## RFQ 318-19 Internal Audit Services Evaluation Summary

ITEM #:	PARAMETERS:	Max Points:	Gibson Consulting	EIDE BAILLY LLP	McConnell & Jones LLP
	Proposed Fee				
1	Points Awarded	30			
2	Technical Component	10			
a.	Provide a definition of the term "generally accepted government auditing standards" with clear distinctions between these standards and generally accepted auditing standards for nongovernmental engagements Range: 0-5 Things to consider: GAGAS adds the aspect of internal control as a standard, any description that explains internal controls will receive 5 points, a mention of internal control receives 3, no mention receives 0	5	5	3	5
b.	Explain the proposer's approaches to performing an audit, including the methodology, nature, timing and extent of audit procedures to be performed. Range 0- 2 Things to Consider: A response to this question results in 2 point	2	2	2	2
	Describe how the approach to performing the audit would be affected if this were a multiyear contract Range: 0-1 Things to Consider: A response to this question results in 1 point	1	1	1	1
d.	Make a statement concerning the independence of the proposer, including direct and indirect financial interest, and the relationship of the proposed audit team to employees of the district and any of the board members. Range: 0-2 Things to Consider: A response to this question results in 2 point	2	2	2	2
3	Management Component	50			
a.	Provide the name of the external quality control review organization of which the proposer is a member and the proposer's length of membership. Also, state the review organization's planned frequency of peer reviews Range 0-10 Things to Consider: This is a yes/no question, if the proposer provides the name of the organization that they are a member of and length of membership and states the planned frequency they will obtain full points.	10	0	10	10
b.	State whether the Internal Audit Firm has received a peer review and whether in the most recent review an unqualified report was issued. Also, provide a copy of the most recent peer review report. Range 0-15 Things to Consider: This is a yes/no question. If they have received a peer review and the most recent contained an unqualified report then the proposer will receive all points.		0	15	15

## RFQ 318-19 Internal Audit Services Evaluation Summary

ITEM #:	PARAMETERS:	Max Points:	Gibson Consulting	EIDE BAILLY LLP	McConnell & Jones LLP
с.	Provide evidence that the proposer has experience in performing internal audits in Texas K-12 school districts. List current and past audit clients along with the names and telephone numbers of contact persons and number of years audit services were provided. State the average daily attendance of the public schools on the list. Range 0-15 Things to Consider: Experience with districts with an ADA greater than 5,000 get 2 points, experience with districts with an ADA greater than 10,000 get 5 points. Performed a K- 12 audit in the last five years gets 10 points, greater than 5 will receive 5 points, no experience will receive 0 points.	15	15	7	10
e.	State whether the proposer is a national, regional or local Audit Firm. Range 0 Things to Consider: Will result in 0 points	0	0	0	0
f.	State whether the proposer is currently under the terms of a public or private reprimand by the Texas State Board of Public Accountancy and licensing boards of other states. Range 0-1 Things to Consider: If the proposer is under the terms of a public or private reprimand they will receive 0 points.	1	1	1	1
g.	State whether the proposer or its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency. Range 0-1 Things to Consider: If the proposer is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded then this will result in 0 points.	1	1	1	1
h.	Describe the proposed audit team, in terms of job positions in the Internal Audit Firm. Range 0 Things to Consider: Will result in 0 points	0	0	0	0
i.	List names of staff member(s) who will direct the overall audit throughout the duration of the engagement as well as those staff members who will be responsible for planning, directing, and conducting substantial portions of the fieldwork or reporting on this audit engagement. Include the educational background of all staff members named and professional licenses held. Range 0-3 Things to Consider: If the staff members currently hold a degree in Accounting/Finance with a CPA the result is 3 points, if they all hold a degree in Accounting/Finance the result is 2 points, if a portion hold a degree or CPA then 1 point.	3	3	3	3

## RFQ 318-19 Internal Audit Services Evaluation Summary

ITEM #:	PARAMETERS:	Max Points:	Gibson Consulting	EIDE BAILLY LLP	McConnell & Jones LLP
j.	Describe continuing professional education in governmental accounting and auditing received by the proposed audit team during the last two years. Range 0-2 Things to Consider: All members received continuing education then result is 2, some members result is 1.	2	2	2	2
k.	Provide the names and qualifications of any needed outside specialists and consultants that will assist the proposer's staff members. Range 0-1 Things to Consider: A response to this question results in 1 point	1	1	1	1
I.	Describe staff rotation plans for audit team members if this is to be a multiyear contract. Range 0-1 Things to Consider: Description of the rotation plan will result in 1 point.	1	1	1	1
m.	Describe the level of assistance that will be expected from District personnel Range 0-1 Things to Consider: A response to this question results in 1 point	1	1	1	1
	Score Before Interviews/Presnetations	90	64	65	57
4	Interviews/Presentations	10	10	7	0
	Score After Interviews/Presntations		74	72	