



Garland Independent School District
GUSD 2014 Bond Program



CSP# 500-1.1-16

BP# 1.1 - (New CTE High School Facility)

CSP Evaluation Overall Scoring and Ranking Summary

Date: 1/28/2016

CRITERIA#	CRITERIA DESCRIPTION	MAXIMUM POINTS	Date: 1/28/2016				
			Proposer# 1 Fogge Construction Co., LP	Proposer# 2 Adolfson & Peterson Construction	Proposer# 3 Lee Lewis Construction, Inc.	Proposer# 4 Joeris General Contractors, Ltd.	Proposer# 5 Cadence McShane Construction Company, LLC
1	Purchase Price	35.00	34.75	35.00	34.64	33.19	32.56
2	Quality and Reputation of Contractor	35.00	26.40	26.47	27.93	26.40	23.07
2a	References and Past Experience - Designated evaluators will check information submitted to score this section	20.00	17.20	16.87	18.53	18.20	18.67
	Proposer to provide three (3) references with most updated contact information (References shall be from Owner and/or Architect who directly oversaw the Project(s) listed under the past or recent experience category below) Minimum two (2) owners	5.00	4.80	4.07	4.73	4.80	4.87
	Past Experience - Past and current experience on ISD or similar project will be considered in scoring this section	5.00	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00
	Proposer to provide a list of their most recent projects - minimum five (5) similar in scope and size. Include Client name, contract value, and contract duration	5.00	3.80	4.20	4.80	4.60	4.80
	Proposer to indicate role on the project (Prime GC, Sub-Contractor, Joint Venture (JV), etc.)	5.00	3.80	3.80	4.00	4.00	4.00
2b	Safety - Submit OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) Inspection logs for the last three years, a loss analysis from the offeror's insurance carrier, and a loss history covering all lines of insurance coverage carried by the offeror	10.00	4.60	4.60	4.60	3.60	4.80
	Proposer to indicate if they have ever been denied workers compensation insurance	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Proposer to indicate if they have ever been fined by OSHA. Proposer to provide copy of company's safety program	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00
	Safety Plan- Proposer to provide project(s) specific Safety Plan	5.00	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.80
2c	Litigation - Designated evaluators will check for active litigation cases involving the offeror to score this section	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.60	4.60
	Proposer is to indicate and list if actively involved in any litigation with any past, previous, or current Owner organization(s)	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.60	4.60
3	Execution	25.00	24.20	23.60	23.60	24.40	24.40
3a	Proposed Project Team(s) and Management approach to proposed projects	15.00	14.60	13.60	14.20	14.40	14.80
	Proposer to include resumes of neutral staff who will be assigned to the project(s)						
3b	Proposed Construction schedule and phasing plan Proposer to provide detailed execution plan demonstrating a thorough understanding of the scope, and methodology in compliance with the phasing plan/information provided in the bid documents	10.00	9.60	10.00	9.40	10.00	9.60
4	Financial Strength	5.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00
TOTAL SCORE for All Criteria ==		100.00	89.35	88.07	90.17	87.99	88.03
OVERALL RANK >>>			2	3	1	5	4