



CSP# 499-01-16
Montessori Restrooms

Date - Jun 28 2016

CSP Evaluation Overall Scoring and Ranking Summary

\$285,960.00



3i Contracting LLC

CRITERIA#	CRITERIA DESCRIPTION	MAXIMUM POINTS	POINTS SCORED
1	Purchase Price	45.00	45.00
2	Quality and Reputation of Contractor	28.00	27.00
2a	References and Past Experience – Designated evaluators will check information submitted to score this section Proposer to provide three (3) references with most updated contact information. (References shall be from Owner and/or Architect who directly oversaw the Project(s) listed under the past or recent experience category below) Minimum two (2) owners. The District reserves the right to evaluate references not included in the submitted proposal.	15.00	15.00
	Past Experience - Past and current experience on ISD or similar project will be considered in scoring this section.		
	Proposer to required to list all ISD projects in the past 2 years in addition to projects similar in size and scope. Size = Square Footage (SF) of project(s). Scope is the type of building or renovation specific to the scope in this CSP. Include Client name, email, contract value, and contract duration		
	Proposer to indicate role on each project (Prime GC, Sub-Contractor, Joint Venture (JV), etc.)		
2b	Safety – Submit OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) inspection logs for the last three years, a loss analysis from the offeror’s insurance carrier, and a loss history covering all lines of insurance coverage carried by the offeror	10.00	9.00
	Proposer to indicate if they have ever been denied workers compensation insurance or denied renewal of workers compensation insurance.		
	Proposer to indicate if they have ever been fined by OSHA.		
	Proposer to provide copy of company’s safety program.		
2c	Litigation – Designated evaluators will check for active litigation cases involving the offeror to score this section	3.00	3.00
	Proposer is to indicate and list if actively involved in any litigation with any past, previous, or current Owner organization(s)		
3	Execution	25.00	25.00
3a	Proposed Project Team(s) and Management approach to proposed projects Proposer to include resumes of actual staff who will be assigned to the project(s)		
3b	Proposed Construction schedule and phasing plan Proposer to provide detailed execution plan demonstrating a thorough understanding of the scope, and methodology in compliance with the phasing plan/information provided in the bid documents		
4	Financial Strength - Financial Status of the vendor (as rated by Dun & Bradstreet)	2.00	1.00

TOTAL SCORE for All Criterias = 100.00 98.00

OVERALL RANK >>> 1