | 34.8% | 27.4% |
| # of Exclusionary Consequences: Hispanic | 10,455 | 10,004 | 9,700 | n/a | 1,164 | 7,516 | 6,756 |
| # of Exclusionary Consequences: African American | 7,000 | 7,065 | 6,460 | n/a | 922 | 6,103 | 6,223 |
| # of Exclusionary Consequences: White | 2,645 | 2,722 | 2,339 | n/a | 387 | 1,599 | 1,508 |

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| # of Exclusionary Consequences: Asian | 351 | 335 | 280 | n/a | 55 | 335 | 399 |
| # of Exclusionary Consequences: Other Ethnicities | 617 | 578 | 562 | n/a | 73 | 572 | 574 |

GRADUATION OUTCOMES

| | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Algebra I STAAR EOC Approaches Grade Level* | 86.9% | 88.8% | 90.2% | n/a | 65.9% | 76.7% | 87.5% |
| Biology STAAR EOC Approaches Grade Level* | 87.5% | 90.3% | 90.9% | n/a | 78.4% | 84.7% | 91.2% |
| English I STAAR EOC Approaches Grade Level* | 67.9% | 71.6% | 75.4% | n/a | 66.5% | 66.4% | 77.3% |
| English II STAAR EOC Approaches Grade Level* | 69.4% | 73.9% | 77.4% | n/a | 68.2% | 74.2% | 79.1% |
| U.S. History STAAR EOC Approaches Grade Level* | 93.2% | 93.6% | 93.8% | n/a | 86.9% | 89.9% | 95.4% |
| 4-year Graduation Rate** | 91.7% | 92.0% | 92.6% | 94.1% | 94.5% | 95.2% | 95.3% |
| CCR-CCMR^ Graduates** | 67.5% | 48.5%^ | 59.1% | 70.9% | 68.8% | 78.7% | 86.4% |

^{*}calculated based on first/primary administration for students included in accountability subset; does not include STAAR Alternate assessments

^{**}graduation rates are reported in accountability (year delay)

^definition of College, Career and Military Ready graduates changed with the Class of 2017, impacting outcomes for 17-18 and beyond

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: 2022-2023 STAAR Reading Language Arts 3-8 + EOC student performance at Meets Grade Level saw slight (+ 1.5%) overall increase from prior year, but results were mixed by grade level.

Root Cause 1: Grade 3 Meets Performance: 49.3% (+ 2.0%) Grade 4 Meets Performance: 48.2% (- 3.4%) Grade 5 Meets Performance: 56.1% (+ 0.4%) Grade 6 Meets Performance: 46.2% (+ 7.9%) Grade 7 Meets Performance: 46.9% (- 3.2%) Grade 8 Meets Performance: 50.8% (- 5.6%) E1 Meets Performance: 62.0% (+ 10.2%) E2 Meets Performance: 61.1% (+ 1.1%)

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: 2022-2023 STAAR Mathematics 3-8 + EOC student performance at Meets Grade Level saw a moderate (+ 5.4%) overall increase from prior year, but results were mixed by grade level.

Root Cause 2: Grade 3 Meets Performance: 45.9% (- 1.4%) Grade 4 Meets Performance: 48.4% (+ 7.5%) Grade 5 Meets Performance: 50.9% (+ 1.3%) Grade 6 Meets Performance: 27.8% (+ 2.8) Grade 7 Meets Performance: 21.5% (+ 7.1%) Grade 8 Meets Performance: 42.5% (+ 7.3%) A1 Meets Performance: 57.0% (+ 10.3%)

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: 2022-2023 STAAR/EOC student performance indicates that 2,967 of first-time tested students (14.1%) are not meeting min. success performance levels (i.e., Approaches Grade Level). While this is an overall decrease of 7.5% from prior year and results by content area also demonstrate decreases in DNM, EOC retesting requirements impact student course opportunities in subsequent years of high school.

Root Cause 3: A1 Does Not Meet performance: 12.5%% (- 10.8%) BI Does Not Meet performance: 8.8% (- 6.5%) E1 Does Not Meet performance: 22.7% (- 10.9%) E2 Does Not Meet performance: 20.9% (- 4.9%) US Does Not Meet performance: 4.6% (- 5.5%)

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- · District goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- · Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card and accountability data
- RDA data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Postsecondary college, career or military-ready graduates including enlisting in U. S. armed services, earning an industry based certification, earning an associate degree, graduating with completed IEP and workforce readiness
- Advanced Placement (AP) and/or International Baccalaureate (IB) assessment data
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant information
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- PSAT
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant achievements by race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Section 504 data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Dual-credit and/or college prep course completion data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus leadership data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- T-TESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- · Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices
- Action research results

Goals

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness and graduation rates, and decreasing student management incidences.

Performance Objective 1: Percent of students demonstrating literacy proficiency as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Reading Language Arts assessments (grades 3-8, E1 + E2) will increase from 53.1% in 2023 to 60.0% in 2024.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

| Strategy 1 Details Review | | iews | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Deploy, monitor, and evaluate an a) comprehensive literacy plan aligned to 2019 ELAR/SLAR state standards that strengthens the existing balanced literacy program through use of TEA's core instructional material products, Amplify RLA (K-8); and b) training plan targeting assistant principals and instructional coaches with instructional look-fors aligned to T-TESS. | | Formative | | Summative |
| | | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is that students will receive a curriculum that is founded on the science of teaching reading that includes integrated reading and writing instruction and delivered through high quality & well-planned instruction. Through a designated balanced literacy block and specific daily instruction, students will receive a strong foundation in early literacy skills and improve reading and writing performance. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Multilingual Programs Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 | | | | |

| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|-------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 2: Provide a comprehensive curriculum assessment plan for reading that includes frequent, high-quality common | | Summative | | | |
| assessments to support student performance data analysis initiatives (e.g., weekly data meetings), with embedded STAAR 2.0 item types as appropriate. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student RLA performance at the Meets/ Masters performance level due to in-depth, skill based data analysis designed to inform instructional decisions to meet individual student needs. | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning | | | | | |
| Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | | |
| RAAD | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 | | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | • | |
| Strategy 3: Increase instructional planning time allotted to reading language arts teachers with a focus on in-depth review | | Formative | | | |
| of data analysis, response driven action-planning and extended time for lesson internalization. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increase teacher capacity, which will lead to higher student outcomes. | | | 1 | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Directors of Leadership Teaching & Learning | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 | | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | | Rev | views | | |
| Strategy 4: Deploy, train and retain reading instructional support teachers at elementary and middle school levels to support | | Formative | | Summative | |
| the implementation of instructional materials, model teach and engage in PLC/CLC to assist with data analysis, lesson planning and lesson internalization. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increase teacher capacity, which will lead to higher student outcomes. | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning | | | | | |
| Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 | | | | | |

| Strategy 5 Details | Reviews | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 5: Master use of PLC/CLC protocols to a) identify reading gaps in student learning by analyzing CBAs/CFAs, | | Summative | | | |
| MAP, DOLs, and other student work samples; and b) hyper-focus on lesson internalization in order to ensure effective first-teach. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student reading performance at the Meets/ Masters performance level due to data-driven instructional decision-making to inform reteach/reassess plans. | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 | | | | | |
| Strategy 6 Details | | Rev | views | | |
| Strategy 6: Provide targeted reading instruction that addresses individual student needs (e.g., dyslexia, RtI, tutoring, etc.). | | Formative | | Summative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased reading performance due to students receiving targeted instruction designed to meet their individual needs. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Student Support & Specialized Services (S4) Teaching & Learning Multilingual Programs | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 | | | | | |
| Strategy 7 Details | Reviews | | | | |
| Strategy 7: Implement required HB3 Early Childhood Plan with an emphasis on literacy in grades PK-3. [see HB3 Early | | Formative | | Summative | |
| Childhood Plan in addendums] Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| The anticipated result is an increased percentage of PK-3 students performing at/above grade level expectation in measurements of reading achievement (i.e., >=41st NPR). | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Multilingual Programs | | | | | |
| Student Support & Specialized Services (S4) | | | | | |
| RAAD | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 | | | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | | |

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: 2022-2023 STAAR Reading Language Arts 3-8 + EOC student performance at Meets Grade Level saw slight (+ 1.5%) overall increase from prior year, but results were mixed by grade level. **Root Cause**: Grade 3 Meets Performance: 49.3% (+ 2.0%) Grade 4 Meets Performance: 48.2% (- 3.4%) Grade 5 Meets Performance: 56.1% (+ 0.4%) Grade 6 Meets Performance: 46.2% (+ 7.9%) Grade 7 Meets Performance: 46.9% (- 3.2%) Grade 8 Meets Performance: 50.8% (- 5.6%) E1 Meets Performance: 62.0% (+ 10.2%) E2 Meets Performance: 61.1% (+ 1.1%)

Performance Objective 2: Percent of students demonstrating mathematical proficiency as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Mathematics assessments (grades 3-8 + A1) will increase from 43.4% in 2023 to 50.0% in 2024.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: Deploy, monitor, and evaluate a comprehensive mathematics plan aligned to state standards through use of | | Summative | | | |
| TEA's core instructional material products, Eureka Math (K-5) and Carnegie Math (6-12). Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is that students will receive a curriculum that is founded on vertically aligned scope and sequence and delivered through high quality and well-planned instruction. High quality instructional delivery will establish a strong foundation in early numeracy skills and improve mathematics performance and algebra readiness. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | | |
| Strategy 2: Provide a comprehensive curriculum assessment plan for mathematics that includes frequent, high-quality | Formative | | | Summative | |
| common assessments to support student performance data analysis initiatives (e.g., weekly data meetings), with embedded STAAR 2.0 item types as appropriate. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student mathematics performance at the Meets/Masters performance level due to in-depth, skill based data analysis designed to inform instructional decisions to meet individual student needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership RAAD Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Increase instructional planning time allotted to mathematics teachers with a focus on in-depth review of data | | Summative | | |
| analysis, response driven action-planning and extended time for lesson internalization. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increase teacher capacity, which will lead to higher student outcomes. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Directors of Leadership Teaching & Learning | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | | Rev | views | |
| Strategy 4: Deploy, train and retain mathematics instructional support teachers at elementary and middle school levels to | | Formative | | Summative |
| support the implementation of instructional materials, model teach and engage in PLC/CLC to assist with data analysis, lesson planning and lesson internalization. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increase teacher capacity, which will lead to higher student outcomes. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | | Rev | views | |
| Strategy 5: Master use of PLC/CLC protocols to a) identify mathematics gaps in student learning by analyzing CBAs/ | | Formative | | Summative |
| CFAs, MAP, DOLs, and other student work samples; and b) hyper-focus on lesson internalization in order to ensure effective first-teach. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student mathematics performance at the Meets/Masters performance level due to data-driven instructional decision-making to inform reteach/reassess plans. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | | | | |

| Strategy 6 Details | Reviews | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----|-----------|--|
| Strategy 6: Implement required HB3 Early Childhood Plan with an emphasis on mathematics in grades PK-3. [see HB3 Early Childhood Plan in addendums] Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is an increased percentage of PK-3 students performing at/above grade level expectation in measurements of mathematics achievement (i.e., >=41st NPR). Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Multilingual Programs Student Support & Specialized Services (S4) RAAD Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | | Formative | | | |
| | | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy 7 Details | Reviews | | | | |
| Strategy 7: Maximize performance of accelerated learners, i.e., student who participate in Algebra I STAAR EOC during | Formative | | | Summative | |
| middle school, through the ongoing monitoring and response to annual P/SAT testing to ensure that these accelerated students meet grade level/college ready benchmarks on each annual P/SAT assessment. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is an increase in percentage of students meet annual grade level/college ready benchmarks. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership RAAD | | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | | |

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: 2022-2023 STAAR Mathematics 3-8 + EOC student performance at Meets Grade Level saw a moderate (+ 5.4%) overall increase from prior year, but results were mixed by grade level. **Root Cause**: Grade 3 Meets Performance: 45.9% (- 1.4%) Grade 4 Meets Performance: 48.4% (+ 7.5%) Grade 5 Meets Performance: 50.9% (+ 1.3%) Grade 6 Meets Performance: 27.8% (+ 2.8) Grade 7 Meets Performance: 21.5% (+ 7.1%) Grade 8 Meets Performance: 42.5% (+ 7.3%) A1 Meets Performance: 57.0% (+ 10.3%)

