

Garland Independent School District
GISD 2014 Bond Program



| |
|--|
| CSP# 500-1.2-16 |
| BP# 1.2 - (Naaman Forest HS - Campus Addition and Renovations) |
| CSP Evaluation Overall Scoring and Ranking Summary |

Date - Feb 17 2016

| CRITERIA | CRITERIA DESCRIPTION | MAXIMUM POINTS ALLOTTED | Proposer# 1 | Proposer# 2 | Proposer# 3 | Proposer# 4 | Proposer# 5 | Proposer# 6 |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Pogue Construction | Phillips-May Corporation | Ratcliff Constructors | J. C. Commercial | MYCON General Contractors | Adolfson & Peterson Construction |
| | | | POINTS SCORED | POINTS SCORED | POINTS SCORED | POINTS SCORED | POINTS SCORED | POINTS SCORED |
| 1 | Purchase Price | 40.00 | 35.12 | 33.65 | 38.50 | 34.71 | 28.57 | 40.00 |
| 2 | Quality and Reputation of Contractor | 33.00 | 28.87 | 29.00 | 30.53 | 28.73 | 23.93 | 30.93 |
| 2a | References and Past Experience – Designated evaluators will check information submitted to score this section | 20.00 | 19.47 | 17.80 | 18.93 | 17.93 | 12.33 | 18.93 |
| | Proposer to provide three (3) references with most updated contact information | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.40 | 4.93 | 4.53 | 4.73 | 4.33 |
| | References shall be from Owner and/or Architect who directly oversaw the Project(s) listed under the past or recent experience category | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| | Past Experience - Past and current experience on ISD or similar project will be considered in scoring this section | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 1.60 | 4.80 |
| | Proposer to provide list of ISD projects in the past 2 years in addition to projects similar in size and scope. Size = Square Footage (SF) of project(s). Scope is the type of building or renovation specific to the scope in this CSP. Include Client name, email, contract value and contract duration | 5.00 | 4.80 | 3.40 | 4.40 | 3.80 | 1.80 | 4.80 |
| 2b | Proposer to indicate role on each project (Prime GC, Sub-Contractor, Joint Venture (JV), etc.) | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.20 | 5.00 |
| | Safety – Submit OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) inspection logs for the last three years, a loss analysis from the offeror's insurance carrier, and a loss history covering all lines of insurance coverage carried by the offeror | 10.00 | 6.20 | 7.80 | 8.20 | 7.40 | 8.20 | 8.60 |
| | Proposer to indicate if they have ever been denied workers compensation insurance or denied renewal of workers compensation insurance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Proposer to indicate if they have ever been fined by OSHA | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| | Proposer to provide copy of company's safety program | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2c | Safety Plan- Proposer to provide site specific Safety Plan For Bid Packages that includes more than one school, submit a site safety plan per location. Specifically, include working with students on-site | 7.00 | 3.20 | 5.80 | 5.20 | 5.40 | 5.20 | 6.00 |
| | Litigation – Designated evaluators will check for active litigation cases involving the offeror to score this section | 3.00 | 3.20 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.40 |
| 3 | Proposer is to indicate and list if actively involved in any litigation with any past, previous, or current Owner organization(s) | 3.00 | 3.20 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.40 |
| | Execution | 25.00 | 22.80 | 22.80 | 24.00 | 22.00 | 22.40 | 23.80 |
| 3a | Proposed Project Team(s) and Management approach to proposed projects | 15.00 | 12.80 | 12.80 | 14.40 | 12.40 | 12.40 | 13.80 |
| | Proposer to include resumes of actual staff who will be assigned to the project(s) | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 9.60 | 9.60 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 3b | Proposed Construction schedule and phasing plan | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 9.60 | 9.60 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| | Proposer to provide detailed execution plan demonstrating a thorough understanding of the scope, and methodology in compliance with the phasing plan/information provided in the bid documents | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| 4 | Financial Strength - Financial Status of the vendor (as rated by Dun & Bradstreet) | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| TOTAL SCORE for All Criteria = | | 100.00 | 87.79 | 86.45 | 94.53 | 85.44 | 74.91 | 95.73 |
| OVERALL RANK >>> | | | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 |