



Garland Independent School District
GISD 2014 Bond Program



CSP# 500-1.3-16
BPH 1.3 - (Austin MS, Houston MS, Parkercrest ES)

CSP Evaluation Overall Scoring and Ranking Summary

Date - 3/23/2016

Proposer# 1	Proposer# 2	Proposer# 3	Proposer# 4
Ratcliff Constructors	Reeder General Contractors	Joe Funk Construction Inc	Pozuc Construction

CRITERIA#	CRITERIA DESCRIPTION	MAXIMUM POINTS ALLOTTED	POINTS SCORED	POINTS SCORED	POINTS SCORED	POINTS SCORED
1	Purchase Price	40.00	31.52	34.52	38.29	40.00
2	Quality and Reputation of Contractor	33.00	31.40	30.67	29.20	31.60
2a	References and Past Experience - Designated evaluators will check information submitted to score this section. Proposer to provide three (3) references with most updated contact information. (References shall be from Owner and/or Architect who directly oversaw the Project(s) listed under the past or recent experience category below) Minimum two (2) owners. The District reserves the right to evaluate references not included in the submitted proposal.	20.00	18.40	19.07	20.00	19.40
	Past Experience - Past and current experience on ISD or similar project will be considered in scoring this section. Proposer to provide three (3) references with most updated contact information. (References shall be from Owner and/or Architect who directly oversaw the Project(s) listed under the past or recent experience category below) Minimum two (2) owners. The District reserves the right to evaluate references not included in the submitted proposal.	5.00	5.00	4.87	5.00	4.80
	Past Experience - Past and current experience on ISD or similar project will be considered in scoring this section. Proposer to provide three (3) references with most updated contact information. (References shall be from Owner and/or Architect who directly oversaw the Project(s) listed under the past or recent experience category below) Minimum two (2) owners. The District reserves the right to evaluate references not included in the submitted proposal.	5.00	4.00	4.40	5.00	4.80
	Proposer to provide three (3) references with most updated contact information. (References shall be from Owner and/or Architect who directly oversaw the Project(s) listed under the past or recent experience category below) Minimum two (2) owners. The District reserves the right to evaluate references not included in the submitted proposal.	5.00	4.40	4.80	5.00	4.80
	Proposer to provide three (3) references with most updated contact information. (References shall be from Owner and/or Architect who directly oversaw the Project(s) listed under the past or recent experience category below) Minimum two (2) owners. The District reserves the right to evaluate references not included in the submitted proposal.	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
2b	Safety - Submit OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) inspection logs for the last three years, a loss analysis from the offeror's insurance carrier, and a loss history covering all lines of insurance coverage carried by the offeror.	10.00	10.00	9.00	6.20	9.50
	Proposer to indicate if they have ever been denied workers compensation insurance or denied renewal of workers compensation insurance.	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Proposer to indicate if they have ever been fined by OSHA.	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
	Proposer to provide copy of company's safety program.	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
2c	Safety Plan- Proposer to provide site specific Safety Plan. For Bid Packages that includes more than one school, submit a site safety plan per location. Specifically, include working with students on-site.	7.00	7.00	7.00	4.20	6.80
	Litigation - Designated evaluators will check for active litigation cases involving the offeror to score this section. Proposer is to indicate and list if actively involved in any litigation with any past, previous, or current Owner organization(s).	3.00	3.00	2.60	3.00	2.40
3	Execution	25.00	22.80	21.40	19.40	21.60
3a	Proposed Project Team(s) and Management approach to proposed projects. Proposer to include resumes of actual staff who will be assigned to the project(s).	15.00	12.80	12.40	10.40	12.60
3b	Proposed Construction schedule and phasing plan. Proposer to provide detailed execution plan demonstrating a thorough understanding of the scope, and methodology in compliance with the phasing plan/information provided in the bid documents.	10.00	10.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
4	Financial Strength - Financial Status of the vendor (as rated by Dun & Bradstreet)	2.00				1.00
TOTAL SCORE for All Criteria =		100.00	85.72	86.59	86.89	94.20

OVERALL RANK >>>	4	3	2	1
------------------	---	---	---	---