Performance Objective 3: Percent of students demonstrating scientific proficiency as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Science assessments (grades 5, 8 + BI) will increase from 43.6% in 2023 to 50.0% in 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|-------|-----------|--|--|
| Strategy 1: Provide a comprehensive curriculum assessment plan for science that includes frequent, high-quality common | | Summative | | | | |
| assessments to support student performance data analysis initiatives (e.g., weekly data meetings). | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student science performance at the Meets/ Masters performance level due to in-depth, skill based data analysis designed to inform instructional decisions to meet individual student needs. | | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning | | | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Re | views | | | |
| Strategy 2: Monitor and support implementation of daily learning objectives and demonstrations of learning for student | | Formative | | Summative | | |
| success in science. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student science performance at the Meets/ Masters performance level due to daily aligned instruction in every classroom. | | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | | Rev | views | | | |
| Strategy 3: Implement Weekly Data Meeting protocol district-wide to identify science gaps in student learning by | | Formative | | Summative | | |
| analyzing CBAs/CFAs, MAP, DOLs, and other student work samples. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student science performance at the Meets/ Masters performance level due to data-driven instructional decision-making to inform reteach/reassess plans. | | | - | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | | | |

| Strategy 4 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----|------|
| Strategy 4: Provide direct support to science teachers to ensure classroom instruction meets instructional framework | | Summative | | |
| expectations for rigor. | | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is improved teacher practice and increased student performance due to increased rigor in daily instruction. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 4: Percent of students demonstrating social sciences proficiency as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Social Studies assessments (grade 8 + US) will increase from 51.2% in 2023 to 58.0% in 2024.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Facilitate professional development to empower educators in effectively utilizing data analysis for ongoing | | Summative | | |
| student progress monitoring and to strategically plan instruction for addressing identified areas of student need. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student social science performance at the | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Meets/ Masters performance level due to enhanced teacher proficiency in responding to formative student data and making data-informed instructional strategy adjustments. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Develop and execute targeted professional development programs to facilitate the integration of academic | Formative | | | Summative |
| language and literacy strategies into tier one instruction. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student social science performance at the Meets/ Masters performance level due to consistent incorporations of academic language and literacy strategies in Social Studies content planning and instruction. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | | Rev | views | |
| Strategy 3: Provide training for CLC teams to develop learning experiences that foster critical thinking and encourage | Formative | | | Summative |
| independent student engagement within tier one instruction. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student social science performance at the Meets/ Masters performance level due to educator's utilization of effective methods for fostering students' use of critical thinking skills when confronted with academic challenges. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning | | | | |

| Strategy 4 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----|-----------|--|
| Strategy 4: Establish a comprehensive professional development program in collaboration with the Multilingual | | Formative | | Summative | |
| Department, the Special Education Department, and the Digital Learning Team to equip teachers with the necessary skills, resources, and strategies to effectively address the diverse and differentiated needs of our students. | | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student social science performance at the Meets/ Masters performance level due to increased teacher capacity to design and deliver personalized instruction, engage students and provide a more inclusive and equitable learning environment. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Multilingual Programs Student Support & Specialized Services (S4) | | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | • | |

Performance Objective 5: Percent of students demonstrating English language acquisition as measured by Yearly Progress Indicator on Texas English Language Proficiency assessment System (TELPAS) assessments (grades K-12) will increase from 38.3% in 2023 to 50.0% in 2024.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS spring administration testing file (only students with yearly progress measure; accountability subset)

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Embed the English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS) and Sheltered Instructional strategies within the | | Summative | | |
| curriculum frameworks. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is teachers will have access to district resources that establish expectations for ELPS integration to support daily opportunities for students to practice Listening, Speaking, Reading, and Writing in all content areas. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Multilingual Programs | | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| trategy 2: Provide coaching, support, and professional development (see PD Addendum) to teachers to ensure integration | Formative Summ | | | |
| of English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS) in daily instruction, including student opportunities to practice listening, speaking, reading, and writing in an academic setting. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is students will be provided daily opportunities to practice Listening, Speaking, Reading, and Writing in all content areas due to increased teacher capacity to support ELPS. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Multilingual Programs Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | |
| Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 3: Provide Content-based Language Instruction, (CBLI) an integrated approach to language instruction in which | | Formative | | Summative |
| anguage is developed within the context of content delivery that is linguistically sustaining and is used across all programs or Emergent Bilingual students at all campuses. | | Feb | Apr | June |

| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student ability to meaningfully and equitably participate in learning opportunities and master academic content knowledge. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Multilingual Programs Teaching & Learning Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|-------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | % No Progress | Accomplished | Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | |

Performance Objective 6: Percent of students demonstrating postsecondary readiness exam success as measured by meeting college ready benchmarks through SAT School Day Evidence-based Reading & Writing (grade 11) will increase from 46% in 2023 to 50% in 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: College Board's SAT district summary report

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | |
|---|----------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Embed relevant and rigorous secondary ELAR content and strategies into curriculum instructional frameworks. | | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased academic performance for all students due to increased rigor in district resources. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Advanced Academics | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | <u>'</u> |
| Strategy 2: Provide direct support to ELAR teachers to ensure classroom instruction meets instructional framework | | Formative | | |
| expectations for rigor. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is improved teacher practice and increased student performance due to increased rigor in daily instruction. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Advanced Academics | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | | Rev | iews | |
| Strategy 3: Develop and implement campus action plans based on College Board instructional planning reports and | | Formative | | Summative |
| question analysis reports for P/SAT Evidenced-based Reading & Writing. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased performance of students at the college-ready | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| benchmarks due to focus on teacher practice and student outcomes at the campus level. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | |
| Teaching & Learning | | | | |
| Advanced Academics CCMR cross-functional team | | | | |
| CCIVIR CLOSS-TUIICHOHAI LEAHI | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | |

Performance Objective 7: Percent of students demonstrating postsecondary readiness exam success as measured by meeting college ready benchmarks through SAT School Day Mathematics (grade 11) will increase from 23% in 2023 to 30% in 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: College Board's SAT district summary report

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | views | |
|--|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Embed relevant, rigorous and applied secondary mathematics content and strategies into curriculum | | Formative | | |
| instructional frameworks. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased academic performance for all students due to increased rigor in district resources. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Advanced Academics | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | views | |
| Strategy 2: Provide direct support to mathematics teachers to ensure classroom instruction meets instructional framework | | Formative | | Summative |
| expectations for rigor. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is improved teacher practice and increased student performance due to increased rigor in daily instruction. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Advanced Academics | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | | Rev | views | |
| Strategy 3: Develop and implement campus action plans based on College Board instructional planning reports and | | Formative | | Summative |
| question analysis reports P/SAT Mathematics. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased performance of students at the college-ready benchmarks due to focus on teacher practice and student outcomes at the campus level. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Directors of Leadership Teaching & Learning Advanced Academics | | | | |
| CCMR cross-functional team | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discor | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 8: Percent of students demonstrating college readiness as measured by qualifying criterion score on AP exams (grades 8-12) will increase from 31.9% in 2023 to 35.0% in 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: College Board's AP district summary report

| Strategy 1 Details | | Re | views | | |
|--|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: Increase the rigor of AP instruction through a) professional development opportunities (e.g., APSI, two-day | | Formative | | Summative | |
| workshops, spring training), b) job-embedded instructional support, and c) collaborative vertical/horizontal AP teacher PLCs lead by AP Lead Teachers. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student performance at the AP criterion score due to daily rigorous instructional practices. | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Innovation/Advanced Academics Teaching & Learning | | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Provide direct content mastery support to AP students through extended learning opportunities (e.g., teacher | | Formative | | | |
| lead AP exam study sessions). | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student performance at the AP criterion score due to student participation in extended learning opportunities. | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Innovation/Advanced Academics Teaching & Learning | | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | | Re | views | <u> </u> | |
| Strategy 3: Increase student participation and performance in AP Portfolio through alignment of fine arts instruction. | | Formative | | Summative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student performance at the AP criterion score on AP portfolios due to increased student participation based on rigorous and aligned instruction. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Fine Arts | | | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | - | |

Performance Objective 9: Percent of discretionary exclusionary consequences* will decrease from 27.4% in 2023 to 25.0% in 2024. *defined as Exclusionary consequences: In School Suspension (ISS), Out of School Suspension (OSS), District Alternative Education Placement (DAEP) and Reassignment Rooms

Evaluation Data Sources: Review 360 Incident Summary Report - total # of exclusionary consequences out of total # of consequences

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | |
|---|-----|-----------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Provide a continuation of Tier 1 to Tier 3 behavior support through the multi-tiered systems of support (MTSS), | | Summative | | |
| which include the District's Student Management Framework and positive behavior interventions and support (PBIS) practices for all students. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student demonstration of expected behavior due to consistent use of behavior plans. A secondary anticipated result is reduction in disciplinary incidents and exclusionary consequences. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Student Services Executive Directors of Leadership Guidance & Counseling MTSS | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | |
| Strategy 2: Implement, refine, and sustain comprehensive school counseling programs that allocate 80% of a school | | Formative | | Summative |
| counselor's time on direct services to PK-12 students including appraisal and advisement in classrooms, small group, and individual counseling. The remaining 20% of a school counselor's time will be dedicated to indirect services, which involve fostering partnerships and cooperation with families and community stakeholders. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased proficiency in skills that enable students to behave in alignment with the district's code of conduct. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Guidance & Counseling | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|---|-----|-----------|--------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 3: Implement Social Emotional Learning (SEL) instructional programs and curriculum PK-12 that include a) | | Formative | | Summative | |
| designing mindfulness/wellness rooms and calm down corners and b) campus-wide implementation of both integration of SEL skill building in instructional and discipline practices, as well as explicit instruction using a locally created resources and research based curriculum. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Primary anticipated result is a reduction in disciplinary incidents as a result of skill building as it relates to self management, self awareness, social awareness, responsible decision making and relationship skills. The secondary anticipated result is skill building that leads to improved and/or maintaining positive student-student and student-teacher relationships. | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Guidance & Counseling Student Services Executive Directors of Leadership Teaching & Learning | | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | | Res | views | | |
| Strategy 4: Facilitate Trauma Informed Care (TIC) strategies through campus protocols and required, state approved, two | | Formative | 10 113 | Summative | |
| hour asynchronous training developed by the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services, and a supplemental synchronous training created by the Garland ISD Guidance and Counseling Department, that provides strategies to apply Grief Informed and Trauma Informed practices in the school setting. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased staff knowledge of mental health and improved skills in supporting students impacted by trauma. | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Guidance & Counseling | | | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | | Rev | views | • | |
| Strategy 5: Improve equity and access by ensuring a high quality curriculum and instructional supports to increase student | | Formative | | Summative | |
| engagement and decrease student discipline incidents. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is reduction in disproportional exclusionary discipline consequences due to increased student academic engagement in rigorous and challenging coursework. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning Innovation/Advanced Academics Guidance & Counseling Student Services | | | | | |

| Strategy 6 Details | | Rev | iews | |
|---|----------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 6: Improve district climate by increasing opportunities for family engagement through parent education | | Formative | | Summative |
| opportunities involving Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS). | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is reduction in disproportionate exclusionary disciplinary consequences, and enhanced community relations. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Guidance & Counseling | | | | |
| Family & Community Engagement | | | | |
| Student Services | | | | |
| Strategy 7 Details | | Rev | iews | |
| Strategy 7: Increase the number of students participating in at least one extra-curricular activity at all secondary campuses | | Formative | | Summative |
| through program advocacy to support school culture and foster student's sense of community. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is decreased student discipline incidents. A secondary anticipated result is increased student attendance rates, as well as decreased student grade failures due to student increase in sense of belonging to a community. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Fine Arts | | | | |
| Athletics | | | | |
| Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | 1 |

Performance Objective 10: Percent of students successfully completing graduation requirements as measured by 4-year graduation rates will increase from 95.3% in 2023 (Class of 2022) to 96.5% in 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2024 Accountability Reports

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | iews | |
|---|-----|-----------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Monitor student high school attendance & grade reporting failure reports to ensure students are present and | | Formative | | Summative |
| engaged for success. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The primary anticipated result is increasing percentages of students graduating within 4-years. The secondary anticipated result is to increase students that are annually earning course credits toward meeting graduation plan requirements. Stoff Responsible for Monitoring: HS Executive Director of Leadership. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: HS Executive Director of Leadership Guidance & Counseling Student Services | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | |
| Strategy 2: Develop a comprehensive system for identifying, intervening and monitoring students who have yet to | | Formative | | Summative |
| experience STAAR EOC success after initial administration, including: a) utilize iReady to progress monitor Algebra I, English I and English II re-testers; and b) utilize available reading intervention curriculum resources (i.e., Odell HS Literacy) in corresponding courses. Where curriculum resources are unavailable, develop internal curriculum resources to support intervention courses. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increasing percentages of students satisfying graduation plan assessment requirements and graduating within 4-years. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching & Learning (Secondary Directors) Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |

| Strategy 3 Details | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Refine campus action plans to prepare students for STAAR EOC retesting opportunities. | Formative | | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increasing percentages of students satisfying graduation plan assessment requirements and graduating within 4-years. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: HS Executive Director of Leadership | | | | |
| Teaching & Learning (Secondary Directors) Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 10 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: 2022-2023 STAAR/EOC student performance indicates that 2,967 of first-time tested students (14.1%) are not meeting min. success performance levels (i.e., Approaches Grade Level). While this is an overall decrease of 7.5% from prior year and results by content area also demonstrate decreases in DNM, EOC retesting requirements impact student course opportunities in subsequent years of high school. **Root Cause**: A1 Does Not Meet performance: 12.5%% (- 10.8%) BI Does Not Meet performance: 8.8% (- 6.5%) E1 Does Not Meet performance: 22.7% (- 10.9%) E2 Does Not Meet performance: 20.9% (- 4.9%) US Does Not Meet performance: 4.6% (- 5.5%)

Performance Objective 11: Percent of students successfully demonstrating College, Career & Military Ready standards as measured by earning one or more CCMR indicators will increase from 86.4% in 2023 (Class of 2022) to 90.0%+ for Class of 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: GISD internal CCMR reporting; 2024 Accountability Reports

