



Garland Independent School District

CSP# 499-04-16
IT Director's Office Renovation
CSP Evaluation Overall Scoring and Ranking Summary

Date - Sept 14 2016

CRITERIA#	CRITERIA DESCRIPTION	MAXIMUM POINTS	DCCI	The Trevino Group	MDI GC	3i Contracting LLC
			POINTS SCORED	POINTS SCORED	POINTS SCORED	POINTS SCORED
1	Purchase Price	40.00	40.00	27.94	32.80	33.72
2	Quality and Reputation of Contractor	33.00	27.27	27.73	29.53	27.50
2a	References and Past Experience – Designated evaluators will check information submitted to score this section.	20.00	19.27	15.73	19.53	15.50
	Proposer will provide three (3) references with most updated contact information. (References shall be from Owner and/or Architect who directly oversaw the Project(s) listed under the past or recent experience category below). Minimum two (2) owners. The District reserves the right to evaluate references not included in the submitted proposal. Answer the questions for each relevant project, with emphasis on school, educational, and/or renovation experience, that your organization has in-progress or completed.					
	Past Experience - Past and current experience on ISD or similar project will be considered in scoring this section. Proposer is required to list no more than five (5) ISD projects in the past 2 years in addition to projects similar in size and scope. Size = Square footage (SF) of project(s). Scope is the type of building or renovation specific to the scope of work in this CSP. Past experience with Garland ISD will also be evaluated.					
	Proposer must provide a list of no more than five (5) projects in process and or completed in the last 3 years. Projects older than 3 years may be submitted if similar in size and scope. Size=Square footage (SF) of project(s) . Scope is the type of building or renovation specific to the scope in this CSP. Include Client name, email, contract value, and contract duration.					
	Proposer to indicate role on each project (Prime GC, Sub-Contractor, Joint Venture (JV), etc.)					
	Proposer is to indicate and list if actively involved in any litigation with any past, previous, or current Owner organization(s).					
2b	Safety – Submit OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) inspection logs for the last three years, a loss analysis from the offeror’s insurance carrier, and a loss history covering all lines of insurance coverage carried by the offeror	13.00	8.00	12.00	10.00	12.00
	Proposer to indicate if they have ever been denied workers compensation insurance or denied renewal of workers compensation insurance.					
	Proposer to indicate if they have ever been fined by OSHA.					
	Proposer to provide copy of company’s safety program.					
	Safety Plan- Proposer to provide <u>site specific Safety Plan</u> . For Bid Packages that includes more than one school, submit a site safety plan per location. Specifically, include working with students on-site.					
3	Execution	25.00	6.60	25.00	25.00	21.60
3a	Proposed Project Team(s) and Management approach to proposed projects Proposer to include resumes of actual staff who will be assigned to the project(s)					
3b	Proposed Construction schedule and phasing plan Proposer to provide detailed execution plan demonstrating a thorough understanding of the scope, and methodology in compliance with the phasing plan/information provided in the bid documents					
4	Financial Strength - Financial Status of the vendor (as rated by Dun & Bradstreet)	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.50	0.00
TOTAL SCORE for All Criterias =		100.00	73.87	80.67	88.83	82.82
OVERALL RANK >>>			4	3	1	2