

**RFP #302-19-09 STAAR Preparation - Secondary - Printed Reading
CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM**

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Parameters:	Max Points	FIRM	FIRM	Firm
			GF Educators	Kamico	School Specialty
Proposed Price: A comparison of 72 like sample line items were evaluated for pricing. Pricing does not reflect the contract value rather the amount of the 72 like items evaluated			\$36,569.45	\$41,426.00	\$49,111.69
The purchase price; <u>NOT TO BE EVALUATED BY COMMITTEE MEMBERS</u>. Purchasing Dept. will enter separately. Based on a standard formula Personal Property Purchase: 50-55, Combination of Goods and Services or Service (only):40-50 Split with long-term cost if applicable.	40	40	40	35	30
The reputation of the vendors and the vendors goods and services. Results of reference checks. 3 postivie references=10 points, 2 positive reference= 5 points, 1 positive reference=2 pts. SAMPLES WERE NOT REQUESTED	10	10	10	9	0
The quality of the vendor's goods or services; Range 0-10.	17	16	16	9	16
The extent to which the goods or services meet the district's needs; Provides 90-100% of the list price=30 points, provides 70-89% of the list price= 10 points, 40-69%= 3 points, below 40%= 0 points.	30	30	30	18	29
The vendor's past relationship with the district; Range 0-3 District standard is to award points as follows: 3 – performed above contract standards 2 – performed to contract standards 1 – instances of substandard performance but deficiencies were promptly corrected when notified 0 – no previous experience with GISD Negative 1-10 may be assigned based on the number of written complaint letters issued by the Purchasing Department	3	3	2	2	2
The impact on the ability of the district to comply with the laws and rules relating to historically under-utilized businesses; (must be 0 points)	0	0	0	0	0
The total long-term cost to the district to acquire the vendor's goods and services; Range 0-10, Discount structure for each manufacturer. This item is designed to capture all costs other than those identified under initial purchase price, example maintenance cost for years 2-5.	0	0	0	0	0
Only used if additional factors identified in RFP	0	0	0	0	0
Total Points	100	98	98	74	77

**RFP #302-19-09 STAAR Preparation - Secondary - Printed Math Materials
CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM**

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max Points	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	Firm
		GF Educators	Kamico	Math GPS	School Specialty
Proposed Price: A comparison of 72 like sample line items were evaluated for pricing. Pricing does not reflect the contract value rather the amount of the like items evaluated		\$34,261.60	\$32,651.65	\$40,090.00	\$40,240.12
The purchase price; <u>NOT TO BE EVALUATED BY COMMITTEE MEMBERS</u> Purchasing Dept. will enter separately. Based on a standard formula Personal Property Purchase: 50-55, Combination of Goods and Services or Service (only):40-50 Split with long-term cost if applicable.	40	38	40	33	32
The reputation of the vendors and the vendors goods and services. Results of reference checks. 3 positive references=10 points, 2 positive reference= 5 points, 1 positive reference=2 pts.	10	10	9	10	0
The quality of the vendor's goods or services; Range 0-10.	17	17	9	0	11
The extent to which the goods or services meet the district's needs; Provides 90-100% of the list price=30 points, provides 70-89% of the list price= 10 points, 40-69%= 3 points, below 40%= 0 points.	30	28	13	0	12
The vendor's past relationship with the district; Range 0-3 District standard is to award points as follows: 3 – performed above contract standards 2 – performed to contract standards 1 – instances of substandard performance but deficiencies were promptly corrected when notified 0 – no previous experience with GISD Negative 1-10 may be assigned based on the number of written complaint letters issued by the Purchasing Department	3	2	2	0	2
The impact on the ability of the district to comply with the laws and rules relating to historically under-utilized businesses; (must be 0 points)	0	0	0	0	0
The total long-term cost to the district to acquire the vendor's goods and services; Range 0-10, Discount structure for each manufacturer.This item is designed to capture all costs other than those identified under initial purchase price, example maintenance cost for years 2-5.	0	0	0	0	0
Only used if additional factors identified in RFP	0	0	0	0	0
Total Points	100	95	74	43	57