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----|-----------|------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: Establish rigorous district and campus College, Career & Military Readiness annual targets for each of the | | Formative | | Summative | |
| fourteen student groups included in the Closing the Gaps Domain of the school accountability system. [see HB3 CCMR Plan in addendums] | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is that all student groups will meet/exceed annual targets through 2025. A secondary anticipated result is an increased percentage of students graduating with indicators of CCMR, as well as an increase in percentage of graduating students meeting criteria for CCMR Outcomes Bonus. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: RAAD CCMR cross-functional team Guidance & Counseling Career & Technical Education Innovation/Advanced Academics Teaching & Learning | | | | | |
| Multilingual Programs Student Support & Specialized Services (S4) Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | ' | |
| Strategy 2: Promote college, career, and military culture through GISD Go Centers with CCMR resources, college ready | | Formative | | Summative | |
| campaign (#GarlandUSACollegeBound), and partnerships with local businesses, colleges, businesses, military recruiters. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased student attainment of CCMR indicator(s) due to priority attention given to increasing student awareness and access to opportunities to demonstrate CCMR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Guidance & Counseling | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |

| Strategy 3 Details | | Reviews | | |
|--|----------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Support Early College programs (ECHS, P-TECH) in meeting TEA Outcomes Based Measures as indicated on | | Formative | | Summative |
| the ECHS and P-TECH blueprint including recruiting the target population, providing professional development for early college cohort teachers and creating support systems for students. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated results are: a) increase the number of students receiving 15 or more transferable college hours before high school graduation, b) increase the percentage of students completing a post secondary degree after high school graduation, and c) improved partnerships. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Innovation Career & Technical Education | | | | |
| Guidance & Counseling | | | | |
| Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | | Rev | iews | |
| Strategy 4: Maximize secondary (grades 6-12) student opportunities to be successful in advance coursework by a) aligning | | Formative | | Summative |
| course sequences, b) expanding course access, and c) review programming/magnet implementation. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated results are a) increase in SAT college ready benchmark performance, and b) increase in AP students participation, exams administered and qualifying scores. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curriculum & Instruction | | | | |
| Guidance & Counseling | | | | |
| Innovation/Advanced Academics Career & Technical Education | | | | |
| Student Support & Specialized Services (S4) | | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | | Rev | iews | |
| Strategy 5: Maximize secondary (grades 6-12) student opportunities to obtain CCMR certifications and an associate's | | Formative | | Summative |
| degree while in high school by a) aligning CTE Pathways and P-Tech partnerships and b) identifying pathways for SPED students to equal access CCMR classes. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated results are a) increase in graduation rate, and b) increase in students earning CCMR indicator(s) due to expanding opportunities and access to all students. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curriculum & Instruction Guidance & Counseling | | | | |
| Innovation/Advanced Academics | | | | |
| Career & Technical Education Student Support & Specialized Services (S4) | | | | |
| Stadent Support & Specialized Scrivices (54) | | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | - |

Performance Objective 12: SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY: Number of campuses required to participate in the School Improvement Process using the Effective Schools Framework will decrease from __ (_%) in 2023 to __ (--%) in 2024.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2024 Accountability Reports

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|-----|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: Provide earlier timelines for hiring and access to indicators of teacher effectiveness, such as teacher | | Formative | | Summative | |
| demographics, analytics and TIA designation scores. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is improved student outcomes. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Directors of Leadership Human Resources RAAD | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | | |
| Strategy 2: Provide targeted leadership training and additional coaching support (e.g., TK Consulting, Lead4ward, etc) for | | Summative | | | |
| prioritized campuses with a focus on data practices, formative feedback protocols, building capacity of a strong team, and culturally responsive collaboration. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is improved school accountability ratings. | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | | |
| Curriculum & Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3 | | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 3: Prioritize allocation of district instructional support staff, including Instructional Design Facilitators (IDFs), | | Formative | | Summative | |
| Sheltered Instruction Specialists, Early Literacy Support Teachers (EL-STs) and Instructional Support Teachers (ISTs) at high-need campuses to provide targeted coaching, modeling, and PLC support. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is increased academic performance of students, particularly in STAAR-tested grades and subjects. A secondary anticipated result is increased 2020 school accountability outcomes/ratings. | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Directors of Leadership Teaching & Learning Multilingual Programs Student Support & Specialized Services (S4) | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3 | | | | | |
| Strategy 4 Details | Reviews | | | | |
| Strategy 4: Complete campus Risk Load Analysis (n=26 risks) to identify campuses with higher numbers of risk (beyond | | Formative | | Summative | |
| the traditionally considered Economically Disadvantaged indicator) and the intensity of the risk (relative to the district) in order to provide a more comprehensive evaluation and response to campus need. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is resource allocation based on campus Risk Load and academic outcomes. A secondary anticipated result is recognition of high performing high need campuses. | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: RAAD Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Technology/Skyward Executive Directors of Leadership | | | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | | Day | views | | |
| Strategy 5 Details Strategy 5: Provide data dashboards that include tools for data monitoring and disaggregation of local, state, and national | | Formative | views | Summative | |
| assessment programs. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is to increase transparency and accessibility of formative student data in order to provide appropriate response to achieve intended summative assessment outcomes. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: RAAD Executive Directors of Leadership Curriculum & Instruction | Nov | reb | Арг | June | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | | |

Performance Objective 12 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: 2022-2023 STAAR Reading Language Arts 3-8 + EOC student performance at Meets Grade Level saw slight (+ 1.5%) overall increase from prior year, but results were mixed by grade level. **Root Cause**: Grade 3 Meets Performance: 49.3% (+ 2.0%) Grade 4 Meets Performance: 48.2% (- 3.4%) Grade 5 Meets Performance: 56.1% (+ 0.4%) Grade 6 Meets Performance: 46.2% (+ 7.9%) Grade 7 Meets Performance: 46.9% (- 3.2%) Grade 8 Meets Performance: 50.8% (- 5.6%) E1 Meets Performance: 62.0% (+ 10.2%) E2 Meets Performance: 61.1% (+ 1.1%)

Problem Statement 2: 2022-2023 STAAR Mathematics 3-8 + EOC student performance at Meets Grade Level saw a moderate (+ 5.4%) overall increase from prior year, but results were mixed by grade level. **Root Cause**: Grade 3 Meets Performance: 45.9% (- 1.4%) Grade 4 Meets Performance: 48.4% (+ 7.5%) Grade 5 Meets Performance: 50.9% (+ 1.3%) Grade 6 Meets Performance: 27.8% (+ 2.8) Grade 7 Meets Performance: 21.5% (+ 7.1%) Grade 8 Meets Performance: 42.5% (+ 7.3%) A1 Meets Performance: 57.0% (+ 10.3%)

Problem Statement 3: 2022-2023 STAAR/EOC student performance indicates that 2,967 of first-time tested students (14.1%) are not meeting min. success performance levels (i.e., Approaches Grade Level). While this is an overall decrease of 7.5% from prior year and results by content area also demonstrate decreases in DNM, EOC retesting requirements impact student course opportunities in subsequent years of high school. **Root Cause**: A1 Does Not Meet performance: 12.5%% (- 10.8%) BI Does Not Meet performance: 8.8% (- 6.5%) E1 Does Not Meet performance: 22.7% (- 10.9%) E2 Does Not Meet performance: 20.9% (- 4.9%) US Does Not Meet performance: 4.6% (- 5.5%)

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness and graduation rates, and decreasing student management incidences.

Performance Objective 13: FAMILY & COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT: The total percent of parents who participate in the GISD Family Engagement Survey will increase from 17% in spring 2023 to 25% by 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: GISD Family Engagement Survey

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----|------|
| Strategy 1: Develop, deploy, monitor, evaluate and revise a comprehensive family and community engagement framework | | Formative | | |
| to develop purposeful family and community engagement across all campuses based on family survey feedback. | | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is that campuses will receive professional development and resources that are founded on family and community engagement research based practices. All campuses will use the family engagement framework to ensure active parent and family engagement strategies are in place and monitor family and community engagement best practices, including compliance of Title I Part A and Title III parent engagement requirements. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Family & Community Engagement Federal Programs - Title 1, Part A & Title III | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | |

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness and graduation rates, and decreasing student management incidences.

Performance Objective 14: FAMILY & COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT: Percent of volunteers who participate in the GISD Family Volunteer Engagement Survey will increase from 19% in June 2022 to 25% in June 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: GISD Volunteer Survey

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Develop, deploy, monitor, evaluate and revise a comprehensive volunteer engagement plan based on volunteer feedback. | | Formative | | Summative |
| | | Feb | Apr | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The anticipated result is that campuses will receive professional development and resources on volunteer program best practices. All campuses will foster meaningful participation of volunteers and will use the volunteer program best practices incorporated in the family engagement framework. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Family & Community Engagement | | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness and graduation rates, and decreasing student management incidences.

Performance Objective 15: SAFETY & SECURITY: To ensure a safe and secure environment for all District students, staff, and visitors by decreasing exterior door audit findings, increasing detection of weapons and dangerous items through random searches and other means, and adhering to 100 percent on campus drills.

Evaluation Data Sources: TxSSC/TEA MEOP submission report; K-9/Random Search data report; Campus EOP Report & Campus Drills Report

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | |
|---|----------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Monitor, evaluate and revise the district's Multi-Hazard Emergency Operations Plan. | | Formative | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Prepare district and campus staff for crises. | Nov | Feb | Apr | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: GISD Security Department | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Monitor, evaluate and continually improve district violence & crime prevention and intervention tactics. | | Formative | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Greater safety and security of staff, students, and visitors to all district campuses and facilities. | | Feb | Apr | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: GISD Security Department | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | | Rev | iews | • |
| Strategy 3: Assist and evaluate school administrators in emergency crisis planning and hazard response. | | Formative | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Prepared students and staff. | | Feb | Apr | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administrators GISD Security Department | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | • | • |

Addendums



Professional Development 2023-24 Landing Page

GISD Professional Development Website

| District Professional Development | New Employee Orientation Week | Project Goal |
|--|--|--|
| Professional Development 2023-24 Spreadsheet | New Employee Schedule | Project Goal Page: PD Site |
| On-going (On-Demand) Training | New Employee WAIP - June 22 | Project Goal New Teacher Induction Records by Campus |
| <u>Plan</u> | New Employee Attendance | Project Goal Program Overview |
| Professional Development Handbook | Monday Survey Feedback Tuesday Survey Feedback Wednesday Survey Feedback | New Teacher induction Consolidated Spreadsheet |
| Professional Development Guidelines | Thursday Survey Feedback Friday Survey Feedback | (under construction) |
| | | 51.1 |
| Surveys & Responses | Calendar | Eduphoria Strive |
| Elementary Exchange Day Secondary Exchange Day | Professional Development Dates 2023-24 | Conference Click Sheet |
| Departments & Programs Exchange Day | Professional Development Deadlines | |
| Back to School Elementary | | |
| Survey | | |
| Back to School Secondary | | |
| Survey | | |
| Back to School Depts. Survey | | |



2023-24 Elementary Principal PD Framework

LeadCON Landing Page

| | Planning For Your Priority | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| May 18, 2023 | CNA & CIP Introduction | Big Rock Intro Work to Identify your Big Rock Next Steps for LeadCON | | | |
| June 15, 2023 | CIP & CNA Draft Due | Embed your BIG Rock into CIP strategies | | | |
| | Refining Your P | riority | | | |
| June 22, 2023 | LeadCon Day 1 @CCC | Leadership Lessons Big Rock Refinement Drafting T-PESS Aligned Goals Rock Band Collaboration | | | |
| July 21, 2023 | LeadCon Day 2 @Naaman Forest HS | Leadership through the Lens of Your Rock (Required Admin Sessions) | | | |
| July 25, 2023 | Area Meeting | CEP/Eligibility Criteria | | | |
| July 27, 2023 | LeadCon Day 3 @CCC | Rock Refinement through Department Learning & Leadership Lessons | | | |
| August 8, 2023 | First Day of School | | | | |
| August 11, 2023 | Area Meetings | | | | |
| August 24, 2023 | *LeadCon Leadership @CCC Survive or Thrive Sessions: | | | | |
| | 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 | | | | |
| | Belonging Matters Sessions: | | | | |

*Level meeting times:

8:00-11:00: All elementary & secondary principals (department leaders involved in BIG Rock work will attend this session).

11:30-1:30: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership

2:00-4:00: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership



| | 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 | |
|---|---|--|
| | Big Rock Work (9:40-11:00) Principals only | |
| August 25, 2023 | Area Meetings | |
| August 31, 2023 | TPESS Orientation Deadline | |
| September L | earning Walk Focus: How do "Systems" impact your BIG Rock? | |
| September 6, 2023 | *LeadCon Leadership @CCC | |
| | Leveraging Staff Turnover Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 | |
| | Critical Thinking Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 | |
| | Big Rock Work (9:40-11:00) Principals only | |
| September 7, 2023 | Team GISD | |
| September 8, 2023 | Area Meetings | |
| September 12 & 14,, 2023 | Curriculum Connections (Google Meet Code: CampusSupport) *Principal and AP should attend one of the sessions offered | |
| September 22, 2023 | TPESS Goals Due: Deadline to submit BOY Self- Assessment and Two Goals (One Professional and One Student Growth Goal) | |
| September 22, 2023 | Area Meetings | |
| October Learning Walk Focus: How does "Culture" impact your BIG Rock? | | |
| October 5, 2023 | *LeadCon Leadership @CCC | |
| | Writing for the Future Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 | |

8:00-11:00: All elementary & secondary principals (department leaders involved in BIG Rock work will attend this session).

11:30-1:30: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership 2:00-4:00: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership



| Belonging Matters Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 Big Rock Work (9:40-11:00) Principals only | | |
|---|--|--|
| Area Meetings | | |
| CIP to Board of Trustees | | |
| Fall Break | | |
| Curriculum Connections (Google Meet Code: CampusSupport) *Principal and AP should attend one of the sessions offered | | |
| Area Meetings | | |
| November Learning Walk Focus: How does "Instruction" impact your BIG Rock? | | |
| rning Walk Focus: How does "Instruction" impact your BIG Rock? | | |
| rning Walk Focus: How does "Instruction" impact your BIG Rock? *LeadCon Leadership @CCC | | |
| | | |
| *LeadCon Leadership @CCC Critical Thinking Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 | | |
| *LeadCon Leadership @CCC Critical Thinking Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 Leading through Change Sessions: | | |
| *LeadCon Leadership @CCC Critical Thinking Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 Leading through Change Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 | | |
| *LeadCon Leadership @CCC Critical Thinking Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 Leading through Change Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 Big Rock Work (9:40-11:00) Principals only | | |
| *LeadCon Leadership @CCC Critical Thinking Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 Leading through Change Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 Big Rock Work (9:40-11:00) Principals only Last day for TPESS BOY Conference | | |
| *LeadCon Leadership @CCC Critical Thinking Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 Leading through Change Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 Big Rock Work (9:40-11:00) Principals only Last day for TPESS BOY Conference Area Meetings | | |
| | | |

8:00-11:00: All elementary & secondary principals (department leaders involved in BIG Rock work will attend this session).

11:30-1:30: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership 2:00-4:00: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership



| December/January Learning Walk Focus: How are you monitoring your BIG Rock? | | |
|---|--|--|
| December 6, 2023 | *LeadCon Leadership @CCC | |
| | Survive or Thrive Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 | |
| | Writing for the Future Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 | |
| | Big Rock Work (9:40-11:00) Principals only | |
| December 8, 2023 | Area Meetings | |
| December 13, 2023 | Team GISD | |
| December 18-Jan.3 | Winter Break | |
| January 11, 2024 | *LeadCon Leadership @CCC Leading through Change Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 Leveraging Staff Turnover Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 Big Rock Work (9:40-11:00) Principals only | |
| January 12, 2024 | Area Meetings | |
| January 16 & 18 | Curriculum Connections (Google Meet Code: CampusSupport) *Principal and AP should attend one of the sessions offered | |
| January 26, 2024 | Area Meetings | |
| Accountability for your BIG Rock | | |
| February 9, 2024 | Area Meetings | |
| February 15, 2023 | *LeadCon Leadership @CCC | |

8:00-11:00: All elementary & secondary principals (department leaders involved in BIG Rock work will attend this session).

11:30-1:30: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership

2:00-4:00: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership



| February 20 & 22 | Survive or Thrive Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 Critical Thinking Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 STAAR Review Planning (9:40-11:00) Principals only Curriculum Connections (Google Meet Code: CampusSupport) *Principal and AP should attend one of the sessions offered | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| February 23, 2024 | Area Meetings | | |
| February 23, 2024 | Last day of TPESS Mid-year Review | | |
| | T-PESS Mid-Year Review | | |
| | Revising T-PESS Goals | | |
| Reflecting on Your Priority | | | |
| March 6, 2024 | *LeadCon Leadership @CCC | | |
| | Belonging Matters Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 | | |
| | Writing for the Future Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 | | |
| | Big Rock Work (9:40-11:00) Principals only | | |
| March 7, 2024 | Team GISD | | |
| March 8, 2024 | Area Meetings | | |
| March 11-15 | Spring Break | | |

8:00-11:00: All elementary & secondary principals (department leaders involved in BIG Rock work will attend this session).

11:30-1:30: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership

2:00-4:00: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership



| March 19 & 21 | Curriculum Connections (Google Meet Code: CampusSupport) *Principal and AP should attend one of the sessions offered | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| March 22, 2024 | Area Meetings | | |
| April 5, 2024 | Area Meetings | | |
| April 18, 2024 | *LeadCon Leadership @CCC | | |
| | Critical Thinking Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 | | |
| | Leveraging Staff Turnover Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 | | |
| | Big Rock Work (9:40-11:00) Principals only | | |
| April 19, 2024 | Area Meetings | | |
| | Sustaining your Priority in 2024-25 Planning a new priority for 2024-25 | | |
| May 2, 2024 | CNA & CIP Introduction | | |
| May 10, 2024 | Area Meetings | | |
| May 10, 2024 | Deadline to submit TPESS artifacts/evidence of goal progression/attainment | | |
| May 14 & 16 | Curriculum Connections (Google Meet Code: CampusSupport) *Principal and AP should attend one of the sessions offered | | |
| May 24, 2024 | Area Meetings | | |
| June 6, 2024 | *LeadCon Leadership @CCC | | |
| | Leading Through Change Sessions: 8-8:45, 11:30-12:15, & 2:00-2:45 | | |

8:00-11:00: All elementary & secondary principals (department leaders involved in BIG Rock work will attend this session).

11:30-1:30: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership 2:00-4:00: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership



| | Belonging Matters Sessions: 8:45-9:30, 12:15-1:00, & 2:45-3:30 | |
|----------------|--|--|
| | Planning to maintain & sustain in 24-25 (9:40-11:00) Principals only | |
| June 21, 2024 | Last day for TPESS End-of-Year Conference and Final Evaluation Goal Setting | |
| Summer LeadCon | | |

2023-24 LeadCON Leadership Strands

| Month | Session 1 | Session 2 |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| August | Survive or Thrive | Belonging Matters |
| September | Leveraging Staff Turnover | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap |
| October | Writing for the Future | Belonging Matters |
| November | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap | Leading through Change |
| December | Survive or Thrive | Writing for the Future |
| January | Leading through Change | Leveraging Staff Turnover |
| February | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap | Survive or Thrive |
| March | Belonging Matters | Writing for the Future |
| April | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap | Leveraging Staff Turnover |
| June | Belonging Matters | Leading through Change |

*Level meeting times:

8:00-11:00: All elementary & secondary principals (department leaders involved in BIG Rock work will attend this session).

11:30-1:30: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership 2:00-4:00: Elementary/secondary APs & district department leadership



2023-24 MS Administrator Leadership Development Framework

| | Planning For Your Priority | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| May 18, 2023 | CNA & CIP Introduction | 3 Priorities Intro Work to Identify your 3 Priorities | | | | | | | |
| June 15, 2023 | CIP Draft DueCNA Due | Embed 3 Priorities into CIP strategies | | | | | | | |
| | Refining Your | Priority | | | | | | | |
| June 22, 2023 LeadCon Day 1 @CCC | | | | | | | | | |
| July 18, 2023 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | | |
| July 21, 2023 | LeadCon Day 2 @Naaman Forest HS | | | | | | | | |
| July 25, 2023 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | | |
| July 27, 2023 | LeadCon Day 3 @CCC | | | | | | | | |
| August 8, 2023 | First Day of School | | | | | | | | |
| August 15, 2023 | P | rincipal Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| August 16, 2023 | | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| August 24, 2023 | *LeadC | CON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | | |
| August 29, 2023 | P | rincipal Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| August 30, 2023 | | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| September Lea | arning Walk Focus: How do | "Systems" impact your 3 Priorities? | | | | | | | |
| September 6, 2023 | *LeadC | ON & Level Meetings@CCC | | | | | | | |
| September 7, 2023 | | Team GISD | | | | | | | |
| September 12, 2023 | P | rincipal Area Meeting | | | | | | | |



| September 13, 2023 | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| September 26, 2023 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | |
| September 27, 2023 | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | |
| September 27, 2023 | TPESS Goals Due | | | | | | |
| October Lea | rning Walk Focus: How does "Culture" impact your BIG Rock? | | | | | | |
| October 5, 2023 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | |
| October | CIP to Board of Trustees | | | | | | |
| October 9-13 | Fall Break | | | | | | |
| October 24, 2023 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | |
| October 25, 2023 | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | |
| November Learning Walk Focus: How does "Instruction" impact your BIG Rock? | | | | | | | |
| November 2, 2023 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | |
| November 20-24 | Thanksgiving Break | | | | | | |
| November 28, 2023 | Principal Area Meetings | | | | | | |
| November 29, 2023 | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | |
| | T-PESS BOY Conference | | | | | | |
| December/Janua | ry Learning Walk Focus: How are you monitoring your BIG Rock? | | | | | | |
| December 6, 2023 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | |
| December 13, 2023 | Team GISD | | | | | | |
| December 18-Jan.3 | Winter Break | | | | | | |
| January 11, 2024 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | |
| January 23, 2024 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | |
| January 24, 2023 | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | |



| Accountability for your 3 Priorities | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| February 15, 2023 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | | |
| February 27, 2024 | Principal Area Meetings | | | | | | | |
| February 28, 2024 | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| | T-PESS Mid-Year Review | | | | | | | |
| | Revising T-PESS Goals | | | | | | | |
| Reflecting on Your Priorities | | | | | | | | |
| March 6, 2024 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | | |
| March 7, 2024 | Team GISD | | | | | | | |
| March 11-15 | Spring Break | | | | | | | |
| March 26, 2024 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| March 78, 2024 | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| April 18, 2024 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | | |
| April 23, 2024 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| April 24, 2024 | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| | Sustaining your priorities in 2024-25 Planning a new priorities for 2024-25 | | | | | | | |
| May 2, 2024 | *LeadCON: CNA & CIP Introduction | | | | | | | |
| May 14, 2024 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| May 15, 2024 | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| June 6, 2024 | LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | | |
| | T-PESS EOY Conference | | | | | | | |



Area Principal and AP Meetings

Principals - Tuesday 9:30 a.m. - 11:00 a.m. APs - Wednesday 9:30-11:30 a.m. - 1:00-3:00 p.m.

All Virtual - Meeting link

*LeadCON & Level Meeting Times:

8:00-11:00 a.m.: All elementary & secondary principals

11:30-1:30 p.m.: Elementary/Secondary APs & district department leadership 2:00-4:00 p.m.: Elementary/Secondary APs & district department leadership

• APs & district leadership can choose either afternoon session until each session reaches capacity

LeadCON Monthly Focus

2023-24 LeadCON Leadership Strands

| Month | Session 1 | Session 2 |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| August | Survive or Thrive | Belonging Matters |
| September | Leveraging Staff Turnover | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap |
| October | Writing for the Future | Belonging Matters |
| November | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap | Leading through Change |
| December | Survive or Thrive | Writing for the Future |
| January | Leading through Change | Leveraging Staff Turnover |
| February | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap | Survive or Thrive |
| March | Belonging Matters | Writing for the Future |
| April | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap | Leveraging Staff Turnover |
| June | Belonging Matters | Leading through Change |

LeadCON Landing Page



2023-24 HS Administrator Leadership Development Framework

| Planning For Your Priority | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| May 18, 2023 | CNA & CIP Introduction | 3 Priorities Intro Work to Identify your 3 Priorities | | | | | | | | |
| June 15, 2023 | CIP Draft DueCNA Due | Embed 3 Priorities into CIP strategies | | | | | | | | |
| | Refining Your Priority | | | | | | | | | |
| June 22, 2023 | L | eadCon Day 1 @CCC | | | | | | | | |
| July 18, 2023 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | | | |
| July 21, 2023 | LeadCon Day 2 @Naaman Forest HS | | | | | | | | | |
| July 25, 2023 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | | | |
| July 27, 2023 | LeadCon Day 3 @CCC | | | | | | | | | |
| August 8, 2023 | First Day of School | | | | | | | | | |
| August 15, 2023 | P | rincipal Area Meeting | | | | | | | | |
| August 16, 2023 | | n Meeting- Virtual 2 options 11:30 a.m 1:00-3:00 p.m. | | | | | | | | |
| August 24, 2023 | *LeadC | ON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | | | |
| August 29, 2023 | P | rincipal Area Meeting | | | | | | | | |
| August 30, 2023 | | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | | | |
| September Lea | arning Walk Focus: How do | "Systems" impact your 3 Priorities? | | | | | | | | |
| September 6, 2023 | *LeadC | ON & Level Meetings@CCC | | | | | | | | |
| September 7, 2023 | | Team GISD | | | | | | | | |



| September 12, 2023 | Principal Area Meeting |
|--------------------|---|
| September 13, 2023 | AP Area Meeting- Virtual 2 options |
| | 9:30-11:30 a.m 1:00-3:00 p.m. |
| September 12, 2023 | Principal Area Meeting |
| September 26, 2023 | AP Area Meeting- Virtual 2 options 9:30-11:30 a.m 1:00-3:00 p.m. |
| September 27, 2023 | TPESS Goals Due |
| October Lea | rning Walk Focus: How does "Culture" impact your BIG Rock? |
| October 5, 2023 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC |
| October | CIP to Board of Trustees |
| October 9-13 | Fall Break |
| October 24, 2023 | Principal Area Meeting |
| October 25, 2023 | AP Area Meeting- Virtual 2 options 9:30-11:30 a.m 1:00-3:00 p.m. |
| November Lear | ning Walk Focus: How does "Instruction" impact your BIG Rock? |
| November 2, 2023 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC |
| November 20-24 | Thanksgiving Break |
| November 28, 2023 | Principal Area Meetings |
| November 29, 2023 | AP Area Meeting- Virtual 2 options 9:30-11:30 a.m 1:00-3:00 p.m. |
| | T-PESS BOY Conference |
| December/Janua | ry Learning Walk Focus: How are you monitoring your BIG Rock? |
| December 6, 2023 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC |
| December 14, 2023 | Team GISD |
| December 18-Jan.3 | Winter Break |
| | |



| January 11, 2024 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| January 23, 2024 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| January 24, 2023 | AP Area Meeting- Virtual 2 options 9:30-11:30 a.m 1:00-3:00 p.m. | | | | | | | |
| | Accountability for your 3 Priorities | | | | | | | |
| February 15, 2023 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | | |
| February 27, 2024 | Principal Area Meetings | | | | | | | |
| February 28, 2024 | AP Area Meeting- Virtual 2 options 9:30-11:30 a.m 1:00-3:00 p.m. | | | | | | | |
| | T-PESS Mid-Year Review | | | | | | | |
| Revising T-PESS Goals | | | | | | | | |
| Reflecting on Your Priorities | | | | | | | | |
| March 6, 2024 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | | |
| March 7, 2024 | Team GISD | | | | | | | |
| March 11-15 | Spring Break | | | | | | | |
| March 26, 2024 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| March 78, 2024 | AP Area Meeting- Virtual 2 options 9:30-11:30 a.m 1:00-3:00 p.m. | | | | | | | |
| April 4, 2024 | *LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | | |
| April 23, 2024 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| April 24, 2024 | AP Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| | Sustaining your priorities in 2024-25 Planning a new priorities for 2024-25 | | | | | | | |
| May 2, 2024 | *LeadCON: CNA & CIP Introduction | | | | | | | |
| May 14, 2024 | Principal Area Meeting | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |



| June 6, 2024 | 9:30-11:30 a.m 1:00-3:00 p.m. LeadCON & Level Meeting @CCC | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| T-PESS EOY Conference | | | | | | | |

Area Principal and AP Meetings

Principals - Thursday 3:10-4:30. <u>Meeting Link</u>
Assistant Principals - Wednesday 9:30-11:30 a.m.- 1:00-3:00 p.m. <u>Meeting link</u>

*LeadCON & Level Meeting Times:

8:00-11:00 a.m.: All elementary & secondary principals

11:30-1:30 p.m.: Elementary/Secondary APs & district department leadership 2:00-4:00 p.m.: Elementary/Secondary APs & district department leadership

• APs & district leadership can choose either afternoon session until each session reaches capacity

LeadCON Monthly Focus



2023-24 LeadCON Leadership Strands

| Month | Session 1 | Session 2 |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| August | Survive or Thrive | Belonging Matters |
| September | Leveraging Staff Turnover | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap |
| October | Writing for the Future | Belonging Matters |
| November | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap | Leading through Change |
| December | Survive or Thrive | Writing for the Future |
| January | Leading through Change | Leveraging Staff Turnover |
| February | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap | Survive or Thrive |
| March | Belonging Matters | Writing for the Future |
| April | Closing the Critical Thinking Gap | Leveraging Staff Turnover |
| June | Belonging Matters | Leading through Change |

LeadCON Landing Page

| | Department Budget Totals - Application | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Admin | Summer Prog | AVID (Elem.) | MTSS | CCMR | Homeless | PNP | Behavior Support | Guid & Coun | IT Tech | Parent Family Eng | Tch/Lrng | TOTAL |
| 6100 | \$0 | \$0 | \$103,120 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,000 | \$0 | \$7,000 | 0 | \$60,000 | \$17,000 | \$15,000 | \$207,120 |
| 6200 | \$9,000 | \$0 | \$4,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$41,000 | \$70,000 | \$35,000 | \$159,000 |
| 6300 | \$51,300 | \$0 | \$78,641 | \$767,665 | \$216,000 | \$60,000 | \$0 | \$3,000 | \$128,500 | \$3,000 | \$13,000 | \$80,000 | \$1,401,106 |
| 6400 | \$8,500 | \$0 | \$148,024 | \$0 | \$0 | \$16,000 | \$175,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,000 | \$0 | \$360,524 |
| TOTAL | \$68,800 | \$0 | \$333,785 | \$767,665 | \$216,000 | \$81,000 | \$175,000 | \$10,000 | \$128,500 | \$104,000 | \$113,000 | \$130,000 | \$2,127,750 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Roll Forward | Projections | | | | | | |

| TOTAL | \$68,800 | \$0 | \$333,785 | \$767,665 | \$216,000 | \$81,000 | \$175,000 | \$10,000 | \$128,500 | \$104,000 | \$113,000 | \$130,000 | \$2,12 | 7,750 |
|----------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|---|-------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------|------------|-------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Roll Forward Projections | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Admin/Transiti on (6th,9th) | Summer Prog | AVID (Elem.) | MTSS | CCMR | Homeless | PNP | Behavior Support | Guid & Coun | TI Tech | Parent Family Eng | C&I | TOTAL | |
| 6100 | \$100,000 | \$845,500 | \$73,920 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,500 | \$3,500 | \$1,03 | 9,420 |
| 6200 | \$30,000 | \$0 | \$214,225 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,500 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$25 | 0,725 |
| 6300 | \$130,000 | \$149,684 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$52,000 | \$10,000 | \$0 | \$5,000 | \$10,000 | \$35 | 6,684 |
| 6400 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| 6600 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| TOTAL | \$260,000 | \$995,184 | \$288,145 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$68,500 | \$10,000 | \$0 | \$11,500 | \$13,500 | \$1,646 | 5,829 |
| Task 9.2 | 6100 | | | | | | | | | | | | \$ 1,646, | 829 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Indirect Costs | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | \$ | - | | |
| To | otal Campus Salari | es | \$8,683,470 | | | | | | | | Planning | Amount | Final Amou | nt |
| Total | l Non-Campus Sal | aries | \$1,522,205 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Department 6100 |) | \$207,120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL PAYROLL | | \$10,412,795 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prog | gram | Final A | mount | Roll Fo | rward | Reallo | cation | Planning Amount in Oracle Total to Budget | | | | | | |
| Tit | ile I | | | | | | | \$ 16,260,160.00 | | \$ - | | | | |
| Titl | le II | | | | | | | \$ | 2,073,731 | \$ | | | | |
| Title I | III ELA | | | | | | | \$ 1,868,008 | | \$ | - | | | |
| Title II | II IMM | | | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | | | | |
| Title | e IV | | | | | | | \$ | 1,245,822 | \$ | - | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 23-24 Title II | \$2,073,731.00 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | Salaries | Misc. | Supplies | Travel | | Total | 4.47% | |
| Task # | Task Name | Function | 6100 | 6200 | 6300 | 6400 | 6600 | | 64998 | Subtotal |
| 1 | Recruit & Retain | 13 Curriculum Development | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Project GOAL Mentoring | 13 Curriculum Development | \$80,000.00 | | \$17,000.00 | | | \$97,000.00 | \$4,336.87 | \$101,336.87 |
| 1.2 | Subs/Extra duty | 11 Instruction | \$200,000.00 | | | | | \$200,000.00 | \$8,942.00 | \$208,942.00 |
| 2 | Program Administration | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1 | Administrative Costs | 13 Curriculum Development | \$800,000.00 | | \$50,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | | \$865,000.00 | \$38,674.15 | \$903,674.15 |
| 3 | PD Sessions | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1 | Improve Teachers | 13 Curriculum Development | | \$439,100.00 | \$75,000.00 | \$60,000.00 | | \$574,100.00 | \$25,668.01 | \$599,768.01 |
| 3.2 | Improve Leadership | 23 School Leadership | | \$78,657.44 | \$10,000.00 | | | \$88,657.44 | \$3,963.87 | \$92,621.31 |
| 3.3 | Subs | 11 Instruction | \$60,225.00 | | | | | \$60,225.00 | \$2,692.66 | \$62,917.66 |
| 4 | Fn 93 Payments to Fiscal Agent | 61 Community Services | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1 | Prvt School Shrd Svc | 61 Community Services | | \$100,000.00 | | | | \$100,000.00 | \$4,471.00 | \$104,471.00 |
| | | | \$1,140,225.00 | \$617,757.44 | \$152,000.00 | \$75,000.00 | | | \$88,748.56 | \$2,073,731.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | Total | \$2,073,731.00 |

| DEPARTMENT: | Multilingual | 2023 - 2024 Allocation | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| REQUESTED FUNDING: | \$1,867,598.44 | \$1,868,008.00 | ADMIN | IISTRATOR: Tara Sowels |
| | | | | |
| | | REQUESTED OBJEC | T CODE | |
| PAYR | OLL (6100) | AMOUNT | | \$1,420,000 |
| Description of | f Requested Funding | | Requested fundin | g per line item. |
| Administrative Salaries (Execu Secetaries) | tive Director, Specialists, and | Payroll | \$1,350,000 | |
| Extra Duty, Substitutes, and/or support the Title III, Part A Prog | Supplemental Pay for duties that gram | Payroll | \$50,000 | |
| Multilingual Department Progra | am Planning | Payroll | \$10,000 | |
| Multilngual Department Profes | | Payroll | \$10,000 | |
| | | | | |
| | CONTRACTED SERVICES (6200) | REQUESTED OBJEC AMOUNT | T CODE | \$70,000 |
| Description of | f Requested Funding | | Requested fundin | g per line item. |
| Instructional Professional Deve | elopment for Teachers | Professional and Contracted Services | \$10,000 | |
| Title III, Part A Private Nonprof | it Services | Professional and Contracted Services | \$60,000 | |
| | 2 MATERIAL 2 (2222) | REQUESTED OBJEC | T CODE | |
| | D MATERIALS (6300) | AMOUNT | | \$264,800 |
| · | f Requested Funding | | Requested fundin | g per line item. |
| Administrative Supplies | | Supplies | \$1,000 | |
| Communication for Parents, Fa | | Supplies | \$800 | |
| and Supplies for Classroom Pr | • | Supplies | \$3,000 | |
| Supplies for Teacher Professio | | Supplies | \$2,000 | |
| Multilingual Students Transition | n Services | Supplies | \$1,000 | |
| Rosetta Stone | | Site Licenses | \$30,000 | |
| System 44 / Read 180 | | Site Licenses | \$27,000 | |
| ELLevation Strategies | | Teacher Licenses/Software | \$200,000 | |
| | | REQUESTED OBJEC | T CODE | |
| | SUBSISTENCE (6400) | AMOUNT | | \$33,000 |
| Description of | f Requested Funding | | Requested fundin | g per line item. |
| Multilingual Department and C (Conferences, Miscellaneous F Opportunities, etc.) | | Conference Travel | \$10,000 | |
| Multilingual Students Education | nal Camps or Universities | Travel | \$10,000 | |
| Student Field Trips | Jampo or ornivoroidos | Travel | \$1,000 | |
| Multilingual Department Profes | ssional Memberships | Memberships | \$3,000 | |
| · · | es for Educational Opportunities | Travel | \$5,000 | |
| Multingual Students Transition | | Travel | \$1,000 | |
| • | gion 10 ESC Cooperative Fees | Fiscal Agent Payments | \$3,000 | |
| INDIREC | T COST RATE | REQUIRED AMO | UNT | \$79,798 |
| Description of | f Requested Funding | | Requested fundin | g per line item. |
| Indirect Cost Rate (4.471%) | | Indirect Cost Rate | \$79,798 | |
| | | | | |

Priority for Service (PFS) Action Plan for Migrant Students

As part of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), the Priority for Service (PFS) Action Plan is a required program activity for the Migrant Education Program. In providing services with funds received under this part, each recipient of such funds shall give priority to migratory children who have made a qualifying move within the previous 1-year period and who are failing, or most at risk of failing, to meet the challenging State academic standards; or have dropped out of school. [§1304 [20 U.S.C. 6394](d)].

The Priority for Service Report on NGS must be used to determine who to serve first and foremost with MEP funds. Students are identified as PFS if they meet the following criteria:

| | Priority for Service Criteria | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grades 3-12, | Who have made a qualifying move within the previous 1-year period; AND | | | | | | |
| Ungraded (UG) or | Have a received grade level of "approaches or not meet" on the state assessments (STAAR), were Absent, | | | | | | |
| Out of School (OS) | Not Tested or were not enrolled in a Texas school during the state assessment testing period for their grade level. | | | | | | |
| | Who have made a qualifying move within the previous 1-year period; AND | | | | | | |
| Grades K-3 | Have been designated LEP in the Student Designation section of the New Generation System (NGS) Supplemental Program Component; or | | | | | | |
| | For students in grades K-2, who have been retained, or are overage for their current grade level. | | | | | | |

The following document is provided by TEA for districts to help document efforts that are being conducted on behalf of Priority for Service students. It contains all of the required components as described in Part 4 of the ESSA Application in the Provisions and Assurances, but also allows room for districts to add additional activities. Each district's plan must clearly articulate criteria for defining student success, including timelines for achieving stated goals and objectives.

NOTE: This document can be obtained electronically in MS Word format from the regional ESC MEP Coordinator.

| School District: Garland ISD | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Region: Region 10 | |

Priority for Service (PFS) Action Plan

School Year: 2023 -2024

| Filled Out By: |
|----------------------------|
| Jesús Navarrete |
| Date: |
| September 2023-August 2024 |

Note: Title I, Part C Coordinator or MEP staff will include the PFS Action Plan in the district improvement plan as a separate section appropriately labeled or identified (e.g., "Migrant PFS Action Plan Section"), rather than integrating the action plan elements with other DIP sections that focus on other student population groups (e.g., Bilingual, ESL, economically disadvantage).

Goal(s):

To implement the required strategies of the Priority for Service (PFS) Action Plan in order to advance the academic growth of the students as determined by the Priority for Service Criteria.

To ensure that Priority for Services (PFS) students are being served first and foremost in addressing their individualized academic needs.

Objective(s):

To advance student success the following will be implemented:

1. The progress of the student will be monitored by the ESC MEP incoordination with appropriate school district personnel who havePriority for Service students. Since progress will be determined by the grading system of the school district, it will be monitored using the Priority for Service (PFS) Student Progress Review forms. Academic goals will be revised according to the academic success of the students as outlined in their respective PFS Progress Review Sheets.

- 2. The progress and determined needs of the PFS will be communicated to appropriate personnel identified in the Action Plan in order to support academic success.
- 3. The services and/or resources provided in the PFS will be aligned with the identified academic needs of the student in order to meet the requirements of the rigorous curricula implemented in the state of Texas. (See Migrant Education Program PFS/Migrant Plan of Action-SDP)

| Required Strategies | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Documentation | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Monitor the progress of MEP students who are on PFS. | | | | | | |
| Monthly, run NGS Priority for Service (PFS) reports to identify migrant children and youth who require priority access to MEP services. | Year-round | Region 10 ESC Migrant Education Program (MEP) Staff | Priority for Service (PFS Report | | | |
| Before the first day of school, develop a PFS Action Plan for serving PFS students. The plan must clearly articulate criteria for defining student success, including timelines for achieving stated goals and objectives. | Beginning of the academic year | LEA (MEP) Contact Region 10 ESC MEP Staff | Completed PFS Action Plan Semester PFS Student Progress Review form | | | |
| Additional Activities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Required Strategies | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Documentation | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Communicate the progress and determine needs of PFS migrant students. | | | | | | | |
| During the academic calendar, the Title I, Part C Migrant Coordinator or MEP staff will provide campus principals and appropriate campus staff information on the Priority for Service criteria and updated NGS Priority for Service reports. | Ongoing | LEA (MEP) Contact Region 10 ESC MEP Staff | Agendas, sign-in sheets, PFS Tracking Report, Telephone Logs | | | | |
| During the academic calendar, the Title I, Part C Migrant Coordinator or MEP staff will provide parents of PFS students information on the Priority for Service criteria. | Quarterly or at the end of grading term | LEA (MEP) Contact and/or staff | PAC Meeting documentation, phone and emails, home visit logs | | | | |
| During the academic calendar, the district's Title I, Part C Migrant Coordinator or MEP staff will make individualized home and /or community visits to update parents on the academic progress of their children. | Ongoing | LEA (MEP) Contact Region 10 ESC MEP Staff | Telephone and mail logs, parent signatures of home visits | | | | |
| Additional Activities | Additional Activities | | | | | | |

| Duranida compiana to DEC migrant students | | | | | |
|--|---------|---|--|--|--|
| Provide services to PFS migrant students. | T | T | | | |
| The district's Title I, Part C migrant coordinator or MEP staff will use the PFS reports to give priority placement to these students in migrant education program activities. | Ongoing | LEA (MEP) Contact Region 10 ESC MEP Staff | PFS Student Progress Review forms, agendas, sign-in sheets, telephone and mail logs, A Bright Beginnings Documentation | | |
| The district's Title I, Part C migrant coordinator or MEP staff will ensure that PFS students receive priority access to instructional services as well as social workers and community social services/agencies. | Ongoing | Region 10 ESC MEP Staff | PFS Student Progress Review Forms | | |
| The district's Title I, Part C migrant coordinator or MEP staff will determine what federal, state, or local programs serve PFS students. | Ongoing | LEA (MEP) Contact Region 10 ESC MEP Staff | PFS Student Progress Review Forms | | |
| Additional Activities | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Erica Carbajal

LEA Signature

Jun 26, 2023

Date Completed

Jes S N varrete (Jun 26, 2023 15:11 CDT)

Jun 26, 2023

ESC Signature

Date Received

Garland ISD Improvement Plan Addendum: State and Federal Mandates

Discipline Management Programs

Provide discipline Management Programs including prevention of and education concerning unwanted physical or verbal aggression, sexual harassment, and other forms of bullying or bully-like behavior in school, on school grounds and in school vehicles. [TEC 11.252]

| | Strategy | Title I | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that demonstrates success |
|----|---|---------|---|--|
| 1. | Review discipline data to determine trends and develop appropriate training | 1 | Principal | Training provided based on campus need |
| 2. | Provide online courses designed to increase awareness of the Child's Internet Protection Act (CIPA) and cyber-bulling. | 2.5 | Principal | Decrease in cyberbullying incidents |
| 3. | School counselors will increase student awareness of respecting classmates, exemplifying good citizenship, and how to prevent bullying in schools and communities through classroom instruction. | 2.5 | School Counselor | Decrease in bullying incidents |
| 4. | Encourage students, parents or guardians to report acts of bullying. A student may submit an anonymous report. Parents or students may speak privately to any staff member to report an incident. | 2.6 | Principal | Information regarding reporting procedures distributed to parents |
| 5. | Teachers and staff members are trained in the GISD bullying reporting protocol. | 2.6 | Principal | Teachers attend training and are familiar with procedures |
| 6. | GISD staff conducts anti-bullying workshops for parents and students to increase awareness and prevention of bullying and cyberbullying. | 3.2 | Guidance and Counseling Department and School Counselor | Bullying workshops are attended and lead to decrease in bullying incidents |

Sexual Abuse, Mistreatment of Children. Mental Health Intervention and Suicide Prevention

| Strategy | Title I | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that demonstrates success |
|--|---------|--|--|
| 1. Increase staff awareness and recognition of issues of maltreatment and sexual abuse of children through training that covers prevention techniques and options for affected students. | 2.6 | Guidance & Counseling and School Counselor | Staff is aware of child abuse recognition and reporting requirements |
| 2. Provide training on methods for addressing the needs of students including suicide prevention programs and early mental health intervention. | 2.6 | Guidance & Counseling and School Counselor | Staff is aware of methods for addressing mental health issues including suicide prevention |
| 3. Provide age appropriate classroom instruction in an order to educate students on suicide prevention including warning signs and anonymous reporting procedures. | 2.5 | Guidance & Counseling and School Counselor | Student awareness is increased |

Methods for addressing needs of students for special programs

- a. early mental health intervention and suicide prevention (District only HB 1386)
- b. conflict resolution programs [TEC 11.252(3)(B)]
- c. drug and violence prevention and intervention [TEC 11.252(3)(B)]
- d. dyslexia treatment and accelerated reading program [TEC 11.252(a)(3)(B)]
- e. pregnancy-related services (TEA Addendum)
- f. provisions to improve services to gifted/talented (G/T) students (TEC 11.251-11.253
- g. supplemental services to homeless students [20 USC 6313(c)(3)]
- h. transportation to foster students [ESSA 1112 (c)(5)(B)(i)]

| | Strategy | Title I | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that demonstrates success |
|----|---|---------|--|--|
| 1. | Community Liaisons will provide leadership for school improvement in the areas of climate, discipline management, drug/alcohol prevention, and safety | 2.5 | Community Liaisons | Support for campuses (awareness) |
| 2. | Prepare age appropriate instruction, counseling, and/or student assemblies in conjunction with drug awareness education classes conducted by the School Resource Officers. | 2.5 | Guidance & Counseling, School Counselors, SROs | Student awareness is increased |
| 3. | Coordinate with the Garland, Rowlett and Sachse Police Departments and other various local organizations to host a community drug and alcohol awareness event at the Curtis Culwell Center. | 3.2 | Guidance & Counseling, SROs | Coordination between organizations leads to increased awareness |
| 4. | The Guidance and Counseling department utilizes character education in elementary schools as an avenue for providing instruction to students in the areas including, but not limited to the following: motivation to achieve, decision-making, interpersonal skills, cross-culture effectiveness, and responsible behavior. | 2.5 | Guidance & Counseling and School Counselor | Character Education programs are implemented on campuses |
| 5. | Highly trained dyslexia therapists provide dyslexia therapy/instruction to students identified with dyslexia in K-12. Identified students will receive an appropriate dyslexia program, utilizing curriculum based on student needs and delivered using multisensory methods that are systemative and sequential and meets the criteria of the Texas Dyslexia Handbook, 2018. The program used is implemented with fidelity as required by the curriculum and is provided four days/week for 60 minutes or five days/week for 45 minutes. | 2.6 | Asst Director Special Education | Dyslexia programs provide appropriate services to identified students |
| 6. | Provide pregnant or parenting students access to parenting skills classes as well as career training and job acquisition skills through a wide variety of Career and Technical classes offered in GISD. | 2.6 | СТЕ | Pregnant or parenting students are able to access necessary support services |
| 7. | Provide a child care center specifically designed to care for the newborn infants until they are old enough to move to a regular child care setting. | 2.6 | СТЕ | Parenting students are able to return to school |
| 8. | Ensure that teachers of record for identified gifted/talented (G/T) students meet state-mandated training requirements and | 2.4 | Principal, G/T Department | Documentation in lesson plans, classroom walkthroughs |

| differentiate instruction to meet student needs as per the District's G/T service design. | | | |
|---|-----|--|---|
| 9. Provide homeless students with supplemental materials and services; school supplies, clothing, immunizations, supplemental counseling, tutoring, costs associated with credit recovery, transportation, etc. | 2.6 | District Homeless Liaison, Student Services Dept | Students are provided supplemental materials and services |
| 10. Provide transportation services to students in foster care. | 2.6 | District Foster Care Liaison | Students are provided transportation services |

Harassment and dating violence [TEC 37.001]

| Strategy | Title I | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that demonstrates success |
|--|---------|---|--|
| 1. Provide instruction on healthy relationships to all middle and high school students in an effort to engage, educate, and empower students to prevent unhealthy relationships and decrease teen dating violence. | 2.6 | School Counselors | Student and Staff awareness is increased |
| 2. Counselors will provide counseling and/or refer students to local community agencies when the need arises. | 2.6 | Guidance & Counseling Department and School Counselor | Information is distributed to parents |
| 3. Increase staff awareness and recognition of issues regarding teen dating violence abuse of children through training that covers prevention techniques and options for affected students. | 2.6 | Guidance & Counseling Department and School Counselor | Staff is aware of child abuse recognition and reporting requirements |

Highly Effective Teachers

Recruit and retain highly effective teachers and ensure that instruction will be provided by certified teachers, per district standards.

| | Strategy | Title I | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that demonstrates success |
|----|--|---------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Create specific pools of certified candidates for interviews in shortage areas through GISD recruitment efforts. | 1 | HR | Pools of candidates are created |
| 2. | Increase minority candidates for positions which more adequately reflects the student population. | 1 | HR and Principal | The number of minority candidates interviewed and hired increases |
| 3. | Provide on-going training and development for mentors (current Project GOAL program). | 2.4 | HR | Training is provided for mentors |
| 4. | Principals assign a veteran teacher on the campus to "mentor" the first-time teachers. There are also additional mentor resources available at the district level. | 2.4 | Principal | First-time teachers are provided with an adequate level of campus and district support |
| 5. | During the school year, there are regular staff development activities scheduled for both first-year and veteran staff members. | 2.4 | HR/Principal | Staff development activities are held throughout the school year |
| 6. | Opportunities are provided for promotion within the district. The district actively encourages campus internships for teachers | 1 | HR | The district promotes internal candidates |

| working on advanced degrees that require an internship as part of | | |
|---|--|--|
| their coursework and for teachers who have been identified as | | |
| leaders by their supervisors. | | |

Texas Behavior Support Initiative

Training for Texas Behavior Support Initiative (TBSI) relating to the instruction of students with disabilities and designed for educators who work primarily outside the area of special education.

| | Strategy | Title I | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that demonstrates success |
|----|---|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1. | Each campus has several members serving on a CORE Team (an | 2.6 | Principal | Each campus has a full CORE team |
| | administrator, a general education teacher, and a special education | | Special Education | |
| | teacher are required). | | Department | |
| 2. | CORE Team members must attend the Nonviolent Crisis | 2.6 | Principal | CORE team members attend training |
| | Intervention (NVCI) training and complete the Texas Behavior | | Special Education | _ |
| | Support Initiative (TBSI) modules. | | Department | |
| 3. | CORE Teams will observe and provide support in a crisis situation. | 2.6 | Principal | CORE team members are able to |
| | | | Special Education | provide appropriate support |
| | | | Department | |

Post-Secondary Readiness

Strategies for providing to students, teachers, counselors, and parents information about: 1. Higher education admissions and financial aid opportunities, 2. TEXAS grant program, 3. Teach for Texas grant programs, 4. The need for students to make informed curriculum choices to be prepared for success beyond high school, 5. Sources of information on higher education admissions and financial aid [TEC 11.252(4)]

| | Strategy | Title I | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that demonstrates success |
|----|---|---------|---|---|
| 1. | GISD Ready website provides information about college and career planning, financial aid opportunities, career exploration and other helpful information related to college and career. | 2.5 | Advanced Academics and Guidance & Counseling Department | Ready website is kept current and updated |
| 2. | Provide FAFSA workshops to assist students and parents complete the application for financial aid. | 3.2 | Guidance & Counseling Department and School Counselor | Students and parents complete the FAFSA |
| 3. | Scholarship announcements are made through the campus Go Centers and guidance office as they become available during the school year. | 2.6 | Guidance & Counseling Department and School Counselor | Students are made aware of scholarships |
| 4. | Provide an opportunity for high school juniors, seniors and their parents to meet with representatives from more than 120 participating colleges and the military. | 3.2 | Guidance and Counseling Deaprtment | College fair is provided for students and parents |

Title I, Part C, Migrant Education Program

| Strategy | Title I | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that demonstrates success |
|--|------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| GISD will provide identified migrant students with instructional support services such as tutoring, summer school, intervention and/or credit recovery. | 2.5 2.6 | FACE/Student Services Region 10 | Migrant students are provided with educational services |
| GISD staff will ensure that migrant students and parents receive timely information about graduation requirements and post high school opportunities. | 3.2 | FACE/Student Services Region 10 | Migrant students and parents are aware of graduation requirements and post high school opportunities |
| Improve communication with parents of migrant students, informing families of resources and services available to meet the educational, health, and emotional needs of students. | 3.2 | FACE/Student Services Region 10 | Migrant students and parents are referred to resources for services to help meet their needs |

Drop Out Prevention

| | Strategy | Title I | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that demonstrates success |
|----|---|---------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Provide comprehensive staff development for school personnel so that they may recognize environmental, familial, economic, social, developmental, and psychosocial factors which may contribute to a student's failure to complete high school. | 2.6 | Student Services | Training provided |
| 2. | Provide appropriate in-school curricular and non-curricular programs and services to identified at-risk students and students who manifest recognized dropout predictors or characteristics. | 2.6 | Campus Staff | At risk students are members of in- school curricular and non-curricular programs |
| 3. | Access available community media outlets to conduct an awareness campaign to publicize the dropout problem and describe effective preventive techniques, programs, and services that are available. | 2.6 | Student Services | Media assists in awareness |
| 4. | Solicit the support and encourage involvement of the community's diverse groups and organizations. Develop a centralized system for collection, organization, maintenance, and dissemination of at-risk student data. | 2.6 | Student Services | Centralized system is created and utilized for dissemination of at-risk student data |
| 5. | Provide students with academic alternatives to dropping out through grade recovery, cycle recovery, credit recovery, online courses, summer school, and non-traditional options. | 2.6 | Special Programs | Students who experience failure complete recovery efforts |

Coordinated School Health

Include any coordinated school health activities and their evaluation in the campus improvement plan as well as methods to ensure that students participate in the required physical activity and any other activity recommended by the School Health Advisory Council. [TEC 11.253(d3)(10)]

| | Strategy | Title I | Staff Responsible for Monitoring | Evidence that demonstrates success |
|----|---|---------|--|--|
| 1. | Qualified teachers use best practices when teaching physical activity. Structured physical activity is provided at least 135 minutes weekly for elementary students. Middle school students complete a minimum of 225 minutes of physical activity every two weeks for 4 semesters. | 2.5 | Principal | Structured activity is in the elementary master schedules for the required weekly minutes. Middle school students are enrolled in at least 4 semesters of physical education (or equivalent substitute) |
| 2. | Health services are provided for students by qualified professionals such as physicians, nurses, dentists and other allied health personnel from within the school and from the community to assess, protect and promote health. | 2.6 | Nurse | Health services are provided by Clinic Services |
| 3. | School Nutrition Services offer students a variety of nutritious and appealing meals that accommodate the health and dietary needs of all students. | 2.6 | Student Nutrition Services | Students are served nutritious meals |
| 4. | Counselors provide services to students to improve mental, emotional and social health and include individual and group assessments, interventions, and referrals. | 2.5 | Guidance & Counseling Department and School Counselor | Students are provided appropriate counseling services or are given referrals to services |
| 5. | Each elementary and middle school campus wellness team (physical education teacher, school nurse, administrator, cafeteria representative) creates a calendar detailing all of the coordinated school health activities during the school year. | 2.6 | Principal District Health/Physical Education Coordinator | Campus CSH calendars are turned into the Health/Physical Education Coordinator before the end of the first six weeks. A re-cap of activities is submitted during the last six weeks of each school year. |
| 6. | Incorporate staff wellness on campus and promote District employee wellness activites throughout the year. | 1 | Principal Employee Wellness Campus Wellness Teams | Employee Wellness implements the District Health Improvement Plan which includes activities and initiatives for employees throughout the year. Yearly evaluation and tracking. |

CAMPUS INFORMATION

DISTRICT NAME GARLAND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT NUMBER **057909**

SUPERINTENDENT DR RICARDO LOPEZ

CAMPUS NAME
CAMPUS NUMBER

PRINCIPAL

057909

GISD Board Goal: Early Childhood Literacy Outcome

The percentage of students in grade 3 demonstrating early literacy, as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Reading, will increase from 48.2% in 2017 to 90% by 2026.

GISD Board Goal Yearly Targets: All Grade 3 Students

| | 2020 & 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 |
|-------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| TEXAS | 44% | 44% | 44% | 52% | 52% | 52% |
| GARLAND ISD | 59% | 65% | 71% | 78% | 84% | 90% |

Closing the Gaps Grade 3 Student Group Yearly Targets

| | All Students | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Eco. Disadv. | EL (current + monitor) | Special Ed (current) | Special Ed (former) | Cont. Enrolled | Non-Cont. Enrolled |
|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| TX TARGETS (thru 2022) | 44% | 32% | 37% | 60% | 43% | 74% | 45% | 56% | 33% | 29% | 19% | 36% | 46% | 42% |
| 2017 (actual) | 49% | 39% | 44% | 62% | na | 68% | na | 53% | 42% | 44% | 28% | na | na | na |
| 2018 (actual) | 45% | 33% | 42% | 58% | na | 58% | na | 48% | 38% | 41% | 26% | 36% | 45% | 43% |
| 2019 (actual) | 48% | 37% | 45% | 56% | na | 67% | na | 60% | 43% | 48% | 26% | 36% | 48% | 46% |
| 2020 & 2021 | 57 % | 55% | 57 % | 58% | | 74% | | 64% | 56% | 57 % | 54% | 55% | 57 % | 57% |
| 2022 | 64% | 63% | 64% | 64% | | 78% | | 65% | 64% | 64% | 63% | 63% | 64% | 64% |
| TX TARGETS (thru 2027) | 52% | 42% | 46% | 66% | 51% | 78% | 53% | 62% | 43% | 39% | 31% | | 54% | 50% |
| 2023 | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | • | 81% | • | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% |
| 2024 | 76% | 76% | 76% | 76% | • | 85% | • | 77% | 76% | 76% | 76% | 76% | 76% | 76% |
| 2025 | 83% | 83% | 83% | 83% | | 88% | • | 83% | 83% | 83% | 83% | 83% | 83% | 83% |
| 2026 | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% | • | 90% | • | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% |

bold font = goals

italics font = projected performance

non-bold font = actual performance

EARLY CHILDHOOD LITERACY GAP TO GOALS

Closing the Gaps Grade 3 Student Group Data

| | African American Pacific Two or More | | | 1 | EL (current + | Special Ed | Special Ed | Cont. | Non-Cont. | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | All Students | American | Hispanic | White | Indian | Asian | Islander | Races | Eco. Disadv. | monitor) | (current) | (former) | Enrolled | Enrolled |
| 2019 ACCOUNTABILITY v | | | | | | | | | | | (0001.0) | (remain) | | |
| # Students Met GL | 1,778 | 235 | 917 | 342 | 6 | 214 | 2 | 62 | 1,086 | 779 | 91 | 25 | 1,464 | 314 |
| Total Students | 3,712 | 628 | 2,035 | 610 | 14 | 319 | 2 | 104 | 2,535 | 1,618 | 353 | 69 | 3,028 | 684 |
| % Met GL | 48% | 37% | 45% | 56% | na | 67% | na | 60% | 43% | 48% | 26% | 36% | 48% | 46% |
| If TX Target NOT met, # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Met GL students needed | | | | 24 | | 22 | | | | | | | | |
| If GISD Target (54%) NOT met, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Met GL students needed | 226 | 104 | 182 | 24 | | 22 | | | 283 | 95 | 100 | 12 | 171 | 55 |
| 2020 & 2021 ACCOUNTA | BILITY (duplicate | ed due to COVI | D and STAAR wa | aiver in 2020); | PREDICTED PERF | ORMANCE BA | SED ON GRAD | E 3 FALL 2020 | | | | | | |
| # Students Met GL | 1,143 | 209 | 331 | 329 | 2 | 210 | 0 | 62 | 573 | 295 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Students | 2,715 | 639 | 1,005 | 579 | 7 | 372 | 0 | 113 | 1,743 | 717 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % Met GL | 42% | 33% | 33% | 57% | na | 56% | na | 55% | 33% | 41% | 23% | na | na | na |
| If Target NOT met, # Met | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GL students needed | 52 | | 41 | 18 | | 65 | | 1 | | | | | | |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # Met | | 460 | 262 | 10 | | C.F. | | _ | 455 | 420 | 427 | | | |
| GL students needed | 459 | 168 | 262 | 18 | | 65 | | 5 | 455 | 128 | 127 | | | |
| # Students Met GL | 1,192 | 142 | 527 | 293 | 2 | 182 | 1 | 45 | 671 | 470 | 86 | 23 | 1,014 | 178 |
| Total Students | 3,455 | 590 | 1,853 | 530 | 9 | 361 | 7 | 45 105 | 2,459 | 470 1,578 | | 23 64 | 2,853 | 602 |
| % Met GL | 3,433 35% | 24% | 28% | 55% | na | 50% | na | 43% | 2,439 | 30% | 415 21% | 36% | 36% | 30% |
| | 33% | 24% | 2070 | 33% | IId | 30% | IId | 45% | 2/70 | 30% | 21% | 30% | 30% | 30% |
| If Target NOT met, # Met GL students needed | 328 | 47 | 159 | 25 | | 85 | | 14 | 140 | | _ | | 298 | 75 |
| | | 47 | 133 | 23 | | 65 | | | 140 | | | | 250 | 75 |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # Met GL students needed | 846 | 206 | 566 | 25 | | 85 | | 17 | 780 | 461 | 159 | 15 | 669 | 177 |
| 2022 ACCOUNTABILITY v | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Students Met GL | 1,666 | 260 | 770 | 346 | 6 | 216 | 1 | 67 | 1,074 | 642 | 139 | 32 | 1,396 | 270 |
| Total Students | 3,494 | 658 | 1,857 | 513 | 14 | 326 | 1 | 108 | 2,599 | 1,559 | 447 | 61 | 2,849 | 628 |
| % Met GL | 48% | 44% | 42% | 65% | na | 60% | na | 64% | 44% | 41% | 33% | 50% | 49% | 45% |
| If Target NOT met, # Met | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GL students needed | | | | | | 25 | | | | | | | | |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # Met | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GL students needed | 605 | 168 | 437 | | | 25 | | 3 | 615 | 371 | 152 | 8 | 456 | 138 |
| 2023 ACCOUNTABILITY V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Students Met GL | 1,758 | 252 | 851 | 332 | 4 | 240 | 1 | 78 | 1,124 | 144 | 697 | 705 | 1,428 | 330 |
| Total Students | 3,537 | 569 | 1,960 | 501 | 9 | 356 | 3 | 139 | 2,574 | 477 | 1,620 | 1,628 | 2,810 | 727 |
| % Met GL | 50% | 44% | 43% | 66% | na | 67% | na | 56% | 44% | 30% | 43% | 43% | 51% | 45% |
| If Target NOT met, # Met | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GL students needed | 81 | | 51 | | | 38 | | 8 | | 42 | | | 89 | 34 |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # Met | 753 | 153 | F.4.1 | 24 | | 20 | | 21 | 704 | 105 | 452 | 451 | F.C.7 | 100 |
| GL students needed | /53 | 152 | 541 | 24 | | 38 | | 21 | 704 | 195 | 453 | 451 | 567 | 186 |

CAMPUS INFORMATION

DISTRICT NAME **GARLAND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT**

DISTRICT NUMBER 057909 SUPERINTENDENT

DR RICARDO LOPEZ

CAMPUS NAME CAMPUS NUMBER

PRINCIPAL

057909

GISD Board Goal: Early Childhood Mathematics Outcome

The percentage of students in grade 3 demonstrating early mathematics, as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Mathematics, will increase from 50.5% in 2017 to 90% by 2026.

GISD Board Goal Yearly Targets: All Grade 3 Students

| | 2020 & 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 |
|-------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| TEXAS | 46% | 46% | 46% | 54% | 54% | 54% |
| GARLAND ISD | 59% | 65% | 71% | 78% | 84% | 90% |

Closing the Gaps Grade 3 Student Group Yearly Targets

| | All Students | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Eco. Disadv. | EL (current + monitor) | • | Special Ed (former) | Cont. Enrolled | Non-Cont. Enrolled |
|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| TX TARGETS (thru 2022) | 46% | 31% | 40% | 59% | 45% | 82% | 50% | 54% | 36% | 40% | 23% | 44% | 47% | 45% |
| 2017 (actual) | 51% | 39% | 48% | 62% | na | 77% | na | 52% | 45% | 51% | 33% | na | na | na |
| 2018 (actual) | 49% | 36% | 47% | 58% | na | 69% | na | 51% | 44% | 49% | 29% | 46% | 50% | 42% |
| 2019 (actual) | 53% | 40% | 51% | 62% | na | 79% | na | 53% | 47% | 56% | 32% | 54% | 54% | 47% |
| 2020 & 2021 2022 | 58% 64% | 56% 64% | 58% 64% | 66% 69% | | 83% 86% | | 58% 64% | 57% 64% | 58% 64% | 55% 63% | 58% 64% | 58% 64% | 57% 64% |
| TX TARGETS (thru 2027) | | 41% | 49% | 65% | 53% | 85% | 57% | 61% | 45% | 49% | 34% | | 55% | 53% |
| 2023 | 70% | 70% | 70% | 71% | | 90% | | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% |
| 2024 | 76% | 76% | 76% | 76% | | 93% | | 76% | 76% | 76% | 76% | 76% | 76% | 76% |
| 2025 | 83% | 83% | 83% | 83% | | 97% | | 83% | 83% | 83% | 83% | 83% | 83% | 83% |
| 2026 | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% | | 95% | | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% |

bold font = goals

italics font = projected performance

non-bold font = actual performance

| EARLY CHILDHOOD MATHEMATICS GAP TO GOALS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Closing the Gaps Grade 3 Student Group Data | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | All Students | American | Hispanic | White | Indian | Asian | Islander | Races | Eco. Disadv. | monitor) | (current) | (former) | Enrolled | Enrolled |
| 2018 ACCOUNTABILITY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Students Met GL | 1,930 | 238 | 1,018 | 392 | 6 | 225 | 0 | 51 | 1,173 | 843 | 104 | 34 | 1,599 | 331 |
| Total Students | 3,947 | 654 | 2,182 | 671 | 14 | 326 | 0 | 100 | 2,690 | 1,719 | 358 | 74 | 3,168 | 779 |
| % Met GL | 49% | 36% | 47% | 58% | na | 69% | na | 51% | 44% | 49% | 29% | 46% | 50% | 42% |
| If TX Target NOT met, # Met GL students needed If GISD Target (50%) NOT | | | | 4 | | 42 | | 3 | | | | | | 20 |
| met, # Met GL students needed | 44 | 89 | 73 | 4 | | 42 | | 3 | 172 | 17 | 75 | 3 | | 59 |
| 2019 ACCOUNTABILITY | via DOMAIN I | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Students Met GL | 1,969 | 249 | 1,029 | 378 | 5 | 251 | 2 | 55 | 1,192 | 910 | 113 | 37 | 1,650 | 319 |
| Total Students | 3,716 | 630 | 2,036 | 611 | 14 | 319 | 2 | 104 | 2,538 | 1,619 | 354 | 69 | 3,030 | 686 |
| % Met GL | 53% | 40% | 51% | 62% | na | 79% | na | 53% | 47% | 56% | 32% | 54% | 54% | 47% |
| If TX Target NOT met, # Met GL students needed If GISD Target (54%) NOT | | | | | | 11 | | 1 | | | | | | |
| met, # Met GL students | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| needed | 38 | 91 | 70 | | | 11 | | 1 | 179 | | 78 | | | 51 |
| 2020 & 2021 ACCOUNT | ABILITY (duplicat | ed due to COV | ID and STAAR w | aiver in 2020); I | PREDICTED PER | FORMANCE BA | SED ON GRADE | 3 FALL 2020 | MAP | | | | | |
| # Students Met GL | 909 | 106 | 366 | 223 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 31 | 498 | 396 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Students | 3,655 | 655 | 1,929 | 581 | 8 | 370 | 0 | 112 | 2,585 | 1,636 | 415 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % Met GL | 25% | 16% | 19% | 38% | na | 48% | na | 28% | 19% | 24% | 13% | na | na | na |
| If Target NOT met, # Met GL students needed | 772 | 97 | 406 | 120 | | 126 | | 29 | 433 | 258 | 42 | | | _ |
| | //2 | 3, | 400 | 120 | | 120 | | | 433 | 250 | 72 | | | |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # Met GL students needed | 1,247 | 280 | 772 | 120 | | 126 | | 35 | 1,027 | 569 | 192 | | | |
| 2021 ACCOUNTABILITY | via DOMAIN 1 | | | | | | | | · | | | | | |
| # Students Met GL | 876 | 71 | 390 | 206 | 2 | 176 | 0 | 28 | 483 | 398 | 75 | 28 | 758 | 118 |
| Total Students | 3,438 | 578 | 1,857 | 523 | 9 | 360 | 0 | 104 | 2,449 | 1,579 | 416 | 64 | 2,844 | 594 |
| % Met GL | 25% | 12% | 21% | 39% | na | 49% | na | 27% | 20% | 25% | 18% | 44% | 27% | 20% |
| If Target NOT met, # Met | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GL students needed If GISD Target NOT met, # | 705 | 108 | 353 | 103 | | 119 | | 28 | 399 | 234 | 21 | | 579 | 149 |
| Met GL students needed | 1,152 | 270 | 706 | 103 | | 119 | | 33 | 962 | 534 | 170 | 10 | 920 | 232 |
| 2022 ACCOUNTABILITY | via DOMAIN 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Students Met GL | 1,672 | 204 | 864 | 321 | 4 | 219 | 1 | 59 | 1,101 | 797 | 139 | 31 | 1,422 | 250 |
| Total Students | 3,464 | 653 | 1,856 | 511 | 13 | 324 | 1 | 106 | 2,588 | 1,558 | 445 | 61 | 2,839 | 625 |
| % Met GL | 48% | 31% | 47% | 63% | na | 68% | na | 56% | 43% | 51% | 31% | 51% | 50% | 40% |
| If Target NOT met, # Met GL students needed | | | | | | 47 | | | | | | | | 31 |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # Met GL students needed | 372 | 181 | 231 | | | 47 | | 4 | 426 | 122 | 124 | 5 | 253 | 119 |
| 2023 ACCOUNTABILITY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Students Met GL | 1,645 | 179 | 835 | 304 | 3 | 249 | 1 | 74 | 1,053 | 148 | 764 | 772 | 1,362 | 283 |
| Total Students | 3,534 | 568 | 1,959 | 500 | 9 | 356 | 3 | 139 | 2,571 | 476 | 1,620 | 1,628 | 2,809 | 725 |
| % Met GL | 47% | 32% | 43% | 61% | na | 70% | na | 53% | 41% | 31% | 47% | 47% | 48% | 39% |
| If Target NOT met, # Met GL students needed | | 54 | 125 | 21 | | 54 | | 11 | 104 | 85 | | | 183 | 101 |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # Met GL students needed | 864 | 224 | 556 | 51 | | 54 | | 25 | 772 | 190 | 386 | 384 | 632 | 232 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

CAMPUS INFORMATION

DISTRICT NAME GARLAND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT NUMBER 057909

SUPERINTENDENT DR RICARDO LOPEZ

CAMPUS NAME

CAMPUS NUMBER **057909**PRINCIPAL --

GISD Board Goal: CCMR Outcome

The percentage of graduates that meet the criteria for CCMR will increase from

GISD Board Goal Yearly Targets: All Graduating Students

| | 2020 (Class of 2019) 2021 (Class of 2020) | | 2022 (Class of 2021) | 2023 (Class of 2022) | 2024 (Class of 2023) | 2025 (Class of 2024) | |
|-------------|---|-----|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| TEXAS | 47% | 47% | 47% | 55% | 55% | 55% | |
| GARLAND ISD | 55% | 60% | 65% | 70% | 75% | 80% | |

Closing the Gaps Student Group Yearly Targets

| | All Students | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Eco. Disadv. | EL (current + monitor) | | Special Ed (former) | Cont. Enrolled | Non-Cont. Enrolled |
|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------|-------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| TX TARGETS (thru 2022) | 47% | 31% | 41% | 58% | 42% | 76% | 39% | 53% | 27% | 39% | 30% | 43% | 50% | 31% |
| 2017 (2016 seniors) | 42% | 31% | 42% | 52% | 12% | 64% | na | 43% | 37% | 24% | 26% | 20% | 45% | 34% |
| 2018 (2017 seniors) | 48% | 38% | 42% | 58% | na | 72% | na | 48% | 43% | 26% | 29% | 21% | 51% | 28% |
| 2019 (2018 seniors) | 57% | 45% | 55% | 62% | na | 79% | na | 61% | 53% | 47% | 53% | 33% | 61% | 39% |
| 2020 (2019 seniors) | 71% | 59% | 70% | 76% | na | 86% | na | 78% | 68% | 63% | 71% | 54% | 74% | 56% |
| 2021 (2020 seniors) | 71% | 59% | 70% | 76% | na | 86% | na | 78% | 68% | 63% | 71% | 54% | 74% | 56% |
| 2022 (2021 seniors) | 75 % | 62% | 74% | 80% | | 90% | | 82% | 72% | 67% | 75% | 60% | 78% | 61% |
| TX TARGETS (thru 2027) | 55% | 41% | 51% | 68% | 52% | 86% | 49% | 63% | 37% | 49% | 40% | 53% | 60% | 41% |
| 2023 (2022 seniors) | 78% | 66% | 77% | 83% | • | 93% | • | 85% | 75% | 70% | 78% | 65% | 81% | 65% |
| 2024 (2023 seniors) | 82% | 71% | 81% | 87% | • | 95% | • | 89% | 79% | 74% | 82% | 70% | 85% | 70% |
| 2025 (2024 seniors) | 85% | 76% | 84% | 90% | • | 95% | | 92% | 82% | 77% | 85% | 75% | 88% | 75% |
| 2026 (2025 seniors) | 89% | 80% | 88% | 94% | | 95% | | 96% | 86% | 80% | 89% | 79% | 92% | 79% |

bold font = goals

italics font = projected performance

non-bold font = actual performance

CCMR GAP TO GOALS

Closing the Gaps Student Group Data

| | All Students | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Eco. Disadv. | EL (current + monitor) | Special Ed (current) | Special Ed (former) | Cont. Enrolled | Non-Cont. Enrolled |
|--|--------------|---------------------|----------|-------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 2019 (Class of 2018) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Students | 4,217 | 765 | 2,029 | 869 | 23 | 455 | 5 | 71 | 2,039 | 549 | 329 | 54 | 3,543 | 674 |
| # Students Met CCMR | 2,407.0 | 343.0 | 1,106.0 | 540.5 | 61.0 | 358.0 | 2.5 | 43.0 | 1,075.5 | 260.5 | 175.5 | 18.0 | 2,145.5 | 261.5 |
| % Met CCMR | 57% | 45% | 55% | 62% | na | 79% | na | 61% | 53% | 47% | 53% | 33% | 61% | 39% |
| If TX Target NOT met, # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CCMR students needed | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CCMR students needed | | 32 | | | | | | | | 9 | | 8 | | 69 |
| 2020 (Class of 2019) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Students | 4,125 | 755 | 2,054 | 831 | 20 | 390 | 1 | 74 | 2,296 | 581 | 232 | 86 | 3,495 | 630 |
| # Students Met CCMR | 2,924.0 | 445.0 | 1,442.5 | 629.5 | 13.0 | 336.0 | 0.5 | 57.5 | 1,562.5 | 368.0 | 164.0 | 46.5 | 2,571.0 | 353.0 |
| % Met CCMR | 71% | 59% | 70% | 76% | na | 86% | na | 78% | 68% | 63% | 71% | 54% | 74% | 56% |
| If Target NOT met, # CCMR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| students needed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CCMR students needed | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| 2021 (Class of 2020) Accou | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Students | 4,283 | 761 | 2,200 | 785 | 23 | 434 | 5 | 75 | 2,436 | 1,710 | 305 | 76 | 3,524 | 759 |
| # Students Met CCMR | 2,901 | 442 | 1,436 | 595 | 14 | 362 | 5 | 47 | 1,553 | 1,159 | 221 | 36 | 2,549 | 352 |
| % Met CCMR | 68% | 58% | 65% | 76% | na | 83% | na | 63% | 64% | 68% | 72% | 47% | 72% | 46% |
| If Target NOT met, # CCMR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| students needed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CCMR students needed | | 15 | | | | | | | | | | 10 | | 103 |
| If Campus Target NOT met, # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CCMR students needed | 140 | 7 | 104 | | | 11 | | 12 | 103 | | | 5 | 59 | 73 |
| 2022 (Class of 2021) - Acco | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Students | 4,010 | 719 | 2,064 | 698 | 24 | 425 | 3 | 77 | 2,476 | 722 | 238 | 68 | 3,363 | 647 |
| # Students Met CCMR | 3,153 | 524 | 1,575 | 579 | 20 | 391 | 2 | 62 | 1,872 | 498 | 201 | 45 | 2,740 | 413 |
| % Met CCMR | 79% | 73% | 76% | 83% | na | 92% | na | 81% | 76% | 69% | 84% | 66% | 81% | 64% |
| If Target NOT met, # CCMR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| students needed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CCMR students needed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 |
| If Campus Target NOT met, # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CCMR students needed | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| 2023 (Class of 2022) Accou | • | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Students | 3,885 | 675 | 2,010 | 670 | 21 | 432 | 0 | 77 | 2,069 | 768 | 237 | 57 | 3,273 | - |
| # Students Met CCMR | 3,360 | 558 | 1,707 | 605 | 19 | 402 | 0 | 69 | 1,744 | 624 | 213 | 44 | 2,888 | - |
| % Met CCMR | 86% | 83% | 85% | 90% | na | 93% | na | 90% | 84% | 81% | 90% | 77% | 88% | • |
| If Target NOT met, # CCMR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| students needed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| If GISD Target NOT met, # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CCMR students needed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| If Campus Target NOT met, # CCMR students needed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |